

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 19	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4	File No.* SR - 2013 - * 125	Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)
----------------	--	-----------------------------	---------------------------------------

Filing by Chicago Board Options Exchange
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
			Rule		
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>	Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 806(e)(2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
--	--

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

The Exchange is proposing to modify the Quarterly Options Series Program to eliminate the cap on the number of additional series that may be listed per expiration month for each QOS in exchange-traded fund options.

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Megan R.	Last Name * Malone
Title * Attorney	
E-mail * malone@cboe.com	
Telephone * (312) 786-7304	Fax (312) 786-7919

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date 12/13/2013	Attorney
By Megan R. Malone	
(Name *)	

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Persona Not Validated - 1384894470583,

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) proposes to modify the Quarterly Options Series (“QOS”) Program to eliminate the cap on the number of additional series that may be listed per expiration month for each QOS in exchange-traded fund (“ETF”) options. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

(a) The Exchange’s President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on December 5, 2013.

(b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Joanne Moffic-Silver, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7462, or Megan R. Malone, (312) 786-7304, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Item 3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

In an attempt to align Exchange Rules with other options exchanges,¹ the Exchange is proposing to amend Rule 5.5(e) related to the QOS Program to eliminate the cap on the number of additional series that may be listed per expiration month for each QOS in ETF

¹ See Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 70854 (November 13, 2013), 78 FR 69465 (November 19, 2013)(notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of SR-NYSEMKT-2013-90). See also Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 70855 (November 13, 2013), 78 FR 69493 (November 19, 2013)(notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of SR-NYSEArca-2013-120). (Collectively the “NYSE Filings”).

options. As set out in Rule 5.5(e)(1), the Exchange may list QOS for up to five currently listed options classes that are either index options or options on ETFs. The Exchange may also list QOS on any option classes that are selected by other securities exchanges that employ a similar program under their respective rules. Currently, for each QOS in ETF options that has been initially listed on the Exchange, the Exchange may list up to 60 additional series per expiration month.

The Exchange is now proposing to amend Rule 5.5(e) to make the treatment of QOS in ETF options consistent with the treatment of QOS in stock index options² and other options exchanges.³ For example, like the QOS Program in ETF options, the QOS Program in index options permits QOS in up to five currently listed options classes, requires the listing of series that expire at the end of the next (as of the listing date) consecutive four quarters, as well as the fourth quarter of the next calendar year; requires the strike price of each QOS to be fixed at a price per share; and establishes parameters for the number of strike prices above and below the underlying index.⁴ The QOS Program in index options, however, does not place a cap on the number of additional series that the Exchange may list per expiration month for each QOS in index options. Elimination of the cap set out in Rule 5.5(e), therefore, would result in similar regulatory treatment of similar options products.

The Exchange believes that the proposed revision to the QOS Program would provide market participants with the ability to better tailor their trading to meet their investment objective, including hedging securities positions, by permitting the Exchange to list additional QOS in ETF options that meet such objectives. In addition, elimination of the

² See Rule 24.9(a)(2)(B) which governs the QOS for index options.

³ See note 1 *supra*.

⁴ See note 2 *supra*.

cap would further allow the Exchange to react to moving markets as it gives the Exchange the ability to add more strike prices closer to the underlying security. Finally, the proposed changes will align the Exchange's QOS with other options exchanges.⁵

The Exchange also notes that it is not subject to the same series limitations for other programs including options series with weekly or monthly expirations.⁶ In addition, the Exchange believes the elimination of the cap would also help market participants meet their investment objective by providing expanded opportunities to roll ETF options into later quarters. Because of the current cap, however, the Exchange may not be able to list the appropriate series to do so. Elimination of the cap, however, would allow the Exchange to meet the investment needs of market participants in such situation.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, the Exchange has represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority ("OPRA") have the necessary systems capacity to handle any potential additional traffic associated with this current amendment to the QOS Program. The Exchange believes that its Trading Permit Holders will not have a capacity issue as a result of this proposal. The Exchange also represents that it does not believe this expansion will cause fragmentation to liquidity.

To help ensure that only active options series are listed, the Exchange has in place procedures to delist inactive series. Rule 5.5(e)(7)(A) requires the Exchange to review,

⁵ See note 1 *supra*.

⁶ Rule 5.5(d), for example, governs the Exchange's Short Term Options Series Program ("Weeklys"). Rule 5.5(d)(4) sets a limitation to the number of strikes for each option class, but the Exchange is given authority to surpass this maximum number under certain circumstances. More specifically, Rule 5.5(d)(4) states that "in the event that the underlying security has moved such that there are no series that are at least 10% above or below the current price of the underlying security and all existing series have open interest, the Exchange may list additional series, in excess of the thirty series per class limit set forth in Rule 5.5(d)(1)."

on a monthly basis, the QOS Program that are outside of a range of five (5) strikes above and five (5) strikes below the current price of the underlying ETF, and delist series with no open interest in both the put and the call series having a: (i) strike higher than the highest strike price with open interest in the put and/or call series for a given expiration month; and (ii) strike lower than the lowest strike price with open interest in the put and/or call series for a given expiration month.⁷ The Exchange believes this provision helps to maintain capacity to handle quote traffic.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁸ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁹ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁰ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

⁷ See Exchange Rule 5.5(e)(7)(A).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹⁰ Id.

In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market because it will expand the investment options available to investors and will allow for more efficient risk management. The Exchange believes that removing the cap on the number of QOS in ETF options permitted to be listed on the Exchange will result in a continuing benefit to investors by giving them more flexibility to closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions to their needs, and, therefore, the proposal is designed to protect investors and the public interest. In addition, the elimination of the cap will make the treatment of QOS in ETF options consistent with the treatment of QOS in index options, thus resulting in similar regulatory treatment for similar option products.

As the Exchange as already stated, with regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, the Exchange has represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle any potential additional traffic associated with this current amendment to the QOS Program. The Exchange believes that its Trading Permit Holders will not have a capacity issue as a result of this proposal. The Exchange also represents that it does not believe this expansion will cause fragmentation to liquidity.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In contrary, CBOE believes that the proposed rule change will relieve any burden on, or otherwise promote competition. The elimination of the cap on series in the QOS program will benefit investors by providing more flexibility to more closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change. The Exchange notes that the original NYSE MKT filing also did not receive any comments.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

(a) The proposed rule change is filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of Act¹¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹² thereunder.

(b) The Exchange designates that the proposed rule change effects a change that (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

More specifically, the Exchange is merely trying to align its rules with other options exchanges.¹³ In addition, the proposal is designed to benefit investors by giving

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

them more flexibility to closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions to their needs. Finally, the Exchange does not believe the proposal will result in any capacity issues or fragmentation of liquidity.

For the foregoing reasons, this rule filing qualifies as a “non-controversial” rule change under Rule 19b-4(f)(6), which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission. At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved. The Exchange respectfully requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay period after which a proposed rule change under Rule 19b-4(f)(6) becomes effective. The Exchange believes the waiver of the 30-days will allow the Exchange to compete with other options exchanges proposing similar changes without putting the Exchange at a competitive disadvantage. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed change is in the protection of investors and the public interest because it fosters competition by allowing the QOS Program to increase in more than one exchange. Additionally, the waiver would allow the Exchange to align its rules for ETF options and index options more quickly.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

¹³

See note 1 *supra*.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed change is based upon a recent rule change to the NYSE Arca, Inc. (“Arca”) and NYST MKT LLC (“NYSE MKT”) rules.¹⁴ As the rules for the Exchange, Arca and NYSE MKT are similar, the Exchange is proposing the same substantive changes that were made in the NYSE Filings. As stated above, the purpose of this filing is to align the Exchange’s rules with those of other options exchanges, and, thus, the Exchange is proposing the same amendments as those proposed in the NYSE Filings.

Item 9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text if not included under Item 1(a).

¹⁴ See note 1 supra.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CBOE-2013-125]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Quarterly Option Series Program

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to modify the Quarterly Options Series (“QOS”) Program to eliminate the cap on the number of additional series that may be listed per expiration month for each QOS in exchange-traded fund (“ETF”) options. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

In an attempt to align Exchange Rules with other options exchanges,³ the Exchange is proposing to amend Rule 5.5(e) related to the QOS Program to eliminate the cap on the number of additional series that may be listed per expiration month for each QOS in ETF options. As set out in Rule 5.5(e)(1), the Exchange may list QOS for up to five currently listed options classes that are either index options or options on ETFs. The Exchange may also list QOS on any option classes that are selected by other securities exchanges that employ a similar program under their respective rules. Currently, for each QOS in ETF options that has been initially listed on the Exchange, the Exchange may list up to 60 additional series per expiration month.

The Exchange is now proposing to amend Rule 5.5(e) to make the treatment of QOS in ETF options consistent with the treatment of QOS in stock index options⁴ and

³ See Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 70854 (November 13, 2013), 78 FR 69465 (November 19, 2013)(notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of SR-NYSEMKT-2013-90). See also Securities and Exchange Act Release No. 70855 (November 13, 2013), 78 FR 69493 (November 19, 2013)(notice of filing and immediate effectiveness of SR-NYSEArca-2013-120). (Collectively the “NYSE Filings”).

⁴ See Rule 24.9(a)(2)(B) which governs the QOS for index options.

other options exchanges.⁵ For example, like the QOS Program in ETF options, the QOS Program in index options permits QOS in up to five currently listed options classes, requires the listing of series that expire at the end of the next (as of the listing date) consecutive four quarters, as well as the fourth quarter of the next calendar year; requires the strike price of each QOS to be fixed at a price per share; and establishes parameters for the number of strike prices above and below the underlying index.⁶ The QOS Program in index options, however, does not place a cap on the number of additional series that the Exchange may list per expiration month for each QOS in index options. Elimination of the cap set out in Rule 5.5(e), therefore, would result in similar regulatory treatment of similar options products.

The Exchange believes that the proposed revision to the QOS Program would provide market participants with the ability to better tailor their trading to meet their investment objective, including hedging securities positions, by permitting the Exchange to list additional QOS in ETF options that meet such objectives. In addition, elimination of the cap would further allow the Exchange to react to moving markets as it gives the Exchange the ability to add more strike prices closer to the underlying security. Finally, the proposed changes will align the Exchange's QOS with other options exchanges.⁷

The Exchange also notes that it is not subject to the same series limitations for other programs including options series with weekly or monthly expirations.⁸ In

⁵ See note 3 *supra*.

⁶ See note 4 *supra*.

⁷ See note 3 *supra*.

⁸ Rule 5.5(d), for example, governs the Exchange's Short Term Options Series Program ("Weeklys"). Rule 5.5(d)(4) sets a limitation to the number of strikes for each option class, but the Exchange is given authority to surpass this maximum number under certain circumstances. More specifically, Rule 5.5(d)(4) states that "in the event that the underlying security has moved such that there are no series that are at least 10% above or below the current price of the underlying security and all existing series have open interest, the Exchange may list additional series, in excess of the thirty series per

addition, the Exchange believes the elimination of the cap would also help market participants meet their investment objective by providing expanded opportunities to roll ETF options into later quarters. Because of the current cap, however, the Exchange may not be able to list the appropriate series to do so. Elimination of the cap, however, would allow the Exchange to meet the investment needs of market participants in such situation.

With regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, the Exchange has represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle any potential additional traffic associated with this current amendment to the QOS Program. The Exchange believes that its Trading Permit Holders will not have a capacity issue as a result of this proposal. The Exchange also represents that it does not believe this expansion will cause fragmentation to liquidity.

To help ensure that only active options series are listed, the Exchange has in place procedures to delist inactive series. Rule 5.5(e)(7)(A) requires the Exchange to review, on a monthly basis, the QOS Program that are outside of a range of five (5) strikes above and five (5) strikes below the current price of the underlying ETF, and delist series with no open interest in both the put and the call series having a: (i) strike higher than the highest strike price with open interest in the put and/or call series for a given expiration month; and (ii) strike lower than the lowest strike price with open interest in the put and/or call series for a given expiration month.⁹ The Exchange believes this provision helps to maintain capacity to handle quote traffic.

class limit set forth in Rule 5.5(d)(1).”

⁹ See Exchange Rule 5.5(e)(7)(A).

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹⁰ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹¹ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹² requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

In particular, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market because it will expand the investment options available to investors and will allow for more efficient risk management. The Exchange believes that removing the cap on the number of QOS in ETF options permitted to be listed on the Exchange will result in a continuing benefit to investors by giving them more flexibility to closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions to their needs, and, therefore, the proposal is designed to protect investors and the public interest. In addition, the elimination of the cap will make the

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹² Id.

treatment of QOS in ETF options consistent with the treatment of QOS in index options, thus resulting in similar regulatory treatment for similar option products.

As the Exchange as already stated, with regard to the impact of this proposal on system capacity, the Exchange has represents that it and the Options Price Reporting Authority (“OPRA”) have the necessary systems capacity to handle any potential additional traffic associated with this current amendment to the QOS Program. The Exchange believes that its Trading Permit Holders will not have a capacity issue as a result of this proposal. The Exchange also represents that it does not believe this expansion will cause fragmentation to liquidity.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Burden on Competition

CBOE does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In contrary, CBOE believes that the proposed rule change will relieve any burden on, or otherwise promote competition. The elimination of the cap on series in the QOS program will benefit investors by providing more flexibility to more closely tailor their investment and hedging decisions.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change. The Exchange notes that the original NYSE MKT filing also did not receive any comments.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- A. significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;

B. impose any significant burden on competition; and

C. become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate,

it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)¹⁴ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2013-125 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2013-125. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2013-125 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁵

Secretary

¹⁵ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5

(additions are underlined; deletions are [bracketed])

* * * * *

**Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated
Rules**

* * * * *

Rule 5.5. Series of Option Contracts Open for Trading

(a) – (d) No change.

(e) *Quarterly Option Series Program.* The Exchange may list and trade options series that expire at the close of business on the last business day of a calendar quarter ("Quarterly Options Series").

(1) Classes. The Exchange may list Quarterly Options Series for up to five (5) currently listed options classes that are either index options or options on exchange traded funds ("ETF"). In addition, the Exchange may also list Quarterly Options Series on any options classes that are selected by other securities exchanges that employ a similar program under their respective rules.

(2) – (4) No change.

(5) Additional Series. Additional Quarterly Options Series of the same class may be open for trading on the Exchange when the Exchange deems it necessary to maintain an orderly market, to meet customer demand or when the market price of the underlying security moves substantially from the initial exercise price or prices. To the extent that any additional strike prices are listed by the Exchange, such additional strike prices shall be within thirty percent (30%) above or below the closing price of the underlying ETF (or "Units" as defined in Rule 5.3.06) on the preceding day. The Exchange may also open additional strike prices of Quarterly Option Series in ETF options that are more than 30% above or below the current price of the underlying ETF provided that demonstrated customer interest exists for such series, as expressed by institutional, corporate or individual customers or their brokers. Market-Makers trading for their own account shall not be considered when determining customer interest under this provision. The opening of the new Quarterly Options Series shall not affect the series of options of the same class previously opened. [In addition to the initial listed series, the Exchange may list up to sixty (60) additional series per expiration month for each Quarterly Options Series in ETF options.]

(6) –(8) No change.

* * * * *