

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Filing by Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.  
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			Rule		
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Section 806(e)(1) *	Section 806(e)(2) *
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	Section 3C(b)(2) *
	<input type="checkbox"/>

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

**Description**

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked \*).

The Exchange proposes a rule change to Rule 14.11, Other Securities, in order to amend the continued listing standards applicable to ETPs listed on the Exchange.

**Contact Information**

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name \*  Last Name \*   
Title \*   
E-mail \*   
Telephone \*  Fax

**Signature**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title \*)

Date    
By    
(Name \*)

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

**Form 19b-4 Information \***

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

**Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \***

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

**Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies \***

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

**Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

**Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

**Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies**

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

**Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text**

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

**Partial Amendment**

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “Cboe”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change in several places in Exchange Rule 14.11, Other Securities, to amend the initial period after commencement of trading of an ETP, as defined below, on the Exchange as it specifically relates to holders of record and/or beneficial holders. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

(a) The Exchange’s President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on April 21, 2020.

(b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Patrick Sexton, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7467, or Kyle Murray, Vice President, Associate General Counsel, (913) 815-7121.

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to make several changes to Rule 14.11 in order to amend the continued listing standards applicable to ETPs<sup>3</sup> listed on the Exchange. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to amend its rules such that they would provide additional time for an ETP to meet the applicable Beneficial Holders<sup>4</sup> standards in the Exchange's listing rules (the "Beneficial Holders Rules").<sup>5</sup>

Currently, the Exchange's continued listing standards for ETPs generally require that, following the initial 12 month period after commencement of trading on the Exchange, the Exchange shall consider the suspension of trading in and will commence delisting proceedings under Rule 14.12 for an ETP for which there are fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders for 30 or more consecutive trading days. The Exchange is proposing to change the date at which an ETP would need to have at least 50 Beneficial Holders or be subject to delisting proceedings under Rule 14.12 from 12 months after

---

<sup>3</sup> For the purpose of this filing, the term ETP means securities listed pursuant to Rule 14.11(c) (Index Fund Shares), Rule 14.11(i) (Managed Fund Shares), and Rule 14.11(l) (Exchange-Traded Fund Shares ("ETF Shares")).

<sup>4</sup> As it relates to this filing, "Beneficial Holders" shall mean beneficial holders and, where applicable in a particular continued listing standard, record holders.

<sup>5</sup> The Exchange notes that its Rules related to the listing and trading of other product types (that is, products that are not ETPs as defined above) have similar requirements related to Beneficial Holders which the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate at this time. Specifically, the Exchange is only proposing to amend the Beneficial Holders Rules as it pertains to Index Fund Shares, Managed Fund Shares, and ETF Shares because such product types represent the vast majority of products listed on the Exchange. The Exchange may consider proposing to amend the Beneficial Holders Rules for other product types in a future proposal.

commencement of trading on the Exchange to 36 months after commencement of trading on the Exchange.

As further described below, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to increase the period of time for an ETP to comply with the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule from 12 months to 36 months because: (i) it would bring the rule more in line with the life cycle of an ETP; (ii) the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest; and (iii) extending the period from 12 to 36 months will not meaningfully impact the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial Holders Rules are intended to address.

First, the ETP space is more competitive than it has ever been - with more than 2,000 ETPs listed on U.S. national securities exchanges competing for investor assets, the natural cycle for an average ETP to gain traction in the market is growing longer and longer. As more and more ETPs have come to market, many distribution platforms have become more restrictive about the ETPs that they allow on their systems, often requiring a minimum existing track record (e.g., at least 12 months) and meeting certain thresholds for assets under management (e.g., at least \$100 million) for an ETP to be added. Similarly, many larger entities are unwilling to invest in ETPs that do not have at least one calendar year track record. All of these factors have contributed to the natural slowing of the average ETP's growth cycle and, unsurprisingly, the Exchange has seen a

significant number of deficiencies based on a failure to meet the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule over the last several years.<sup>6</sup>

Changing the timeline for meeting the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months would provide ETPs with a more reasonable runway to establish a track record and grow assets under management, both of which generally precede the accumulation of Beneficial Holders. Further, the Exchange believes that extending that runway will encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue paying for, all of which will help to foster competition and innovation in the ETP marketplace.<sup>7</sup>

Second, the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest, meaning that the rule does not provide any meaningful “pruning” function for the industry. Rather, the Exchange has found that, as currently

---

<sup>6</sup> The Exchange has issued deficiency notifications to 34 ETPs for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules in the last five years. In addition, 22 ETPs have voluntarily delisted within their first year listed on the Exchange. While this isn’t specifically attributable to non-compliance with the Beneficial Holder Rules, the most likely reasons for voluntarily delisting an ETP in its first year would be either: (i) failure or anticipated failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rules; or (ii) the issuer believing that the ETP was not economically viable.

<sup>7</sup> The Exchange notes that of the 34 ETPs that received deficiency notifications for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules, 27 reached compliance while going through the delisting process under Rule 14.12 and continued to list on the Exchange. As such, the 12 month threshold for the Beneficial Holders Rules had no meaningful impact on whether such ETPs could list on the Exchange and only served as regulatory and administrative burdens for issuers to manage, which the Exchange believes makes it more difficult for smaller issuers to compete.

constructed, the 12 month Beneficial Holders Rules have instead resulted in the forced termination of ETPs that issuers believed were still economically viable. While some observers might argue that forced delisting of an ETP based on a failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rule is a good way to reduce the number of ETPs in the marketplace that have not drawn meaningful market interest, the Exchange vehemently disagrees with this sentiment. First, there are significant costs associated with both the initial launch and continued operation of an ETP and the Exchange has found that the ecosystem tends to prune itself of ETPs without meaningful investor interest. In fact, the Exchange has had 69 products that have voluntarily delisted in the last two years,<sup>8</sup> creating meaningful turnover in products which issuers believe are not economically viable. Second, the Exchange contests the underlying assumption that the number of Beneficial Holders is even a meaningful measure of market interest in an ETP. While a very high Beneficial Holder count would most certainly indicate an ETP's success, the absence of Beneficial Holders is not necessarily a good measure of market interest or the amount of assets held by the ETP.

Further to this point, the Beneficial Holders Rules are not rules that an ETP issuer is incentivized to cut close or exceed by the smallest amount possible. Unlike most other quantitative or disclosure based listing requirements, an ETP issuer is incentivized to have as many Beneficial Holders as possible and would almost certainly prefer that they were able to meet and exceed the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule as soon as possible after beginning trading on the Exchange. As such, extending the time period from 12

---

<sup>8</sup> There are currently 357 ETPs listed on the Exchange, meaning that there's been a nearly 20% voluntary turnover of ETPs listed on the Exchange over the last two years.

months to 36 months will not provide issuers with a longer window to intentionally keep the number of Beneficial Holders lower, but, rather, will only extend the period during which an ETP could have fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders in specific instances where an issuer is unable to meet the 50 Beneficial Holders threshold but still believes that the ETP is viable and worth the cost of continued operation. Again, it takes money and resources to launch and operate an ETP and where an issuer does not believe that an ETP is economically viable, both common sense and prior experience point to issuers delisting these products.

Finally, the Exchange believes that making this change does not create any significant change in the risk of manipulation for ETPs listed on the Exchange for several reasons. First, the Exchange does not believe that there is anything particularly important about the 50<sup>th</sup> Beneficial Holder that reduces the manipulation risk associated with an ETP as compared to the 49<sup>th</sup>, nor is there any manipulation concern that arises on the 366<sup>th</sup> day after an ETP began trading on the Exchange that didn't otherwise exist on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 365<sup>th</sup> day. Rather, the Exchange believes that the rule is generally intended to ensure that products that do not have broad ownership and could be susceptible to manipulation by a few parties are not able to list on the Exchange after they've had sufficient time to diversify their ownership base. Leaving aside the issue of whether an open-ended ETP with creation and redemption processes would really be subject to manipulation by virtue of narrow ownership, the Exchange believes that, for all of the reasons explained above, 36 months is a more appropriate amount of time to consider sufficient time to diversify an ETP's ownership base.

Further to this point, the Exchange has in place a robust surveillance program for ETPs that allows it to monitor trading of ETPs during all trading sessions on the Exchange and it believes are sufficient to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. These surveillances generally focus on detecting securities trading outside of their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations. Further, the Exchange or the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”),<sup>9</sup> on behalf of the Exchange, or both, communicate as needed regarding trading in ETPs with other markets and other entities that are members of the Intermarket Surveillance Group (“ISG”), and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information in ETPs from such markets and other entities. The Exchange believes that these robust surveillance procedures will further act to mitigate any manipulation concerns that arise from extending the compliance period for the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months.

The Exchange also believes that the other continued listing standards in the Exchange’s rules or representations that constitute continued listing standards in Exchange rule filings (either the disclosure obligations applicable under Rule 6c-11 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for series of ETF Shares or the diversity, liquidity, and size of an ETP’s holdings or reference assets applicable to Index Fund Shares and

---

<sup>9</sup> FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA’s performance under this regulatory services agreement.

Managed Fund Shares) are generally sufficient to mitigate manipulation concerns associated with the applicable ETP. During the first 12 months of trading on the Exchange when the Beneficial Holders Rules do not apply, these disclosure and quantitative obligations, in conjunction with the Exchange's surveillance program (as discussed above), are generally deemed sufficient to prevent any manipulation concerns in Exchange-listed ETPs. As such, the Exchange believes that extending the period from 12 months to 36 months does not significantly increase any risk of manipulation that wasn't already generally deemed acceptable for the first 12 months that an ETP was listed. Again, the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate the Beneficial Holders Rules, but merely to extend the period for an ETP to meet the 50 Beneficial Holder requirement.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>10</sup> in general and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>11</sup> in particular in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The proposed rule changes are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest because it would prevent the premature delisting of ETPs that have not had sufficient time to build up to 50 Beneficial Holders without significantly impacting the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial

---

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

Holdings Rules are intended to address.

The Exchange believes it is appropriate to increase the period of time for an ETP to comply with the applicable Beneficial Holdings Rule from 12 months to 36 months because: (i) it would bring the rule more in line with the life cycle of an ETP; (ii) the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest; and (iii) extending the period from 12 to 36 months will not meaningfully impact the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial Holdings Rules are intended to address.

First, the ETP space is more competitive than it has ever been - with more than 2,000 ETPs listed on U.S. national securities exchanges competing for investor assets, the natural cycle for an average ETP to gain traction in the market is growing longer and longer. As more and more ETPs have come to market, many distribution platforms have become more restrictive about the ETPs that they allow on their systems, often requiring a minimum existing track record (e.g., at least 12 months) and meeting certain thresholds for assets under management (e.g., at least \$100 million) for an ETP to be added. Similarly, many larger entities are unwilling to invest in ETPs that do not have at least one calendar year track record. All of these factors have contributed to the natural slowing of the average ETP's growth cycle and, unsurprisingly, the Exchange has seen a significant number of deficiencies based on a failure to meet the applicable Beneficial Holdings Rule over the last several years.<sup>12</sup>

---

<sup>12</sup> The Exchange has issued deficiency notifications to 34 ETPs for non-compliance with Beneficial Holdings Rules in the last five years. In addition, 22 ETPs have voluntarily delisted within their first year listed on the Exchange. While this isn't

Changing the timeline for meeting the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months would provide ETPs with a more reasonable runway to establish a track record and grow assets under management, both of which generally precede the accumulation of Beneficial Holders. Further, the Exchange believes that extending that runway will encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue paying for, all of which will help to foster competition and innovation in the ETP marketplace.<sup>13</sup>

Second, the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest, meaning that the rule does not provide any meaningful “pruning” function for the industry. Rather, the Exchange has found that, as currently constructed, the 12 month Beneficial Holders Rules have instead resulted in the forced termination of ETPs that issuers believed were still economically viable. While some observers might argue that forced delisting of an ETP based on a failure to meet the

---

specifically attributable to non-compliance with the Beneficial Holder Rules, the most likely reasons for voluntarily delisting an ETP in its first year would be either: (i) failure or anticipated failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rules; or (ii) the issuer believing that the ETP was not economically viable.

<sup>13</sup> The Exchange notes that of the 34 ETPs that received deficiency notifications for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules, 27 reached compliance while going through the delisting process under Rule 14.12 and continued to list on the Exchange. As such, the 12 month threshold for the Beneficial Holders Rules had no meaningful impact on whether such ETPs could list on the Exchange and only served as regulatory and administrative burdens for issuers to manage, which the Exchange believes makes it more difficult for smaller issuers to compete.

Beneficial Holders Rule is a good way to reduce the number of ETPs in the marketplace that have not drawn meaningful market interest, the Exchange vehemently disagrees with this sentiment. First, there are significant costs associated with both the initial launch and continued operation of an ETP and the Exchange has found that the ecosystem tends to prune itself of ETPs without meaningful investor interest. In fact, the Exchange has had 69 products that have voluntarily delisted in the last two years,<sup>14</sup> creating meaningful turnover in products which issuers believe are not economically viable. Second, the Exchange contests the underlying assumption that the number of Beneficial Holders is even a meaningful measure of market interest in an ETP. While a very high Beneficial Holder count would most certainly indicate an ETP's success, the absence of Beneficial Holders is not necessarily a good measure of market interest or the amount of assets held by the ETP.

Further to this point, the Beneficial Holders Rules are not rules that an ETP issuer is incentivized to cut close or exceed by the smallest amount possible. Unlike most other quantitative or disclosure based listing requirements, an ETP issuer is incentivized to have as many Beneficial Holders as possible and would almost certainly prefer that they were able to meet and exceed the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule as soon as possible after beginning trading on the Exchange. As such, extending the time period from 12 months to 36 months will not provide issuers with a longer window to intentionally keep the number of Beneficial Holders lower, but, rather, will only extend the period during which an ETP could have fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders in specific instances where an

---

<sup>14</sup> There are currently 357 ETPs listed on the Exchange, meaning that there's been a nearly 20% voluntary turnover of ETPs listed on the Exchange over the last two years.

issuer is unable to meet the 50 Beneficial Holders threshold but still believes that the ETP is viable and worth the cost of continued operation. Again, it takes money and resources to launch and operate an ETP and where an issuer does not believe that an ETP is economically viable, both common sense and prior experience point to issuers delisting these products.

Finally, the Exchange believes that making this change does not create any significant change in the risk of manipulation for ETPs listed on the Exchange for several reasons. First, the Exchange does not believe that there is anything particularly important about the 50<sup>th</sup> Beneficial Holder that reduces the manipulation risk associated with an ETP as compared to the 49<sup>th</sup>, nor is there any manipulation concern that arises on the 366<sup>th</sup> day after an ETP began trading on the Exchange that didn't otherwise exist on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 365<sup>th</sup> day. Rather, the Exchange believes that the rule is generally intended to ensure that products that do not have broad ownership and could be susceptible to manipulation by a few parties are not able to list on the Exchange after they've had sufficient time to diversify their ownership base. Leaving aside the issue of whether an open-ended ETP with creation and redemption processes would really be subject to manipulation by virtue of narrow ownership, the Exchange believes that, for all of the reasons explained above, 36 months is a more appropriate amount of time to consider sufficient time to diversify an ETP's ownership base.

Further to this point, the Exchange has in place a robust surveillance program for ETPs that allows it to monitor trading of ETPs during all trading sessions on the Exchange and it believes are sufficient to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. These surveillances generally focus on

detecting securities trading outside of their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations. Further, the Exchange or the FINRA,<sup>15</sup> on behalf of the Exchange, or both, communicate as needed regarding trading in ETPs with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information in ETPs from such markets and other entities. The Exchange believes that these robust surveillance procedures will further act to mitigate any manipulation concerns that arise from extending the compliance period for the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months.

The Exchange also believes that the other continued listing standards in the Exchange's rules or representations that constitute continued listing standards in Exchange rule filings (either the disclosure obligations applicable under Rule 6c-11 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for series of ETF Shares or the diversity, liquidity, and size of an ETP's holdings or reference assets applicable to Index Fund Shares and Managed Fund Shares) are generally sufficient to mitigate manipulation concerns associated with the applicable ETP. During the first 12 months of trading on the Exchange when the Beneficial Holders Rules do not apply, these disclosure and quantitative obligations, in conjunction with the Exchange's surveillance program (as discussed above), are generally deemed sufficient to prevent any manipulation concerns

---

<sup>15</sup> FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

in Exchange-listed ETPs. As such, the Exchange believes that extending the period from 12 months to 36 months will not significantly increase any risk of manipulation that wasn't already generally deemed acceptable for the first 12 months that an ETP was listed. Again, the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate the Beneficial Holders Rules, but merely to extend the period for an ETP to meet the 50 Beneficial Holder requirement.

The proposed rule change is also designed to protect investors and the public interest because the Exchange is only proposing to amend the continued listing requirement related to Beneficial Holders and all ETPs listed on the Exchange would continue to be subject to the full panoply of Exchange rules and procedures that currently govern the trading of equity securities on the Exchange.

For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help to encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue paying for, which will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

Not applicable.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2-4: Not applicable.

Exhibit 5: Text of Proposed Rule Change.

EXHIBIT 1**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CboeBZX-2020-036]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend Rule 14.11, Other Securities

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “BZX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes rule changes in several places in Exchange Rule 14.11, Other Securities, to amend the initial period after commencement of trading of an ETP, as defined below, on the Exchange as it specifically relates to holders of record and/or beneficial holders. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange’s website ([http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule\\_filings/bzx/](http://markets.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/bzx/)), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

## II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

### A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

#### 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to make several changes to Rule 14.11 in order to amend the continued listing standards applicable to ETPs<sup>3</sup> listed on the Exchange. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to amend its rules such that they would provide additional time for an ETP to meet the applicable Beneficial Holders<sup>4</sup> standards in the Exchange’s listing rules (the “Beneficial Holders Rules”).<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>3</sup> For the purpose of this filing, the term ETP means securities listed pursuant to Rule 14.11(c) (Index Fund Shares), Rule 14.11(i) (Managed Fund Shares), and Rule 14.11(l) (Exchange-Traded Fund Shares (“ETF Shares”)).

<sup>4</sup> As it relates to this filing, “Beneficial Holders” shall mean beneficial holders and, where applicable in a particular continued listing standard, record holders.

<sup>5</sup> The Exchange notes that its Rules related to the listing and trading of other product types (that is, products that are not ETPs as defined above) have similar requirements related to Beneficial Holders which the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate at this time. Specifically, the Exchange is only proposing to amend the Beneficial Holders Rules as it pertains to Index Fund Shares, Managed Fund Shares, and ETF Shares because such product types represent the vast majority of products listed on the Exchange. The Exchange may consider proposing to amend the Beneficial Holders Rules for other product types in a future proposal.

Currently, the Exchange's continued listing standards for ETPs generally require that, following the initial 12 month period after commencement of trading on the Exchange, the Exchange shall consider the suspension of trading in and will commence delisting proceedings under Rule 14.12 for an ETP for which there are fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders for 30 or more consecutive trading days. The Exchange is proposing to change the date at which an ETP would need to have at least 50 Beneficial Holders or be subject to delisting proceedings under Rule 14.12 from 12 months after commencement of trading on the Exchange to 36 months after commencement of trading on the Exchange.

As further described below, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to increase the period of time for an ETP to comply with the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule from 12 months to 36 months because: (i) it would bring the rule more in line with the life cycle of an ETP; (ii) the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest; and (iii) extending the period from 12 to 36 months will not meaningfully impact the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial Holders Rules are intended to address.

First, the ETP space is more competitive than it has ever been - with more than 2,000 ETPs listed on U.S. national securities exchanges competing for investor assets, the natural cycle for an average ETP to gain traction in the market is growing longer and longer. As more and more ETPs have come to market, many distribution platforms have become more restrictive about the ETPs that they allow on their systems, often requiring a minimum existing track record (e.g., at least 12 months) and meeting certain thresholds

for assets under management (e.g., at least \$100 million) for an ETP to be added.

Similarly, many larger entities are unwilling to invest in ETPs that do not have at least one calendar year track record. All of these factors have contributed to the natural slowing of the average ETP's growth cycle and, unsurprisingly, the Exchange has seen a significant number of deficiencies based on a failure to meet the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule over the last several years.<sup>6</sup>

Changing the timeline for meeting the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months would provide ETPs with a more reasonable runway to establish a track record and grow assets under management, both of which generally precede the accumulation of Beneficial Holders. Further, the Exchange believes that extending that runway will encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue paying for, all of which will help to foster competition and innovation in the ETP marketplace.<sup>7</sup>

---

<sup>6</sup> The Exchange has issued deficiency notifications to 34 ETPs for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules in the last five years. In addition, 22 ETPs have voluntarily delisted within their first year listed on the Exchange. While this isn't specifically attributable to non-compliance with the Beneficial Holder Rules, the most likely reasons for voluntarily delisting an ETP in its first year would be either: (i) failure or anticipated failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rules; or (ii) the issuer believing that the ETP was not economically viable.

<sup>7</sup> The Exchange notes that of the 34 ETPs that received deficiency notifications for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules, 27 reached compliance while going through the delisting process under Rule 14.12 and continued to list on the Exchange. As such, the 12 month threshold for the Beneficial Holders Rules had no meaningful impact on whether such ETPs could list on the Exchange and only served as regulatory and administrative burdens for issuers to manage, which the Exchange believes makes it more difficult for smaller issuers to compete.

Second, the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest, meaning that the rule does not provide any meaningful “pruning” function for the industry. Rather, the Exchange has found that, as currently constructed, the 12 month Beneficial Holders Rules have instead resulted in the forced termination of ETPs that issuers believed were still economically viable. While some observers might argue that forced delisting of an ETP based on a failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rule is a good way to reduce the number of ETPs in the marketplace that have not drawn meaningful market interest, the Exchange vehemently disagrees with this sentiment. First, there are significant costs associated with both the initial launch and continued operation of an ETP and the Exchange has found that the ecosystem tends to prune itself of ETPs without meaningful investor interest. In fact, the Exchange has had 69 products that have voluntarily delisted in the last two years,<sup>8</sup> creating meaningful turnover in products which issuers believe are not economically viable. Second, the Exchange contests the underlying assumption that the number of Beneficial Holders is even a meaningful measure of market interest in an ETP. While a very high Beneficial Holder count would most certainly indicate an ETP’s success, the absence of Beneficial Holders is not necessarily a good measure of market interest or the amount of assets held by the ETP.

Further to this point, the Beneficial Holders Rules are not rules that an ETP issuer is incentivized to cut close or exceed by the smallest amount possible. Unlike most other

---

<sup>8</sup> There are currently 357 ETPs listed on the Exchange, meaning that there’s been a nearly 20% voluntary turnover of ETPs listed on the Exchange over the last two years.

quantitative or disclosure based listing requirements, an ETP issuer is incentivized to have as many Beneficial Holders as possible and would almost certainly prefer that they were able to meet and exceed the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule as soon as possible after beginning trading on the Exchange. As such, extending the time period from 12 months to 36 months will not provide issuers with a longer window to intentionally keep the number of Beneficial Holders lower, but, rather, will only extend the period during which an ETP could have fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders in specific instances where an issuer is unable to meet the 50 Beneficial Holders threshold but still believes that the ETP is viable and worth the cost of continued operation. Again, it takes money and resources to launch and operate an ETP and where an issuer does not believe that an ETP is economically viable, both common sense and prior experience point to issuers delisting these products.

Finally, the Exchange believes that making this change does not create any significant change in the risk of manipulation for ETPs listed on the Exchange for several reasons. First, the Exchange does not believe that there is anything particularly important about the 50<sup>th</sup> Beneficial Holder that reduces the manipulation risk associated with an ETP as compared to the 49<sup>th</sup>, nor is there any manipulation concern that arises on the 366<sup>th</sup> day after an ETP began trading on the Exchange that didn't otherwise exist on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 365<sup>th</sup> day. Rather, the Exchange believes that the rule is generally intended to ensure that products that do not have broad ownership and could be susceptible to manipulation by a few parties are not able to list on the Exchange after they've had sufficient time to diversify their ownership base. Leaving aside the issue of whether an open-ended ETP with creation and redemption processes would really be subject to

manipulation by virtue of narrow ownership, the Exchange believes that, for all of the reasons explained above, 36 months is a more appropriate amount of time to consider sufficient time to diversify an ETP's ownership base.

Further to this point, the Exchange has in place a robust surveillance program for ETPs that allows it to monitor trading of ETPs during all trading sessions on the Exchange and it believes are sufficient to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. These surveillances generally focus on detecting securities trading outside of their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations. Further, the Exchange or the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA"),<sup>9</sup> on behalf of the Exchange, or both, communicate as needed regarding trading in ETPs with other markets and other entities that are members of the Intermarket Surveillance Group ("ISG"), and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information in ETPs from such markets and other entities. The Exchange believes that these robust surveillance procedures will further act to mitigate any manipulation concerns that arise from extending the compliance period for the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months.

The Exchange also believes that the other continued listing standards in the Exchange's rules or representations that constitute continued listing standards in

---

<sup>9</sup> FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

Exchange rule filings (either the disclosure obligations applicable under Rule 6c-11 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for series of ETF Shares or the diversity, liquidity, and size of an ETP's holdings or reference assets applicable to Index Fund Shares and Managed Fund Shares) are generally sufficient to mitigate manipulation concerns associated with the applicable ETP. During the first 12 months of trading on the Exchange when the Beneficial Holders Rules do not apply, these disclosure and quantitative obligations, in conjunction with the Exchange's surveillance program (as discussed above), are generally deemed sufficient to prevent any manipulation concerns in Exchange-listed ETPs. As such, the Exchange believes that extending the period from 12 months to 36 months does not significantly increase any risk of manipulation that wasn't already generally deemed acceptable for the first 12 months that an ETP was listed. Again, the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate the Beneficial Holders Rules, but merely to extend the period for an ETP to meet the 50 Beneficial Holder requirement.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposal is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act<sup>10</sup> in general and Section 6(b)(5) of the Act<sup>11</sup> in particular in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.

The proposed rule changes are designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market

---

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest because it would prevent the premature delisting of ETPs that have not had sufficient time to build up to 50 Beneficial Holders without significantly impacting the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial Holders Rules are intended to address.

The Exchange believes it is appropriate to increase the period of time for an ETP to comply with the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule from 12 months to 36 months because: (i) it would bring the rule more in line with the life cycle of an ETP; (ii) the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest; and (iii) extending the period from 12 to 36 months will not meaningfully impact the manipulation concerns that the Beneficial Holders Rules are intended to address.

First, the ETP space is more competitive than it has ever been - with more than 2,000 ETPs listed on U.S. national securities exchanges competing for investor assets, the natural cycle for an average ETP to gain traction in the market is growing longer and longer. As more and more ETPs have come to market, many distribution platforms have become more restrictive about the ETPs that they allow on their systems, often requiring a minimum existing track record (e.g., at least 12 months) and meeting certain thresholds for assets under management (e.g., at least \$100 million) for an ETP to be added. Similarly, many larger entities are unwilling to invest in ETPs that do not have at least one calendar year track record. All of these factors have contributed to the natural slowing of the average ETP's growth cycle and, unsurprisingly, the Exchange has seen a

significant number of deficiencies based on a failure to meet the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule over the last several years.<sup>12</sup>

Changing the timeline for meeting the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months would provide ETPs with a more reasonable runway to establish a track record and grow assets under management, both of which generally precede the accumulation of Beneficial Holders. Further, the Exchange believes that extending that runway will encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue paying for, all of which will help to foster competition and innovation in the ETP marketplace.<sup>13</sup>

Second, the economic and competitive structures in place in the ETP ecosystem naturally incentivize issuers to de-list products rather than continuing to list products that do not garner investor interest, meaning that the rule does not provide any meaningful “pruning” function for the industry. Rather, the Exchange has found that, as currently

---

<sup>12</sup> The Exchange has issued deficiency notifications to 34 ETPs for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules in the last five years. In addition, 22 ETPs have voluntarily delisted within their first year listed on the Exchange. While this isn’t specifically attributable to non-compliance with the Beneficial Holder Rules, the most likely reasons for voluntarily delisting an ETP in its first year would be either: (i) failure or anticipated failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rules; or (ii) the issuer believing that the ETP was not economically viable.

<sup>13</sup> The Exchange notes that of the 34 ETPs that received deficiency notifications for non-compliance with Beneficial Holders Rules, 27 reached compliance while going through the delisting process under Rule 14.12 and continued to list on the Exchange. As such, the 12 month threshold for the Beneficial Holders Rules had no meaningful impact on whether such ETPs could list on the Exchange and only served as regulatory and administrative burdens for issuers to manage, which the Exchange believes makes it more difficult for smaller issuers to compete.

constructed, the 12 month Beneficial Holders Rules have instead resulted in the forced termination of ETPs that issuers believed were still economically viable. While some observers might argue that forced delisting of an ETP based on a failure to meet the Beneficial Holders Rule is a good way to reduce the number of ETPs in the marketplace that have not drawn meaningful market interest, the Exchange vehemently disagrees with this sentiment. First, there are significant costs associated with both the initial launch and continued operation of an ETP and the Exchange has found that the ecosystem tends to prune itself of ETPs without meaningful investor interest. In fact, the Exchange has had 69 products that have voluntarily delisted in the last two years,<sup>14</sup> creating meaningful turnover in products which issuers believe are not economically viable. Second, the Exchange contests the underlying assumption that the number of Beneficial Holders is even a meaningful measure of market interest in an ETP. While a very high Beneficial Holder count would most certainly indicate an ETP's success, the absence of Beneficial Holders is not necessarily a good measure of market interest or the amount of assets held by the ETP.

Further to this point, the Beneficial Holders Rules are not rules that an ETP issuer is incentivized to cut close or exceed by the smallest amount possible. Unlike most other quantitative or disclosure based listing requirements, an ETP issuer is incentivized to have as many Beneficial Holders as possible and would almost certainly prefer that they were able to meet and exceed the applicable Beneficial Holders Rule as soon as possible after beginning trading on the Exchange. As such, extending the time period from 12

---

<sup>14</sup> There are currently 357 ETPs listed on the Exchange, meaning that there's been a nearly 20% voluntary turnover of ETPs listed on the Exchange over the last two years.

months to 36 months will not provide issuers with a longer window to intentionally keep the number of Beneficial Holders lower, but, rather, will only extend the period during which an ETP could have fewer than 50 Beneficial Holders in specific instances where an issuer is unable to meet the 50 Beneficial Holders threshold but still believes that the ETP is viable and worth the cost of continued operation. Again, it takes money and resources to launch and operate an ETP and where an issuer does not believe that an ETP is economically viable, both common sense and prior experience point to issuers delisting these products.

Finally, the Exchange believes that making this change does not create any significant change in the risk of manipulation for ETPs listed on the Exchange for several reasons. First, the Exchange does not believe that there is anything particularly important about the 50<sup>th</sup> Beneficial Holder that reduces the manipulation risk associated with an ETP as compared to the 49<sup>th</sup>, nor is there any manipulation concern that arises on the 366<sup>th</sup> day after an ETP began trading on the Exchange that didn't otherwise exist on the 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, or 365<sup>th</sup> day. Rather, the Exchange believes that the rule is generally intended to ensure that products that do not have broad ownership and could be susceptible to manipulation by a few parties are not able to list on the Exchange after they've had sufficient time to diversify their ownership base. Leaving aside the issue of whether an open-ended ETP with creation and redemption processes would really be subject to manipulation by virtue of narrow ownership, the Exchange believes that, for all of the reasons explained above, 36 months is a more appropriate amount of time to consider sufficient time to diversify an ETP's ownership base.

Further to this point, the Exchange has in place a robust surveillance program for ETPs that allows it to monitor trading of ETPs during all trading sessions on the Exchange and it believes are sufficient to deter and detect violations of Exchange rules and the applicable federal securities laws. These surveillances generally focus on detecting securities trading outside of their normal patterns, which could be indicative of manipulative or other violative activity. When such situations are detected, surveillance analysis follows and investigations are opened, where appropriate, to review the behavior of all relevant parties for all relevant trading violations. Further, the Exchange or the FINRA,<sup>15</sup> on behalf of the Exchange, or both, communicate as needed regarding trading in ETPs with other markets and other entities that are members of the ISG, and the Exchange or FINRA, on behalf of the Exchange, or both, may obtain trading information in ETPs from such markets and other entities. The Exchange believes that these robust surveillance procedures will further act to mitigate any manipulation concerns that arise from extending the compliance period for the Beneficial Holders Rules from 12 months to 36 months.

The Exchange also believes that the other continued listing standards in the Exchange's rules or representations that constitute continued listing standards in Exchange rule filings (either the disclosure obligations applicable under Rule 6c-11 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 for series of ETF Shares or the diversity, liquidity, and size of an ETP's holdings or reference assets applicable to Index Fund Shares and Managed Fund Shares) are generally sufficient to mitigate manipulation concerns

---

<sup>15</sup> FINRA conducts cross-market surveillances on behalf of the Exchange pursuant to a regulatory services agreement. The Exchange is responsible for FINRA's performance under this regulatory services agreement.

associated with the applicable ETP. During the first 12 months of trading on the Exchange when the Beneficial Holders Rules do not apply, these disclosure and quantitative obligations, in conjunction with the Exchange's surveillance program (as discussed above), are generally deemed sufficient to prevent any manipulation concerns in Exchange-listed ETPs. As such, the Exchange believes that extending the period from 12 months to 36 months will not significantly increase any risk of manipulation that wasn't already generally deemed acceptable for the first 12 months that an ETP was listed. Again, the Exchange is not proposing to eliminate the Beneficial Holders Rules, but merely to extend the period for an ETP to meet the 50 Beneficial Holder requirement.

The proposed rule change is also designed to protect investors and the public interest because the Exchange is only proposing to amend the continued listing requirement related to Beneficial Holders and all ETPs listed on the Exchange would continue to be subject to the full panoply of Exchange rules and procedures that currently govern the trading of equity securities on the Exchange.

For the above reasons, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act.

**B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition**

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purpose of the Act. Instead, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help to encourage smaller issuers to make the necessary capital expenditures to launch additional ETPs, as well as help both large and small issuers by allowing them to continue to list and promote products that they believe can succeed and that they are willing to continue

paying for, which will enhance competition among market participants, to the benefit of investors and the marketplace.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

**IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-CboeBZX-2020-036 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBZX-2020-036. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeBZX-2020-036 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>16</sup>

---

<sup>16</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Secretary

EXHIBIT 5

Proposed new language is underlined; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

**Rules of Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc.**

\* \* \* \* \*

## Rule 14.11. Other Securities

(a)-(b) (No changes).

(c) Index Fund Shares

(1) – (8) (No changes.)

(9) Each series of Index Fund Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange subject to application of the following criteria:

(A) (No changes.)

(B) Continued Listing —

(i) the Exchange will consider the suspension of trading in and will initiate delisting proceedings for a series of Index Fund Shares pursuant to Rule 14.12 under any of the following circumstances:

(a) if, following the initial 36[twelve] month period after commencement of trading on the Exchange of a series of Index Fund Shares, there are fewer than 50 beneficial holders of the series of Index Fund Shares for 30 or more consecutive trading days;

\* \* \* \* \*

(i) Managed Fund Shares

(1) – (3) (No changes.)

(4) *Initial and Continued Listing*. Managed Fund Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange subject to application of the following criteria:

(A) (No changes.)

(B) Continued Listing. Each series of Managed Fund Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange subject to application of the following continued listing criteria:

(i) (No changes.)

(ii) Suspension of trading or removal. The Exchange will consider the suspension of trading in and will commence delisting proceedings for a series of Managed Fund Shares pursuant to Rule 14.12 under any of the following circumstances:

(a) if, following the initial 36 [twelve-]month period after commencement of trading on the Exchange of a series of Managed Fund Shares, there are fewer than 50 beneficial holders of the series of Managed Fund Shares for 30 or more consecutive trading days;

\* \* \* \* \*

(l) Exchange-Traded Fund Shares

(1)-(3) (No changes.)

(4) Initial and Continued Listing. The Exchange may approve a series of ETF Shares for listing and/or trading (including pursuant to unlisted trading privileges) on the Exchange pursuant to Rule 19b-4(e) under the Act, provided such series of ETF Shares is eligible to operate in reliance on Rule 6c-11 under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and must satisfy the requirements of this Rule 14.11(l) on an initial and continued listing basis.

(A) (No changes.)

(B) Continued Listing. Each series of ETF Shares will be listed and traded on the Exchange subject to application of the following continued listing criteria:

(i) Suspension of trading or removal. The Exchange will consider the suspension of trading in, and will commence delisting proceedings under Rule 14.12 for, a series of ETF Shares under any of the following circumstances:

(a)-(b) (No changes.)

(c) if, following the initial 36[twelve] month period after commencement of trading on the Exchange of a series of ETF Shares, there are fewer than 50 beneficial holders of the series of ETF Shares for 30 or more consecutive trading days; or

\* \* \* \* \*