

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 21

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
Form 19b-4

File No. * SR 2026 - * 059

Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)

Filing by Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * ☒	Amendment * ☐	Withdrawal ☐	Section 19(b)(2) * ☐	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * ☒	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * ☐
--	---	--	--	--	---

Pilot

☐Extension of Time Period for
Commission Action *☐

Date Expires *

Rule

☐

19b-4(f)(1)

☐

19b-4(f)(4)

☒

19b-4(f)(2)

☐

19b-4(f)(5)

☐

19b-4(f)(3)

☐

19b-4(f)(6)

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010

Section 806(e)(1) *

☐

Section 806(e)(2) *

☐Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 3C(b)(2) *

☐

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document

☐

Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document

☐**Description**

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule.

Contact InformationProvide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization
prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Sarah Last Name * Tadtman

Title * Assistant General Counsel

E-mail * stadtman@cboe.com

Telephone * (913) 815-7203

Fax

SignaturePursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange of 1934, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.
has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date 09/01/2026

(Title *)

By Laura G. Dickman

(Name *)

VP, Associate General Counsel

NOTE: Clicking the signature block at right will initiate digitally signing the
form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and
once signed, this form cannot be changed.

Laura Dickman

Date: 2026.09.01
12:24:36 -05'00'

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and astericks.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

EDGX-26-059 19b-4 (September 2026)

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

EDGX-26-059 Exhibit 1 (September 2026)

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A - Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advanced Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2- Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

☐

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

EDGX-26-059 Exhibit 5 (September 2026)

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) proposes to amend its Fees Schedule to (i) add MX2 LLC (“MX2”) to fee code RP and (ii) increase the fee assessed under fee code RO. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

(a) The Exchange’s President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on August 28, 2026.

(b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Pat Sexton, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7467, or Sarah Tadtman, (913) 424-2970, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., 433 West Van Buren Street, Chicago, Illinois 60607.

Item 3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule applicable to its options platform (“EDGX Options”), effective September 1, 2026, to (1) add MX2 to fee code RP¹ and (2) increase the fee assessed under fee code RO.²

¹ Fee code RP is appended to Customer orders that are routed to and executed at AMEX, BOX, Cboe, MIAX, SPHR, or PHLX (excluding orders in SPY options routed to PHLX), and is assessed a charge of \$0.25 per contract.

² Fee code RO is appended to Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange, and is assessed a charge of \$1.25 per contract.

Addition of MX2 to Fee Code RP

The Exchange assesses fees in connection with orders routed away to various options exchanges. The Fees Schedule currently lists fee codes and their corresponding transaction fees for certain Customer³ orders routed to other options exchanges. Currently, under the Fee Codes and Associated Fees section of the Fee Schedule, fee code RP is appended to routed Customer orders to NYSE American (“AMEX”), BOX Options Exchange (“BOX”), Cboe Exchange, Inc. (“Cboe”), MIAX Options Exchange (“MIAX”), MIAX Sapphire, LLC (“SPHR”), or Nasdaq PHLX LLC (“PHLX”) (excluding orders in SPY options routed to PHLX) and assesses a charge of \$0.25 per contract.

The Exchange’s current approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain sub-categories of fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (i.e., clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, “Routing Costs”). The Exchange monitors the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services and adjusts its routing fees and/or sub-categories to ensure that the Exchange’s fees result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. Other options exchanges assess routing fees in a similar manner.⁴

³ “Customer” applies to any order for the account of a Priority Customer.

⁴ See MEMX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.memx.com/options/fee-schedule>; MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-options/fees>; MIAX Pearl Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-pearl/fees>; MIAX Emerald

The Exchange proposes to amend fee code RP to add applicable Customer orders routed to MX2 LLC (“MX2”), a national securities exchange. The charge assessed per contract for fee code RP remains the same (\$0.25) under the proposed rule change. The proposed change results in an assessment of fees that, given the fees of an away options exchange, is in line with the Exchange’s current approach to routing fees — that is, in a manner that approximates the cost of routing Customer orders to other away options exchanges, based on the general cost of transaction fees assessed by the sub-category of away options exchanges for such orders (as well as the Exchange’s Routing Costs). The Exchange notes that routing through the Exchange is optional and that market participants will continue to be able to choose where to route applicable Customer orders.

Increase to Fee Code RO

Fee code RO is appended to Non-Customer⁵ orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange, and currently assesses a charge of \$1.25 per contract. The Exchange proposes to increase the fee assessed under fee code RO from \$1.25 per contract to \$1.31 per contract.

The purpose of increasing the fee assessed under fee code RO is to recoup the costs incurred by the Exchange when routing such orders to away options exchanges on behalf of Members. In determining to amend fee code RO, the Exchange took into account the transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, as well as the Exchange’s clearing costs, administrative, regulatory, and technical costs associated with routing orders to an away market. The Exchange uses unaffiliated

Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-emerald/fees>.

⁵ “Non-Customer” applies to any transaction that is not a Customer order.

routing brokers to route orders to the away markets; the costs associated with the use of these services are included in the routing fees specified in the Fee Schedule. The proposed fee is intended to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes to away markets. Routing through the Exchange is optional, and Members may mark their orders as Book Only to avoid routing (and any associated routing fees), or may use another routing venue or broker-dealer. The Exchange further notes that the proposed routing fee is comparable to routing fees assessed by other options exchanges for similar orders.⁶

(b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁷ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁸ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in

⁶ See MEMX Options Exchange Fee Schedule (assessing a routing fee of \$1.63 per contract for non-penny classes), available at <https://www.memx.com/options/fee-schedule>; and MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, MIAX Pearl Fee Schedule, and MIAX Emerald Fee Schedule (assessing routing fees ranging from \$1.25 to \$1.40 per contract for non-penny classes, depending on the away exchange), available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-options/fees>, <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-pearl/fees>, and <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-emerald/fees>, respectively.

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁹ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes the proposed change to add MX2 to fee code RP is reasonable because the charge assessed per contract for fee code RP remains the same, and the change is designed to assess routing fees for Customer orders routed to MX2 in a manner consistent with the Exchange's current approach to routing fees — i.e., in the most appropriate sub-category of fees that approximates the cost of routing to a group of away options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as the Exchange's Routing Costs. The Exchange believes the proposed change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Members' Customer orders in non-penny (and, as applicable, penny) classes routed to MX2 will automatically yield fee code RP and uniformly be assessed the corresponding fee.

The Exchange believes the proposed increase to fee code RO is reasonable because the proposed fee is designed to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes to away markets, including transaction fees assessed by away markets and the Exchange's clearing, administrative,

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

regulatory, and technical costs. The Exchange believes the proposed fee is reasonable because it is comparable to routing fees assessed for similar orders on other options exchanges. The Exchange believes the proposed change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed fee under fee code RO will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange. Routing through the Exchange is optional, and no Member is required to route orders through the Exchange.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intramarket Competition. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impose any burden on intramarket competition. The proposed change to add MX2 to fee code RP will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' applicable Customer orders routed to MX2, which will be assessed the same \$0.25 per contract charge that applies to other exchanges within fee code RP. The proposed increase to fee code RO

will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes routed to and executed at an away options exchange.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues, including 17 other options exchanges and off-exchange venues. Routing through the Exchange is optional. The proposed changes are designed to allow the Exchange to recover its Routing Costs and to assess routing fees in a manner comparable to at least one other options exchange, thereby furthering the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders. Members may readily direct their order flow to competing venues if they deem the Exchange's fees to be excessive.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

(a) The proposed rule change is filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁰ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)¹¹ thereunder.

(b) The Exchange designates that the proposed rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on a rule either of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission.

Item 9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

**Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment,
Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act**

Not applicable.

Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the
Federal Register.

Exhibits 2-4. Not applicable.

Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text.

EXHIBIT 1**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CboeEDGX-2026-059]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend its Fees Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGX”) proposes to amend its Fees Schedule to (i) add MX2 LLC (“MX2”) to fee code RP and (ii) increase the fee assessed under fee code RO. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Commission’s website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>), the Exchange’s website (https://www.cboe.com/us/equities/regulation/rule_filings/edgx/), and at the principal office of the Exchange.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule applicable to its options platform ("EDGX Options"), effective September 1, 2026, to (1) add MX2 to fee code RP³ and (2) increase the fee assessed under fee code RO.⁴

Addition of MX2 to Fee Code RP

The Exchange assesses fees in connection with orders routed away to various options exchanges. The Fees Schedule currently lists fee codes and their corresponding transaction fees for certain Customer⁵ orders routed to other options exchanges. Currently, under the Fee Codes and Associated Fees section of the Fee Schedule, fee code RP is appended to routed Customer orders to NYSE American ("AMEX"), BOX Options Exchange ("BOX"), Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe"), MIAX Options Exchange ("MIAX"), MIAX Sapphire, LLC ("SPHR"), or Nasdaq PHLX LLC ("PHLX")

³ Fee code RP is appended to Customer orders that are routed to and executed at AMEX, BOX, Cboe, MIAX, SPHR, or PHLX (excluding orders in SPY options routed to PHLX), and is assessed a charge of \$0.25 per contract.

⁴ Fee code RO is appended to Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange, and is assessed a charge of \$1.25 per contract.

⁵ "Customer" applies to any order for the account of a Priority Customer.

(excluding orders in SPY options routed to PHLX) and assesses a charge of \$0.25 per contract.

The Exchange's current approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain sub-categories of fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (i.e., clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, "Routing Costs"). The Exchange monitors the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services and adjusts its routing fees and/or sub-categories to ensure that the Exchange's fees result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. Other options exchanges assess routing fees in a similar manner.⁶

The Exchange proposes to amend fee code RP to add applicable Customer orders routed to MX2 LLC ("MX2"), a national securities exchange. The charge assessed per contract for fee code RP remains the same (\$0.25) under the proposed rule change. The proposed change results in an assessment of fees that, given the fees of an away options exchange, is in line with the Exchange's current approach to routing fees — that is, in a manner that approximates the cost of routing Customer orders to other away options exchanges, based on the general cost of transaction fees assessed by the sub-category of away options exchanges for such orders (as well as the Exchange's Routing Costs). The

⁶ See MEMX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.memx.com/options/fee-schedule>; MIA X Options Exchange Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-options/fees>; MIA X Pearl Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-pearl/fees>; MIA X Emerald Fee Schedule, available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-emerald/fees>.

Exchange notes that routing through the Exchange is optional and that market participants will continue to be able to choose where to route applicable Customer orders.

Increase to Fee Code RO

Fee code RO is appended to Non-Customer⁷ orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange, and currently assesses a charge of \$1.25 per contract. The Exchange proposes to increase the fee assessed under fee code RO from \$1.25 per contract to \$1.31 per contract.

The purpose of increasing the fee assessed under fee code RO is to recoup the costs incurred by the Exchange when routing such orders to away options exchanges on behalf of Members. In determining to amend fee code RO, the Exchange took into account the transaction fees assessed by the away markets to which the Exchange routes orders, as well as the Exchange's clearing costs, administrative, regulatory, and technical costs associated with routing orders to an away market. The Exchange uses unaffiliated routing brokers to route orders to the away markets; the costs associated with the use of these services are included in the routing fees specified in the Fee Schedule. The proposed fee is intended to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes to away markets. Routing through the Exchange is optional, and Members may mark their orders as Book Only to avoid routing (and any associated routing fees), or may use another routing venue or broker-dealer. The Exchange further notes that the proposed routing fee is comparable to routing fees assessed by other options exchanges for similar orders.⁸

⁷ "Non-Customer" applies to any transaction that is not a Customer order.

⁸ See MEMX Options Exchange Fee Schedule (assessing a routing fee of \$1.63 per contract for non-penny classes), available at <https://www.memx.com/options/fee-schedule>; and MIAX Options Exchange Fee Schedule, MIAX Pearl Fee Schedule, and MIAX Emerald Fee Schedule (assessing

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁹

Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)¹⁰ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,¹¹ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes the proposed change to add MX2 to fee code RP is reasonable because the charge assessed per contract for fee code RP remains the same,

routing fees ranging from \$1.25 to \$1.40 per contract for non-penny classes, depending on the away exchange), available at <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-options/fees>, <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-pearl/fees>, and <https://www.miaxglobal.com/markets/us-options/miax-emerald/fees>, respectively.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

and the change is designed to assess routing fees for Customer orders routed to MX2 in a manner consistent with the Exchange's current approach to routing fees — i.e., in the most appropriate sub-category of fees that approximates the cost of routing to a group of away options exchanges based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as the Exchange's Routing Costs. The Exchange believes the proposed change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because all Members' Customer orders in non-penny (and, as applicable, penny) classes routed to MX2 will automatically yield fee code RP and uniformly be assessed the corresponding fee.

The Exchange believes the proposed increase to fee code RO is reasonable because the proposed fee is designed to enable the Exchange to recover the costs it incurs to route Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes to away markets, including transaction fees assessed by away markets and the Exchange's clearing, administrative, regulatory, and technical costs. The Exchange believes the proposed fee is reasonable because it is comparable to routing fees assessed for similar orders on other options exchanges. The Exchange believes the proposed change is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the proposed fee under fee code RO will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes that are routed to and executed at an away options exchange. Routing through the Exchange is optional, and no Member is required to route orders through the Exchange.

The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market. The Commission has repeatedly expressed its preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. Market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels at a particular

venue to be excessive or incentives to be insufficient. Accordingly, competitive forces constrain the Exchange's transaction fees, and market participants can readily trade on competing venues if they deem pricing levels at those other venues to be more favorable.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intramarket Competition. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impose any burden on intramarket competition. The proposed change to add MX2 to fee code RP will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' applicable Customer orders routed to MX2, which will be assessed the same \$0.25 per contract charge that applies to other exchanges within fee code RP. The proposed increase to fee code RO will apply automatically and uniformly to all Members' Non-Customer orders in non-penny classes routed to and executed at an away options exchange.

Intermarket Competition. The Exchange does not believe the proposed changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues, including 17 other options exchanges and off-exchange venues. Routing through the Exchange is optional. The proposed changes are designed to allow the Exchange to recover its Routing Costs and to assess routing fees in a manner comparable to at least one other options exchange, thereby furthering the Commission's goal in adopting Regulation NMS of fostering competition among orders. Members may readily direct their order flow to competing venues if they deem the Exchange's fees to be excessive.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹² and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4¹³ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include file number

¹² 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

SR-CboeEDGX-2026-059 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeEDGX-2026-059. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<https://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the filing will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. Do not include personal identifiable information in submissions; you should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. We may redact in part or withhold entirely from publication submitted material that is obscene or subject to copyright protection. All submissions should refer to file number SR-CboeEDGX-2026-059 and should be submitted on or before [INSERT DATE 21 DAYS AFTER DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE *FEDERAL REGISTER*].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁴

Sherry R. Haywood,

Assistant Secretary.

¹⁴

17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

EXHIBIT 5(additions are underlined; deletions are [bracketed])

* * * * *

Rules of Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.

* * * * *

Cboe U.S. Options Fee Schedules**EDGX Options****Effective [August 20]September 1, 2026**

* * * * *

Fee Codes and Associated Fees:

FEE CODE	DESCRIPTION	FEE/(REBATE)
* * * * *		
RO	Routed (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	[1.25] <u>1.31</u>
RP	Routed (Customer) to AMEX, BOX, Cboe, MIAX, <u>MX2</u> , SPHR or PHLX (excl SPY to PHLX)	0.25
* * * * *		

* * * * *