$\label{lem:red} \textit{Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks}.$ 

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response.......38

Page 1 of * 111		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION File No.* SR - 2019 - *  WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)				
Filing by Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.  Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934						
Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2	)* Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	<ul> <li>19b-4(f)(1)</li> <li>19b-4(f)(4)</li> <li>19b-4(f)(2)</li> <li>19b-4(f)(5)</li> <li>19b-4(f)(3)</li> <li>19b-4(f)(6)</li> </ul>			
Notice of proposed change pursuant  Section 806(e)(1) *		to the Payment, Cleari Section 806(e)(2) *	the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(2) *		Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  Section 3C(b)(2) *	
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document  Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document						
Description  Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).  The Exchange proposes a rule change to adopt a solicited order mechanism ("SAM") on EDGX Options.						
Contact Information  Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.						
First Na	me * Laura		Last Name * Did	kman		
Title *	Vice President, Associate General Counsel					
E-mail *						
Telephone * (312) 786-7572						
Signature  Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,  has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunte duly authorized.						
has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.  (Title *)						
Date 0	7/31/2019	Ţ	Vice President, A		ral Counsel	
<u> </u>	aura G. Dickman		,			
this form.	(Name *) cking the button at right will digit A digital signature is as legally b	inding as a physical	di	ckman@cboe.c	om	
signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.						

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information \* clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \* in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies \* guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add View Remove the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy Partial Amendment proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

## Item 1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

- (a) Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") proposes to adopt Rule 21.21. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.
  - (b) Not applicable.
  - (c) Not applicable.

## Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

- (a) The Exchange's President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on July 31, 2019.
- (b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Pat Sexton, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7467, or Laura G. Dickman, (312) 786-7572, Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc., 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

# Item 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

### (a) Purpose

In 2016, the Exchange's parent company, Cboe Global Markets, Inc. ("Cboe Global"), which is the parent company of Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe Options") and Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. ("C2"), acquired the Exchange, Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA"), Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX or BZX Options"), and Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. ("BYX" and, together with C2, Cboe Options, the Exchange, EDGA, and BZX, the "Cboe Affiliated Exchanges"). The Cboe Affiliated Exchanges are working to align certain system functionality, retaining only intended differences between the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges, in the context of a technology migration. Cboe Options intends to migrate its technology to the same trading platform used by the Exchange, C2, and BZX

Options in the fourth quarter of 2019. The proposal set forth below is intended to add certain functionality to the Exchange's System that is available on Cboe Options in order to ultimately provide a consistent technology offering for market participants who interact with the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges. Although the Exchange intentionally offers certain features that differ from those offered by its affiliates and will continue to do so, the Exchange believes that offering similar functionality to the extent practicable will reduce potential confusion for Users.

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to adopt the Solicitation Auction Mechanism ("SAM"), which is a solicited order mechanism for larger-sized orders. SAM will provide an additional method for market participants to effect orders in a price improvement auction. The proposed rule change is similar to the solicited order mechanism of Cboe Options and other options exchanges. Many aspects of the proposed rule change are similar to the corresponding aspects of the Automated Improvement Mechanism ("AIM"), which is the Exchange's current electronic crossing mechanism. The Exchange believes the similarity of SAM to the Exchange's AIM mechanism and the mechanisms of other exchanges will allow the Exchange's proposed price improvement functionality to fit seamlessly into the options market and benefit market participants who are already familiar with this similar functionality. The Exchange also believes this will encourage Users to compete vigorously to provide the opportunity for price improvement for larger-sized customer orders in a competitive auction process.

An Options Member (the "Initiating Member") may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent ("Agency Order") against a solicited order(s)<sup>1</sup> if it submits the Agency Order for electronic execution into a SAM Auction pursuant to proposed Rule 21.21.<sup>2</sup>

The Initiating Member may initiate a SAM Auction if all of the following conditions are met:

- The Agency Order may be in any class traded on the Exchange.<sup>3</sup>
- The Initiating Member must mark an Agency Order for SAM Auction processing.<sup>4</sup>
- The Agency Order must be for at least the minimum size designated by the Exchange (which may not be less than 500 standard option contracts or 5,000 mini-option contracts). The Solicited Order must be for (or must total,

The solicited order(s) cannot be for the same EFID as the Agency Order or for the account of any Options Market Maker with an appointment in the applicable class on the Exchange. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on how it determines whether both orders submitted to a SAM Auction are solicited for different accounts. The Agency Order and Solicited Order cannot both be for the accounts of a customer. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not contain a similar prohibition. The Exchange believes it is appropriate for such customer-to-customer crosses to be submitted to an AIM Auction pursuant to Rule 6.74A, as that rule contains a provision for Customer-to-Customer Immediate AIM Crosses.

For purposes of proposed Rule 21.21, the term "NBBO" means the national best bid or national best offer at the particular point in time applicable to the reference, and the term "Initial NBBO" means the national best bid or national best offer at the time a SAM Auction is initiated.

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(1). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) permits Cboe Options to make SAM available on a class-by-class basis. The Exchange does not believe it currently needs this flexibility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(2); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A).

if the Solicited Order is comprised of multiple solicited orders)<sup>5</sup> the same size as the Agency Order. The Initiating Member must designate each of the Agency Order and Solicited Order as all-or-none ("AON").<sup>6</sup>

- The price of the Agency Order and Solicited Order must be in an increment of \$0.01.<sup>7</sup>
- An Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Solicited Order as Post Only.<sup>8</sup>
- An Initiating Member may only submit an Agency Order to a SAM Auction after the market open.<sup>9</sup>
- An Initiating Member may not submit an Agency Order if the NBBO is crossed (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO).<sup>10</sup>

Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit the solicited order to consist of multiple contras. See additional discussion below regarding the provision to permit multiple contra-parties to be solicited to trade against an Agency Order.

<sup>6</sup> See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(3); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) and (2).

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(4). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(3) permits Cboe Options to determine the minimum price increment for the Agency Order and Solicited Order, which may not be smaller than \$0.01. The Exchange does not believe it needs this flexibility, and thus the proposed rule change applies the \$0.01 increment to all classes.

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(5). The Post Only designation is not currently available on Cboe Options. The Exchange believes this is appropriate, as the purpose of a Post Only order is to not execute upon entry and instead rest in the EDGX Options Book, while the purpose of orders submitted into a SAM Auction is to receive an execution following the auction but prior to entering the EDGX Options Book. See also Rule 21.19(a)(5).

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(6). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on when a SAM Auction may be initiated. However, the Exchange understands that the proposed rule change is consistent with Cboe Options functionality. See also Rule 21.19(a)(6).

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(7). Choe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on whether a SAM Auction may be initiated when the NBBO is crossed. However, the

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet these conditions.<sup>11</sup>

As defined, the Solicited Order may be comprised of multiple solicited orders, in which case they must total the same size as the Agency Order (and thus be for a total of at least 500 contracts). This will accommodate multiple contra-parties and increase the opportunities for customer orders to be submitted into a SAM Auction with the potential for price improvement, since the Initiating Order must stop the full size of the Agency Order. This will have no impact on the execution of the Agency Order, which may trade against multiple contra-parties depending on the final auction price, as set forth in proposed paragraph (e). The Exchange notes that with regard to order entry, the first order submitted into the system is marked as the initiating/agency side and the second order is marked as the contra-side. Additionally, the Solicited Order will always be entered as a single order, even if that order consists of multiple contra-parties who are allocated their portion of the trade in a post-trade allocation. <sup>12</sup>

proposed rule change is consistent with the proposed requirement (and Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A) and (2)(A)(1)) that the stop price and execution price be at or better than the initial NBBO (as discussed below), as well as linkage rules that do not permit executions at prices that trade through the NBBO (see Rule 27.2).

- See proposed Rule 21.21(a). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not specify whether an Agency Order and Solicited Order will be rejected or cancelled if they do not meet the SAM eligibility requirements. However, the Exchange understands that the proposed rule change is consistent with Cboe Options functionality. The proposed SAM Auction eligibility requirements (other than the minimum size) are the same as the AIM Auction eligibility requirements. See Rule 21.19(a).
- The Exchange notes that while other exchange rules do not specify whether the contra-side order in a solicitation auction mechanism may consist of multiple orders, the contra-side order for Qualified Contingent Cross Orders (see Rule 21.1(d)(10)), which similarly have a minimum quantity requirement and are fully crossed against an initiating order that must be for a minimum number of contracts, may consist of multiple contra-side orders. However, ISE Regulatory

The Solicited Order must stop the entire Agency Order at a price that satisfies the following conditions:

- The stop price for a buy (sell) Agency Order must be at or better than the then-current NBO (NBB). 13
  - If the Agency Order is to buy (sell), the stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best bid (offer), unless the Agency Order is a Priority Customer order and the resting order is a non-Priority Customer order, in which case the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best bid (offer). <sup>14</sup> The Exchange believes this condition protects orders on the same side as the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book, including Priority Customer orders. By permitting a Priority Customer Agency Order to be entered at the same price as a resting non-Priority Customer orders submitted into an AIM Auction. The proposed rule change is consistent with general customer priority principles.
- If the Agency Order is to buy (sell) and the Exchange best offer (bid)
  represents (a) a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the

Information Circular 2014-013 states that the contra-side order submitted into a crossing mechanism (including the ISE solicited order mechanism) may consist of one or more parties.

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(1); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A).

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(2). These conditions regarding orders on the same side as the Agency Order are the same as those applicable to AIM for orders of 50 contracts or more. See Rule 21.19(b). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent regarding whether the stop price must be at or better than the same-side Cboe Options best bid or offer; however, the execution price must be at or better than the Cboe Options best bid or offer.

stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid); or (b) a quote or order that is not a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best offer (bid). The Exchange believes this condition protects orders on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book, including Priority Customer orders.

If the Initiating Member submits a SAM sweep order to a SAM Auction, the stop price, SAM responses, and executions are permitted at a price inferior to the Initial NBBO. A "SAM sweep order" or "SAM ISO" is the submission of two orders for crossing in a SAM Auction without regard for better-priced Protected Quotes (as defined in Rule 27.1) because the submitting Options Member routed an ISO(s) simultaneously with the routing of the SAM ISO to execute against the full displayed size of any Protected Quote that is better than the stop price and has swept all interest in the EDGX Options Book with a price better than the stop price. Any execution(s) resulting from these sweeps accrue to the AIM Agency Order. <sup>16</sup>

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(3). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent regarding whether the stop price must be at or better than the opposite-side Cboe Options best bid or offer; however, the execution price may not be at the same price as priority customer orders resting on the book on the opposite side of the Agency Order (unless the priority customer orders execute against the Agency Order).

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(4). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on whether ISOs are permitted with respect to SAM auctions. However, ISOs are similarly permitted for AIM Auctions, and the proposed definition of a SAM ISO is consistent with linkage rules. See Rules 21.19(b)(3)(A) and 27.1.

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet these conditions.<sup>17</sup>

Upon receipt of an Agency Order that meets the above conditions, the SAM Auction process commences. One or more SAM Auctions in the same series may occur at the same time. To the extent there is more than one SAM Auction in a series underway at a time, the SAM Auctions conclude sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced, unless terminated early pursuant to proposed paragraph (d). At the time each SAM Auction concludes, the System allocates the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e) and takes into account all SAM Auction responses and unrelated orders in place at the exact time of conclusion. In the event there are multiple SAM Auctions underway that are each terminated early pursuant to proposed paragraph (d), the System processes the SAM Auctions sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced.

The Exchange notes it is also possible for various types of auctions (such as an AIM Auction or a complex order auction ("COA")) to occur concurrently in the same series, and at the end of each auction, it is possible for interest resting in the EDGX Options Book to trade against any of the auctioned orders in the series. While these auctions may be occurring at the same time, they will be processed in the order in which they are terminated (similar to how the System processes SAM Auctions as discussed above). In other words,

See proposed Rule 21.21(b).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c).

<sup>19 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> proposed Rule 21.21(c)(1). This provision regarding concurrent SAM Auctions is similar to the AIM provision that permits concurrent AIM Auctions for Agency Orders of 50 contracts or more. <u>See</u> Rule 21.19(c)(1). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit concurrent SAM Auctions.

suppose there is an AIM Auction, a SAM Auction, and a COA all occurring in the same series, which began and will terminate in that order, and each of which last 100 milliseconds. While it is possible for all three auctions to terminate nearly simultaneously, the System will still process them in the order in which they terminate. When the AIM Auction terminates, the System will process it in accordance with Rule 21.19, and the auctioned order may trade against any resting interest (in addition to the contra-side order and responses submitted to that AIM Auction, which may only trade against the order auctioned in that AIM pursuant to Rule 21.19). The System will then process the SAM Auction when it terminates, and the auctioned order may trade against any resting interest that did not execute against the AIM order (in addition to the contra-side order and responses submitted to that SAM Auction, which may only trade against the order auctioned in that SAM pursuant to proposed Rule 21.21). Finally, the System will then process the COA Auction when it terminates, and the COA order may leg into the EDGX Options Book and trade against any resting interest that did not execute against the AIM order or SAM order (in addition to any interest resting on the complex order book and COA responses pursuant to Rule 21.20).

The Exchange System initiates the SAM Auction process by sending a SAM Auction notification message detailing the side, size, price, origin code, Auction ID, and options series of the Agency Order to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages. SAM Auction notification messages are not included in the disseminated BBO or OPRA.<sup>20</sup> The "SAM Auction period" is a period of time determined by the Exchange, which may be no less than 100 milliseconds and no more than one

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(2); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(B).

second.<sup>21</sup> An Initiating Member may not modify or cancel an Agency Order or Solicited Order after submission to a SAM Auction.<sup>22</sup>

Any User other than the Initiating Member (determined by EFID) may submit responses to a SAM Auction that are properly marked specifying size, side of the market, and the Auction ID for the SAM Auction to which the User is submitting the response.<sup>23</sup> A SAM response may specify a limit price or be treated as market. A SAM response may only participate in the SAM Auction with the Auction ID specified in the response.<sup>24</sup>

- The minimum price increment for SAM responses is \$0.01. The System rejects a SAM response that is not in a \$0.01 increment.<sup>25</sup>
- SAM buy (sell) responses are capped at the Exchange best offer (bid), or \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid) if it is represented by a

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(3); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C).
 Pursuant to Rule 16.3, the Exchange will announce the length of the SAM Auction period via specification, Exchange Notice, or Regulatory Circular.

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(4); see also Rule 21.19(c)(4) (corresponding provision in AIM). Choe Options Rule 6.74B does not contain this detail; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with current Choe Options functionality.

The System disregards any Post Only instruction applied to a SAM response, as that instruction is inconsistent with the purpose of a SAM response, which is to execute against the Agency Order at the conclusion of a SAM Auction (and thus not post to the EDGX Options Book). As a result, the System handles a SAM response with a Post Only instruction in the same manner as all other SAM responses, which is as providers of liquidity (*i.e.*, makers).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5); see also Rule 21.19(c)(5) (corresponding provision in AIM). Choe Options Rule 6.74B does not specify that a response may be market as well as limit or that a response may only participate in the auction specific in the response.

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(A). Cboe Options permits it to determine the minimum increment of SAM responses, which may not be less than \$0.01. See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(E). The Exchange does not believe it needs that flexibility.

Priority Customer order resting on the EDGX Options Book (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO) that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The System executes SAM responses, if possible, at the most aggressive permissible price not outside the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction or the Initial NBBO. <sup>26</sup> Capping the prices of these responses based on prices at the conclusion of the SAM Auction will ensure any changes to the BBO or prices of orders resting in the Book that occur during the SAM Auction will be considered. This will ensure responses are able to execute at the most aggressive permissible prices. This will ensure the execution price does not cross the Initial NBBO in accordance with linkage rules. Additionally, proposed subparagraph (e) requires the execution price to be at or between the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. Therefore, as proposed, the price at which any response may execute will ultimately not be through the Initial NBBO or the BBO at the conclusion of the AIM Auction.

• A User may submit multiple SAM responses at the same or multiple prices to a SAM Auction. For purposes of the SAM Auction, the System aggregates all of a User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses for the same EFID at the same price.<sup>27</sup> The

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(B). Choe Options does not have a corresponding provision; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. The Exchange notes it intends to similarly cap AIM responses in the corresponding rule provision in Rule 21.19(c)(5)(B) in a separate rule filing.

<sup>27 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(C). Choe Options does not specify whether a participant may submit multiple responses at the same price or whether the size of

Exchange believes this is appropriate since all interest at a single price is considered for execution against the Agency Order at that price, and can then together be subject to the size cap, as discussed below. This (combined with the proposed size cap) will prevent an Options Member from submitting multiple orders, quotes, or responses at the same price to obtain a larger prorata share of the Agency Order.

- The System caps the size of a SAM response, or the aggregate size of a User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses for the same EFID at the same price, at the size of the Agency Order (*i.e.*, the System ignores size in excess of the size of the Agency Order when processing the SAM Auction). This Exchange believes this is reasonable to prevent an Options Member from submitting an order, quote, or response with an extremely large size in order to obtain a larger pro-rata share of the Agency Order.
- SAM responses must be on the opposite side of the market as the Agency
   Order. The System rejects a SAM response on the same side of the market as the Agency Order.<sup>29</sup>

all of a participant's interest at the same price will be aggregated; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(C).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(D). This is in contrast to Cboe Options, which requires responses to not exceed the size of the Agency Order. See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(F). However, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(D).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(E). Choe Options does not specify whether a response will be rejected if it is not on the opposite side of the Agency Order; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with Choe Options

- SAM responses are not visible to SAM Auction participants or disseminated to OPRA.<sup>30</sup>
- A User may modify or cancel its SAM responses during the SAM Auction.<sup>31</sup>

A SAM Auction concludes at the earliest to occur of the following times:

- the end of the SAM Auction period;
- upon receipt by the System of a Priority Customer order on the same side of the market with a price the same as or better than the stop price that would post to the EDGX Options Book;
- upon receipt by the System of an unrelated order or quote that is not a
   Priority Customer order on the same side of the market as the Agency Order
   that would cause the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO;
- the market close; and
- any time the Exchange halts trading in the affected series, provided, however, that in such instance the SAM Auction concludes without execution.

functionality and the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. Additionally, it is reasonable given that the purpose of a response is to trade against the Agency Order. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(E).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(F); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(D).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(G). Cboe Options does not specify whether responses may be cancelled during the auction; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(I). Unlike Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, the proposed rule change permits responses for the account of an options market-maker from another options exchange. Other options exchanges similarly permit such responses in solicited auction mechanisms. See, e.g., ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

An unrelated market or marketable limit order (against the EDGX BBO), including a Post Only Order,<sup>32</sup> on the opposite side of the Agency Order received during the SAM Auction does not cause the SAM Auction to end early and executes against interest outside of the SAM Auction. If contracts remain from such unrelated order at the time the SAM Auction ends, they may be allocated for execution against the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e).<sup>33</sup> Because these orders may have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or better than the Initial NBBO and EDGX Options BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the Exchange does not believe it is necessary to cause a SAM Auction to conclude early in the event the Exchange receives such orders. This will provide more time for potential price improvement, and the unrelated order will have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

At the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order or contra-side interest (which includes orders and quotes resting in the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses) at the best price(s) as follows. Any

Any Post Only order resting on the EDGX Options Book at the conclusion of the SAM Auction that executes against the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e) executes in the same manner as any other order type of order resting on the EDGX Options Book, which is as providers of liquidity (*i.e.*, makers).

See proposed Rule 21.21(d). The proposed reasons why a SAM Auction may conclude early differ from the reasons why a Cboe Options SAM Auction may conclude early, but the proposed reasons are the same as those that will cause an AIM Auction to conclude early. See Rule 21.19(d). Similarly, a Cboe Options SAM Auction will conclude early for the same reasons that cause a Cboe Options AIM Auction to terminate early. See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2).

execution price(s) must be at or between the BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction and at or between the Initial NBBO<sup>34</sup>:

- The System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order at the stop price if there are no Priority Customer Orders (including Priority Customer AON Orders) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting in the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of contra-side interest at an improved price(s) is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.
- The System executes the Agency Order against contra-side interest (and cancels the Solicited Order) if (A) there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer AON order) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of the Priority Customer order and other contra-side interest at the stop price or an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order or (B) the aggregate size of contra-side interest at an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order. The Agency Order against such contra-side interest occurs at each price level, to the price at which the balance of the Agency Order can be fully executed, in the following order:
  - Priority Customer orders (including Priority Customer AON orders)
     on the EDGX Options Book (non-AON orders before AON orders, each in time priority);

See proposed Rule 21.21(e); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(A) (which provides the execution price must be at or better than the initial auction NBBO and that an execution will occur at prices equal to or better than the Cboe Options BBO).

- o remaining contra-side trading interest (including non-Priority Customer orders and quotes on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses) pursuant to Rule 21.8(c);
- any nondisplayed Reserve Quantity (Priority Customer before non-Priority Customer, each in time priority); and
- o any non-Priority Customer AON orders, if there is sufficient size to satisfy the AON order.
- The System cancels the Agency Order and Solicited Order with no execution
   if:
  - execution of the Agency Order against the Solicited Order at the stop
     price would not be at or between the EDGX BBO at the conclusion
     of the SAM Auction or at or between the Initial NBBO; or
  - o there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer AON order) resting on the opposite side of the Agency Order at the stop price on the EDGX Options Book, and the aggregate size of the Priority Customer order and any other contra-side interest is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.<sup>35</sup>
- The System cancels or rejects any unexecuted SAM responses (or unexecuted portions) at the conclusion of the SAM Auction.<sup>36</sup>

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the AON nature of solicitation mechanisms and the priority order applicable to executions following a SAM

See proposed Rule 21.21(e); see also Rule 21.19(e).

See id.

Auction at the stop price, as well as general priority provisions.<sup>37</sup> The proposed provisions regarding the execution of the Agency Order at the conclusion of a SAM Auction is similar to the corresponding provisions for a Cboe Options SAM, as well as current Rules regarding priority and allocation of resting orders and quotes.<sup>38</sup> The proposed priority will ensure execution of the Agency Order at the stop price if there is sufficient interest while protecting Priority Customer orders (except AON orders) resting on the EDGX Options Book in accordance with the general principle of customer priority. This will ensure the Agency Order is allocated in a manner consistent with the standard priority of allocation on the Exchange rules that distinguish between Priority Customers and displayed and non-displayed interest in a manner that will help ensure a fair and orderly market by maintaining

<sup>37</sup> See Rule 21.8, which provides that at a single price level: (a) Priority Customer orders have priority over non-Priority Customer orders, and Priority Customer orders at the same price are allocated in time priority; (b) non-customer orders have next priority and are allocated in a pro-rata manner; (c) displayed orders have priority over nondisplayed orders, and nondisplayed portions of Reserve Orders are allocated in a pro-rata manner, except nondisplayed portions of Priority Customer Reserve Orders trade ahead of non-customer Reserve Orders: and AON orders have last priority (including after nondisplayed Reserve Quantity). The Exchange notes it may apply an entitlement for Designated Primary Market Makers or Preferred market Makers, which entitlement would apply after Priority Customer orders. This entitlement is inapplicable in the setting of an auction; however, it is comparable to order when a Solicited Order receives priority. The Exchange notes, unlike the allocation following other executions, the proposed rule change prioritizes Priority Customer AON orders in the same manner as all other Priority Customer orders in executions following SAM Auctions.

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(A) (which states if there are priority customer orders and there is sufficient size (considering all resting orders, electronic quotes and responses) to execute the Agency Order, the Agency Order will be executed against these interests and the solicited order will be cancelled, and if there are priority customer orders and there is not sufficient size (considering all resting orders, electronic quotes and responses), both the Agency Order and the solicited order will be cancelled).

priority of orders and quotes while still affording the opportunity for price improvement in each SAM Auction commenced on the Exchange.

Proposed Rule 21.21, Interpretation and Policy .01 provides that prior to entering Agency Orders into a SAM Auction on behalf of customers, Initiating Members must deliver to the customer a written notification informing the customer that his order may be executed using the SAM Auction. The written notification must disclose the terms and conditions contained in this Rule 21.21 and be in a form approved by the Exchange.<sup>39</sup>

Rule 22.12 prevents an Options Member from executing agency orders to increase its economic gain from trading against the order without first giving other trading interests on the Exchange an opportunity to either trade with the agency order or to trade at the execution price when the Options Member was already bidding or offering on the book. However, the Exchange recognizes that it may be possible for an Options Member to establish a relationship with a Priority Customer or other person to deny agency orders the opportunity to interact on the Exchange and to realize similar economic benefits as it would achieve by executing agency order as principal. Under Rule 21.21, Initiating Members may enter contra-side orders that are solicited. SAM provides a facility for Options Members that locate liquidity for their customer orders. Options Members may not use the SAM Auction to circumvent Rule 21.19 or 22.12 limiting principal transactions. This may include, but is not limited to, Options Members entering contra-side orders that are solicited from

(a) affiliated broker-dealers or (b) broker-dealers with which the Options Member has an arrangement that allows the Options Member to realize similar economic benefits from the

See also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretation and Policy .02.

solicited transaction as it would achieve by executing the customer order in whole or in part as principal.<sup>40</sup>

The following examples demonstrate how orders will be executed in a SAM Auction:

## Example #1

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 - 1.25

BBO: 1.10 - 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.10

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price. The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.10.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.10
- Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.20

The aggregate responses did not improve the price of the entire Agency Order, and there are no Priority Customer orders at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Solicited Order against the Agency Order at a price of 1.10 and cancels the SAM responses.

<sup>40 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> proposed Interpretation and Policy .02; <u>see also</u> Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretation and Policy .03.

SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047 Page 22 of 111

Example #2

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 - 1.25

BBO: 1.10 – 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.10

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to

receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price.

The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.10.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the

following order:

Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.10

Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.10

Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.10

Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.20

Response 5 to buy 2000 at 1.15

There is sufficient size among the SAM responses to improve the price of the entire Agency

Order, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes 1000 contracts of the

Agency Order at a price of 1.20 against Response 4 and 1000 contracts of the Agency Order

at a price of 1.15 against Response 5, and cancels the Solicited Order and Responses 1, 2, 3,

and 5 (the remaining 1000).

Example #3

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 - 1.25

BBO: 1.10 (200) – 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.11

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price.

The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.11.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.11
- Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.12
- Unrelated Order A to sell 500 at 1.10

The SAM Auction terminates when the System receives Unrelated Order A, because it is marketable against the EDGX best bid of 1.10, and would cause the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO if it immediately executed. The aggregate responses did not improve the price of the entire Agency Order, and there are no Priority Customer orders at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Solicited Order against the Agency Order at a price of 1.11 and cancels the SAM responses. The System then executes 200 contracts of Unrelated Order A against the resting order at a 1.10 at that price, and then enters 300 contracts of Unrelated Order A onto the Book. The EDGX BBO then becomes 1.08 – 1.10 (which, as noted above, would have caused the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO).

## Example #4

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 – 1.25

BBO: 1.10 - 1.30 (Priority Customer order for 20 included in the bid, and no Priority Customer order included in the offer)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.11 (one increment better than a resting Priority Customer order on the opposite side of the EDGX Options Book).

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price.

The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.11.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 3 to buy 1000 at 1.15
- Response 4 to buy 900 at 1.12
- Priority Customer order to buy 100 at 1.11

There is sufficient size among the SAM responses at prices better than the stop price and the Priority Customer order at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes 1000 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.15 against Response 3, 900 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.12 against Response 4, and 100 contracts

of the Agency Order at a price of 1.11 against the Priority Customer order, and cancels the Solicited Order and Responses 1 and 2.

## (b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>41</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>42</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>43</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

The proposed rule change is generally intended to add certain system functionality currently offered by Cboe Options to the Exchange's System in order to provide a consistent technology offering for the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges. A consistent technology offering, in turn, will simplify the technology implementation, changes and maintenance by Users of the Exchange that are also participants on Cboe Affiliated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> Id.

Exchanges. This will provide Users with greater harmonization of price improvement auction mechanisms available among the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges.

The proposed rule change will provide market participants with an additional auction mechanism that will provide them with greater flexibility in pricing larger-sized orders and may provide more opportunities for price improvement. 44 SAM as proposed will function in a substantially similar manner as AIM, the Exchange's current price improvement mechanism – the differences relating primarily to the minimum size requirement and all-or-none nature of SAM. Additionally, the proposed auction mechanism provides equal access to the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions. 45 SAM is intended to benefit investors, because it is designed to provide investors seeking to execute large option orders with opportunities to access additional liquidity and receive price improvement. It will provide Options Members that locate liquidity for their customers' larger-sized orders a facility in which to execute those orders, potentially at improved prices. The proposed rule change may result in increased liquidity available at improved prices for larger-sized orders, with competitive final pricing out of the Initiating Member's control. The Exchange believes SAM will promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement.

See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 49141 (January 28, 2004), 69 FR 5625 (February 5, 2004) (SR-ISE-2001-22); 57610 (April 3, 2008), 73 FR 19535 (April 10, 2008) (SR-CBOE-2008-14); and 72009 (April 23, 2014), 79 FR 24032 (April 29, 2014) (SR-MIAX-2014-09).

Any Options Member can subscribe to the options data disseminated through the Exchange's data feeds.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, because the proposed SAM Auction is similar to other solicitation auction mechanisms currently available at other options exchanges. <sup>46</sup> The general framework of the proposed SAM Auction process (such as the eligibility requirements, the auction response period, the same-side stop price requirements, response requirements, and auction notification process), <sup>47</sup> is substantively the same as the framework for the AIM price improvement auction the Exchange's current price improvement auction. The primary features of the proposed SAM Auction process are similar to the solicitation auction mechanisms of other options exchanges, including Cboe Options SAM, as discussed above and below. The clarity in how the proposed price improvement auction will function and its consistency with similar auctions at other exchanges will help promote a fair and orderly national options market system.

Further, the new functionality may lead to an increase in Exchange volume and should allow the Exchange to better compete against other markets that already offer an electronic solicitation mechanism, while providing an opportunity for price improvement for Agency Orders. The Exchange believes that its proposal will allow the Exchange to better compete for solicited transactions, while providing an opportunity for price improvement for Agency Orders and assuring that Priority Customers (including Priority Customer AON orders) on the EDGX Options Book are protected. The new solicitation mechanism should promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement, which should benefit market participants.

See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

<sup>47</sup> See Rule 21.19.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will result in efficient trading and reduce the risk for investors that seek access to additional liquidity and price improvement for larger-sized orders by providing additional opportunities to do so. The proposed priority and allocation rules in the SAM Auction are similar to the Exchange's current priority and allocation rules that give priority to displayed Priority Customer orders, while also providing priority to Priority Customer AON orders. The Exchange believes this will ensure a fair and orderly market by maintaining priority of orders and quotes and protecting Priority Customer orders, while still affording the opportunity for price improvement during each SAM Auction commenced on the Exchange. The proposed allocation ensures that the Agency Order will be filled if there is a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and sufficient other contraside interest to satisfy the Agency Order.

By keeping the priority and allocation rules for a SAM Auction similar to the standard allocation used on the Exchange, the proposed rule change reduces the ability of market participants to misuse the SAM Auction to circumvent standard priority rules in a manner that is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, and to promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange. The proposed execution and priority rules will allow orders to interact with interest in the EDGX Options Book, and will allow interest on the EDGX Options Book to interact with option orders in the price improvement mechanisms in an efficient and orderly manner. The Exchange believes this interaction of orders will benefit investors by increasing the opportunity for

Pursuant to Rule 21.8, at a single price level, Priority Customer orders have priority over non-Priority Customer orders, which have priority over all nondisplayed orders (*i.e.*, the Reserve Portion of Priority Customer and non-Priority Customer Reserve Orders).

option orders to receive executions, while also enhancing the execution quality for orders resting on the Book. The proposed priority is also substantially similar to that of corresponding solicitation auction mechanisms of other options exchanges.<sup>49</sup>

The proposed SAM Auction eligibility requirements are reasonable and promote a fair and orderly market and national market system, because they are substantially similar to the eligibility requirements for other exchanges' solicited order mechanisms, <sup>50</sup> and benefit investors by providing clarity regarding how they may initiate a SAM Auction. Additionally, other than the minimum size requirement and AON requirement (which are standard for solicited order mechanisms), the eligibility requirements are virtually the same as those for AIM, the Exchange's other price improvement mechanism. <sup>51</sup> This will further benefit investors by providing consistency across the Exchange's price improvement mechanisms.

The proposed rule that an Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Initiating Order as Post Only protects investors, because it provides transparency regarding functionality that will not be available for SAM. The Exchange believes this is appropriate, as the purpose of a Post Only order is to not execute upon entry and instead rest in the EDGX Options Book, while the purpose of submitting orders to a SAM

See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a) and (b)(1) and Interpretation and Policy .03; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(1) and (2) and Interpretation and Policy .04 (which permit applicability to any class, prohibit appointed market-makers from being solicited, impose the same minimum size and all-or-none requirement, has the same minimum increment, and requires Agency Orders to be marked for SAM processing). The proposed rule change that states a SAM Auction may not commence until after the market open is reasonable, as execution following a SAM Auction would not be possible until after the market open and when there is a BBO and NBBO.

<sup>51 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> Rule 21.19(a).

Auction is to receive an execution following the auction and not enter the EDGX Options Book. Pursuant to current and proposed Rule 21.21, an Agency Order will fully execute against contra-side interest (possibly against the Solicited Order, which must be for the same size as the Agency Order), or will be cancelled in the event there is no execution following a SAM Auction, and thus there cannot be remaining contracts in an Agency Order or Solicited Order to enter the EDGX Options Book.

The proposed rule change to state that the minimum size requirement of 500 or more standard option contracts applies to the equivalent number of mini-option contracts (*i.e.*, 5,000 mini-option contracts) promotes just and equitable principles of trade.

Rule 19.6, Interpretation and Policy .07 permits the listing of mini-options, which is an option with a 10 share deliverable of the underlying security rather than 100 share deliverable of the underlying security (which is the standard deliverable for a standard option contract). The proposed change to state that 500 standard option contracts is consistent with 5,000 mini-option contracts is consistent with this definition of mini-options. This provides transparency to investors that SAM functionality and the potential for price improvement is available to Agency Orders for large orders of mini-options as well as standard options.

The proposed rule change to prohibit an Agency Order and Solicited Order from both being for the accounts of Priority Customers is reasonable, because the Exchange believes it would be in the interests of such pairs of orders to be submitted to a Customer-to-Customer AIM Immediate Cross pursuant to Rule 21.19(f) pursuant to which they can be executed immediately. The Exchange believes there will be minimal demand to

submit pairs of Priority Customer orders into SAM Auctions given its offering of immediate cross functionality via AIM.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change to permit the Solicited Order to be comprised of multiple orders that total the size of the Agency Order may increase liquidity and opportunity for Agency Orders to participate in SAM Auctions, and therefore provide Agency Orders with additional opportunities for price improvement, which is consistent with the principles behind the SAM Auction. The Exchange believes that this will be beneficial to participants because allowing multiple contra-parties should foster competition for filling the contra-side order and thereby result in potentially better prices, as opposed to only allowing one contra-party and, thereby requiring that contraparty to do a larger size order which could result in a worse price for the trade. Another exchange permits the contra-side in a solicited auction mechanism to be comprised of multiple contra-parties. The Exchange notes the contra-side of a Qualified Contingent Cross order may be comprised of multiple orders.

As discussed above, the Exchange has proposed to allow SAM Auctions to occur concurrently with other SAM Auctions. Although SAM Auctions for Agency Orders will be allowed to overlap, the Exchange does not believe this raises any issues that are not addressed through the proposed rule change described above. For example, although overlapping, each SAM Auction will be started in a sequence and with a time that will determine its processing. Thus, even if there are two SAM Auctions that commence and conclude, at nearly the same time, each SAM Auction will have a distinct conclusion at

<sup>52</sup> See ISE Rule 716(e) and ISE Regulatory Information Circular 2014-013.

Unlike orders submitted to a SAM Auction, Qualified Contingent Cross orders may immediately execute and are not exposed to the market for possible price improvement.

which time the SAM Auction will be allocated. In turn, when the first Auction concludes, unrelated orders that then exist will be considered for participation in the SAM Auction. If unrelated orders are fully executed in such SAM Auction, then there will be no unrelated orders for consideration when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed (unless new unrelated order interest has arrived). If instead there is remaining unrelated order interest after the first SAM Auction has been allocated, then such unrelated order interest will be considered for allocation when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed. As another example, each SAM response is required to specifically identify the Auction for which it is targeted and if not fully executed will be cancelled back at the conclusion of the Auction. Thus, SAM responses will be specifically considered only in the specified SAM Auction.

The Exchange does not believe that allowing multiple auctions to overlap for Agency Orders presents any unique issues that differ from functionality already in place on the Exchange or other exchanges. Pursuant to Rule 21.19(c)(1), multiple AIM Auctions for Agency Orders for 50 or more contracts may overlap. Additionally, other options exchanges permit other auctions to overlap.<sup>54</sup>

The proposed auction process will promote a free and open market, because it ensures equal access to information regarding SAM Auctions and the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM

<sup>54 &</sup>lt;u>See, e.g.,</u> ISE Rule 716(d), which governs ISE's facilitation mechanism and does not restrict such auctions to one auction at a time; and Boston Options Exchange ("BOX") Rule 7270.

Auctions.<sup>55</sup> The Exchange has proposed a range between no less than 100 milliseconds and no more than one second for the duration of a SAM Auction. <sup>56</sup> This will provide investors with more timely execution of their options orders than a mechanism that has a one second auction, while ensuring there is an adequate exposure of orders in EDGX SAM. This proposed auction response time should provide investors with the opportunity to receive price improvement for larger-sized orders through SAM while reducing market risk. The Exchange believes a briefer time period reduces the market risk for the Initiating Member, versus an auction with a longer period, as well as for any Options Member providing responses to a broadcast. As such, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would help perfect the mechanism for a free and open national market system, and generally help protect investors and the public interest. All Options Members will have an equal opportunity to respond with their best prices during the SAM Auction. Since the Exchange considers all interest present in the System, and not solely SAM response, for execution against the Agency Order, those participants who are not explicit responders to a SAM Auction may receive executions via SAM as well.

The proposed SAM Auction response requirements are reasonable and promote a fair and orderly market and national market system, because they are substantially similar to the response requirements for other exchanges' solicited order mechanisms,<sup>57</sup> and

Any Options Member can subscribe to the options data disseminated through the Exchange's data feeds.

See also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C); ISE Rule 716, Supplementary Material .04; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(2)(1)(C).

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a) and (b)(1) and Interpretation and Policy .03; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(1) and (2) and Interpretation and Policy .04 (which permit applicability to any class, prohibit appointed market-makers from being solicited, impose the same minimum size and all-or-none requirement, has the same minimum increment, and requires Agency Orders to be marked for SAM

benefit investors by providing clarity regarding how they may respond to a SAM Auction. Additionally, other than not restricting Times in Force or MTP Modifiers available for responses (which restrictions the Exchange does not currently believe are necessary for SAM responses), the eligibility requirements are virtually the same as those for AIM responses, the Exchange's other price improvement mechanism. This will further benefit investors by providing consistency across the Exchange's price improvement mechanisms.

The proposed rule change will also perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, as it is consistent with linkage rules. Proposed Rule 21.21 does not permit Agency Orders to be submitted when the NBBO is crossed and requires Agency Order execution prices at the end of SAM Auctions to be at or between the Initial NBBO and the EDGX BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The proposed stop price requirements and the events to terminate a SAM Auction early further ensure execution prices at or better than the NBBO and EDGX BBO. Additionally, the proposed SAM ISO order type (which is similar to current AIM ISO functionality) will provide Options Members with an efficient method to initiate a SAM Auction while preventing trade-throughs.

Unlike the rules of other exchanges, the Exchange will not conclude a SAM Auction early due to the receipt of an opposite side order. The Exchange believes this promotes just and equitable principles of trade, because these orders may have the

processing). The proposed rule change that states a SAM Auction may not commence until after the market open is reasonable, as execution following a SAM Auction would not be possible until after the market open and when there is a BBO and NBBO.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> See Rule 21.19(a).

opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or better than the Initial NBBO and EDGX Options BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The Exchange believes this will protect investors, because it will provide more time for price improvement, and the unrelated order will have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

With respect to trading halts, as described herein, in the case of a trading halt on the Exchange in the affected series, the Auction will be cancelled without execution.

Cancelling Auctions without execution in this circumstance is consistent with Exchange handling of trading halts in the context of continuous trading on EDGX Options and promotes just and equitable principles of trade and, in general, protects investors and the public interest. <sup>59</sup>

The proposed rule change is also consistent with Section 11(a)(1) of the Act<sup>60</sup> and the rules promulgated thereunder. Generally, Section 11(a)(1) of the Act restricts any member of a national securities exchange from effecting any transaction on such exchange for (i) the member's own account, (ii) the account of a person associated with the member, or (iii) an account over which the member or a person associated with the member exercises discretion (collectively referred to as "covered accounts"), unless a specific exemption is available. Examples of common exemptions include the exemption

The Exchange notes that trading on the Exchange in any option contract will be halted whenever trading in the underlying security has been paused or halted by the primary listing market and other circumstances. See Rule 20.3.

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a). Section 11(a)(1) prohibits a member of a national securities exchange from effecting transactions on that exchange for its own account, the account of an associated person, or an account over which it or its associated person exercises discretion unless an exception applies.

for transactions by broker dealers acting in the capacity of a market maker under Section 11(a)(1)(A), <sup>61</sup> the "G" exemption for yielding priority to non-members under Section 11(a)(1)(G) of the Act and Rule 11a1-1(T) thereunder, <sup>62</sup> and "Effect vs. Execute" exemption under Rule 11a2-2(T) under the Act. 63 The "Effect vs. Execute" exemption permits an exchange member, subject to certain conditions, to effect transactions for covered accounts by arranging for an unaffiliated member to execute transactions on the exchange. To comply with Rule 11a2-2(T)'s conditions, a member: (i) must transmit the order from off the exchange floor; (ii) may not participate in the execution of the transaction once it has been transmitted to the member performing the execution; <sup>64</sup> may not be affiliated with the executing member; and (iv) with respect to an account over which the member has investment discretion, neither the member nor its associated person may retain any compensation in connection with effecting the transaction except as provided in the Rule. For the reasons set forth below, the Exchange believes that Exchange Members entering orders into SAM would satisfy the requirements of Rule 11a2-2(T).

The Exchange does not operate a physical trading floor. In the context of automated trading systems, the Commission has found that the off-floor transmission requirement is met if a covered account order is transmitted from a remote location directly to an exchange's floor by electronic means.<sup>65</sup> The Exchange represents that the

<sup>61 15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(A).

<sup>62 15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(G) and 17 CFR 240.11a1-1(T).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> 17 CFR 240.11a2-2(T).

The member may, however, participate in clearing and settling the transaction.

See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 61419 (January 26, 2010), 75 FR
 5157 (February 1, 2010) (SR-BATS-2009-031) (approving BATS options

System and the proposed SAM Auction receive all orders electronically through remote terminals or computer-to-computer interfaces. The Exchange represents that orders for covered accounts from Options Members will be transmitted from a remote location directly to the proposed SAM mechanism by electronic means.

The second condition of Rule 11a2-2(T) requires that neither a member nor an associated person participate in the execution of its order once the order is transmitted to the floor for execution. The Exchange represents that, upon submission to the SAM Auction, an order or SAM response will be executed automatically pursuant to the rules set forth for SAM. In particular, execution of an order (including the Agency and the Soliciting Order) or a SAM response sent to the mechanism depends not on the Options Member entering the order or response, but rather on what other orders and responses are present and the priority of those orders and responses. Thus, at no time following the submission of an order or response is a Member able to acquire control or influence over the result or timing of order or response execution. Once the Agency Order and Solicited Order has been transmitted, the Initiating Member that transmitted the orders will not participate in the execution of the

trading); 59154 (December 23, 2008), 73 FR 80468 (December 31, 2008) (SRBSE-2008-48) (approving equity securities listing and trading on BSE); 57478 (March 12, 2008), 73 FR 14521 (March 18, 2008) (SR-NASDAQ-2007-004 and SR-NASDAQ-2007-080) (approving NOM options trading); 53128 (January 13, 2006), 71 FR 3550 (January 23, 2006) (File No. 10-131) (approving The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC); 44983 (October 25, 2001), 66 FR 55225 (November 1, 2001) (SR-PCX-00-25) (approving Archipelago Exchange); 29237 (May 24, 1991), 56 FR 24853 (May 31, 1991) (SR-NYSE-90-52 and SR-NYSE-90-53) (approving NYSE's Off-Hours Trading Facility); and 15533 (January 29, 1979), 44 FR 6084 (January 31, 1979) ("1979 Release").

An Initiating Member may not cancel or modify an Agency Order or Solicited Order after it has been submitted into SAM. See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(4). Options Members may modify or cancel their responses after being submitted to into a SAM. See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(G); see also Securities Exchange Act Release No. 14563 (March 14, 1978), 43 FR 11542, 11547 (the "1978 Release").

Agency Order or Solicited Order. Initiating Members submitting Agency Orders and Solicited Orders will relinquish control to modify or cancel their Agency Orders and Solicited Orders upon transmission to the System. Further, no Member, including the Initiating Member, will see a SAM response submitted into SAM, and therefore and will not be able to influence or guide the execution of their Agency Orders, Solicited Orders, or SAM responses, as applicable.

Rule 11a2-2(T)'s third condition requires that the order be executed by an exchange member who is unaffiliated with the member initiating the order. The Commission has stated that the requirement is satisfied when automated exchange facilities, such as the SAM Auction are used, as long as the design of these systems ensures that members do not possess any special or unique trading advantages in handling their orders after transmitting them to the exchange.<sup>67</sup> The Exchange represents that the SAM Auction is designed so that no Options Member has any special or unique trading advantage in the handling of its orders after transmitting its orders to the mechanism.

Rule 11a2-2(T)'s fourth condition requires that, in the case of a transaction effected for an account with respect to which the initiating member or an associated person thereof exercises investment discretion, neither the initiating member nor any associated person thereof may retain any compensation in connection with effecting the

In considering the operation of automated execution systems operated by an exchange, the Commission noted that, while there is not an independent executing exchange member, the execution of an order is automatic once it has been transmitted into the system. Because the design of these systems ensures that members do not possess any special or unique trading advantages in handling their orders after transmitting them to the exchange, the Commission has stated that executions obtained through these systems satisfy the independent execution requirement of Rule 11a2-2(T). See 1979 Release.

transaction, unless the person authorized to transact business for the account has expressly provided otherwise by written contract referring to Section 11(a) of the Act and Rule 11a2-2(T) thereunder.<sup>68</sup> The Exchange recognizes that Options Members relying on Rule 11a2-2(T) for transactions effected through the SAM Auction must comply with this condition of the Rule and the Exchange will enforce this requirement pursuant to its obligations under Section 6(b)(1) of the Act to enforce compliance with federal securities laws.

The Exchange believes that the instant proposal is consistent with Rule 11a2-2(T), and that therefore the exception should apply in this case.

The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule changes would further the objectives of the Act to protect investors by promoting the intermarket price protection goals of the Options Intermarket Linkage Plan.<sup>69</sup> The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure inter-market competition across all exchanges and facilitate compliance with best execution practices.

See 17 CFR 240.11a2-2(T)(a)(2)(iv). In addition, Rule 11a2-2(T)(d) requires a member or associated person authorized by written contract to retain compensation, in connection with effecting transactions for covered accounts over which such member or associated persons thereof exercises investment discretion, to furnish at least annually to the person authorized to transact business for the account a statement setting forth the total amount of compensation retained by the member in connection with effecting transactions for the account during the period covered by the statement which amount must be exclusive of all amounts paid to others during that period for services rendered to effect such transactions.

See also 1978 Release, at 11548 (stating "[t]he contractual and disclosure requirements are designed to assure that accounts electing to permit transaction-related compensation do so only after deciding that such arrangements are suitable to their interests").

<sup>69 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> Rule 27.3 regarding Locked and Crossed Markets.

#### Item 4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed SAM Auction is voluntary for Options Members to use and will be available to all Options Members. As discussed above, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change should encourage Options Members to compete amongst each other by responding with their best price and size for a particular auction.

The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition, as the proposed rule change will apply in the same manner to all orders submitted to a SAM Auction. With respect to the restriction on appointed market-makers being solicited, the Exchange believes market-makers will still have opportunities to provide liquidity to trade against Agency Orders by submitting quotes to rest on the EDGX Options Book or responses to a SAM Auction. With respect to the restriction on permitting a pair of Priority Customer orders to a SAM Auction, the Exchange believes this is appropriate given the immediate cross functionality available to pairs of Priority Customer orders. Options Members will continue to be able to immediately cross these pairs of orders via AIM.

The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition, because the proposed changes, as described above and below, are based on rules for similar price improvement auction mechanisms at other options exchanges.<sup>71</sup> The general framework and primary features of the proposed SAM Auction process (such as the eligibility requirements, auction response period, same-side

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> <u>See</u> Rule 21.19(f).

See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

stop price requirements, response requirements, and auction notification process),<sup>72</sup> are substantively the same as the framework for the AIM price improvement auction the Exchange's current price improvement auction.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will relieve any burden on, or otherwise promote, competition. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish more uniform price improvement auction rules on the various options exchanges. The Exchange anticipates that this auction proposal will create new opportunities for the Exchange to attract new business and compete on equal footing with those options exchanges with auctions and for this reason the proposal does not create an undue burden on intermarket competition. Rather, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule would bolster intermarket competition by promoting fair competition among individual markets, while at the same time assuring that market participants receive the benefits of markets that are linked together, through facilities and rules, in a unified system, which promotes interaction among the orders of buyers and sellers. The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure intermarket competition across all exchanges and facilitate compliance with best execution practices. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help promote fair and orderly markets by helping ensure compliance with Options Order Protection and Locked and Crossed Market Rules. Thus, the Exchange does not believe the proposal creates a significant impact on competition.

<sup>72</sup> 

# Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

- Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

  Not applicable.
- Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)
  - (a) Not applicable.
  - (b) Not applicable.
  - (c) Not applicable.
- (d) The proposed rule change is filed for accelerated effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act. The Exchange requests that the Commission approve the proposed rule change on an accelerated basis pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) of the Act so that it may be effective and operative on September 9, 2019. Solicited order mechanisms are not new or unique functionality. They have been previously filed with the Commission and are available on Cboe Options as well as other options exchanges in substantially similar forms. The proposed rule change will allow the Exchange to continue to strive towards a complete technology integration of the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges, with gradual roll-outs of new functionality to ensure stability of the System. The proposed rule change is generally intended to add functionality to the Exchange's System in order to provide a consistent technology offering for the Cboe Affiliated

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

Exchanges. A consistent technology offering, in turn, will simplify the technology implementation, changes and maintenance by Options Members of the Exchange that are also participants on Cboe Affiliated Exchanges.

The proposed rule change does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. The proposed rule change will provide market participants with an additional price-improvement auction mechanism that will provide them with greater flexibility in pricing larger-sized orders and may provide a greater opportunity for price improvement, while ensuring equal access to the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions. SAM is intended to benefit investors, because it is designed to provide investors seeking to effect option orders while providing opportunities to access additional liquidity and receive price improvement. The proposed rule change may result in increased liquidity available at improved prices for larger-sized orders, with competitive final pricing out of the Initiating Member's control. SAM should promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement.

Further, the new functionality may lead to an increase in Exchange volume and should allow the Exchange to better compete against other markets that already offer an electronic solicitation mechanism, while providing an opportunity for price improvement for Agency Orders. The Exchange believes that its proposal will allow the Exchange to better compete for solicited transactions, while providing an opportunity for price improvement for Agency Orders and assuring that Priority Customers on the EDGX

Any Options Member can subscribe to the options data disseminated through the Exchange's data feeds.

Options Book are protected. The new solicitation mechanism should promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement, which should benefit market participants.

The proposed rule change will not impose a significant burden on competition. The proposed SAM Auction is voluntary for Options Members to use and will be available to all Options Members. As discussed above, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change should encourage Options Members to compete amongst each other by responding with their best price and size for a particular auction. Additionally, as discussed below, the proposed SAM Auction is similar to other solicitation auction mechanisms currently available at other options exchanges. <sup>75</sup> The general framework of the proposed SAM Auction process is substantively the same as the framework (such as the same-side stop price requirements, auction response period, response requirements for the AIM price improvement auction, and auction notification process), <sup>76</sup> the Exchange's current price improvement auction. The primary features of the proposed SAM Auction process are similar to the solicitation auction mechanisms of other options exchanges, including Cboe Options SAM, as discussed above and below. The clarity in how the proposed price improvement auction will function and its consistency with similar auctions at other exchanges will help promote a fair and orderly national options market system. As noted above and below, the proposed SAM and Cboe Options SAM are substantially similar.

The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish more uniform price

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> See Rule 21.19.

improvement auction rules on the various options exchanges. The Exchange anticipates that this auction proposal will create new opportunities for the Exchange to attract new business and compete on equal footing with those options exchanges with auctions and for this reason the proposal does not create an undue burden on intermarket competition. Rather, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule would bolster intermarket competition by promoting fair competition among individual markets, while at the same time assuring that market participants receive the benefits of markets that are linked together, through facilities and rules, in a unified system, which promotes interaction among the orders of buyers and sellers. The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure intermarket competition across all exchanges and facilitate compliance with best execution practices. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help promote fair and orderly markets by helping ensure compliance with Options Order Protection and Locked and Crossed Market Rules. Thus, the Exchange does not believe the proposal creates a significant impact on competition.

The proposed auction process does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, because it ensures equal access to information regarding SAM Auctions and the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions. It will provide investors with more timely execution of their options orders than a mechanism that has a one second auction, and provide investors with the opportunity to receive price improvement for larger-sized orders through SAM while reducing market risk. The proposed rule change will not impose a significant burden on competition. This general auction framework, and the

proposed auction time period, is not novel. All Options Members will have an equal opportunity to respond with their best prices during the SAM Auction. Since the Exchange considers all interest present in the System, and not solely SAM responses, for execution against the Agency Order, those participants who are not explicit responders to a SAM Auction may receive executions via SAM as well.

The proposed priority and allocation rules for a SAM Auction do not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. By keeping the priority and allocation rules for a SAM Auction similar to the standard allocation used on the Exchange, the proposed rule change reduces the ability of market participants to misuse the SAM Auction to circumvent standard priority rules in a manner that is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, and to promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange. The proposed execution and priority rules will allow orders to interact with interest in the EDGX Options Book, and will allow interest on the EDGX Options Book to interact with option orders in the price improvement mechanisms in an efficient and orderly manner. The Exchange believes this interaction of orders will benefit investors by increasing the opportunity for option orders to receive executions, while also enhancing the execution quality for orders resting on the Book.

This proposed rule change regarding priority does not impose any significant burden on competition. The purpose of SAM is to provide a facility for Options Members that locate liquidity for their large customer orders to execute these orders (and potentially obtain better prices). Given the large size of a customer order submitted into a SAM, an Initiating Member that solicits and locates sufficient interest to execute against the entire order at the best then-available price (or better) receives in exchange for that effort execution priority

Order) to trade against the entire size of the customer order at the stop price, as long as there are no Priority Customer orders (including Priority Customer AON orders) resting in the EDGX Options Book at the same price. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will protect Priority Customer orders resting on the EDGX Options Book while encouraging Options Members to continue to seek liquidity against which their customers may execute their large orders. The Exchange believes this may also encourage non-Priority Customers to submit interest at improved prices if they seek to execute against Agency Orders since they will not have the opportunity to trade against an Agency Order at the stop price.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change to permit the Solicited Order to be comprised of multiple orders that total the size of the Agency Order does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. The Exchange believes this will benefit investors, because it may increase the opportunities for customers to have orders participate in SAM Auctions. As a result, this would increase opportunities for price improvement, because it will increase the liquidity available for the Solicited Order, which is consistent with the principles behind the SAM Auction. Additionally, this proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition. The Exchange believes that this will be beneficial to participants because allowing multiple contra-parties should foster competition for filling the Agency Order and thereby result in potentially better prices, as opposed to only allowing one contraparty and, thereby requiring that contra-party to do a larger size order which could result in a worse price for the trade. This functionality will be available to all Options Members that submit orders into a SAM Auction. It is also consistent with AIM functionality and

the rules of another options exchange. The Exchange notes the contra-side of a Qualified Contingent Cross order, as permitted by Rule 21.1(d)(10), may be comprised of multiple orders totaling the size of the initiating order (which must be at least 1,000 contracts), and thus permitting multiple contra-parties in a cross of larger-sized orders is not novel. SAM Auctions are intended to provide price-improvement opportunities for larger-sized orders (unlike Qualified Contingent Cross Orders, which execute immediately and are not exposed for price improvement), and permitting multiple contra-parties will further increase price-improvement opportunities for Agency Orders, as there may be more liquidity available to begin the auction. Additionally, whether the Solicited Order is comprised of one order or multiple orders, the SAM Auction will function the same, and the Agency Order may execute in the same manner.

The proposed rule change to not permit pairs of Priority Customer orders to be submitted to an AIM Auction does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is reasonable, because the Exchange believes it would be in the interests of such pairs of orders to be submitted to a Customer-to-Customer AIM Immediate Cross pursuant to Rule 21.19(f) pursuant to which they can be executed immediately. The proposed rule change will not impose a significant burden on competition. The Exchange offers an immediate cross functionality for such orders, so Options Members continue to have a facility pursuant to which Options Members may cross Priority Customer orders. The Exchange believes there will be minimal demand to submit pairs of Priority Customer orders into SAM Auctions given its offering of immediate cross functionality via AIM.

See ISE Regulatory Information Circular 2014-013.

The proposed rule change that an Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Initiating Order as Post Only does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, because the purpose of a Post Only order is to not execute upon entry and instead rest in the EDGX Options Book, while the purpose of submitting orders to a SAM Auction is to receive an execution following the auction and not enter the EDGX Options Book. Pursuant to proposed Rule 21.21, an Agency Order will fully execute against contra-side interest (possibly including the Initiating Order, which must be for the same size as the Agency Order, and thus there cannot be remaining contracts in an Agency Order to enter the EDGX Options Book if there is an execution following a SAM Auction). This proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition, because the Post Only designation will not be available to any Initiating Member for Agency Orders and Initiating Orders, and would otherwise conflict with the purpose of SAM Auctions.

As discussed above, the Exchange has proposed to allow SAM Auctions to occur concurrently with other SAM Auctions. Although SAM Auctions for Agency Orders will be allowed to overlap, the Exchange does not believe this raises any issues that are not addressed through the proposed rule change described above. For example, although overlapping, each SAM Auction will be started in a sequence and with a time that will determine its processing. Thus, even if there are two SAM Auctions that commence and conclude, at nearly the same time, each SAM Auction will have a distinct conclusion at which time the SAM Auction will be allocated. In turn, when the first Auction concludes, unrelated orders that then exist will be considered for participation in the SAM Auction. If unrelated orders are fully executed in such SAM Auction, then there

will be no unrelated orders for consideration when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed (unless new unrelated order interest has arrived). If instead there is remaining unrelated order interest after the first SAM Auction has been allocated, then such unrelated order interest will be considered for allocation when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed. As another example, each SAM response is required to specifically identify the Auction for which it is targeted and if not fully executed will be cancelled back at the conclusion of the Auction. Thus, SAM responses will be specifically considered only in the specified SAM Auction.

The Exchange does not believe that allowing multiple auctions to overlap for Agency Orders presents any unique issues that differ from functionality already in place on the Exchange or other exchanges. Pursuant to Rule 21.19(c)(1), multiple AIM Auctions for Agency Orders for 50 or more contracts may overlap. Additionally, other options exchanges permit other auctions to overlap.<sup>78</sup>

The proposed rule change apply to the minimum size requirement for standard option contracts to the corresponding number of mini-option contracts does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, because it is consistent with the definition of mini-options. Rule 19.6, Interpretation and Policy .07 permits the listing of mini-options, which is an option with a 10 share deliverable of the underlying security rather than 100 share deliverable of the underlying security (which is the standard deliverable for a standard option contract). This provides transparency to investors that SAM functionality and the potential for price improvement will be

Note that See, e.g., ISE Rule 716(d), which governs ISE's facilitation mechanism and does not restrict such auctions to one auction at a time; and Boston Options Exchange ("BOX") Rule 7270.

available to larger-sized Agency Orders for mini-options as well as standard options. The volume restrictions apply in the same manner to an equivalent number of contracts in a standard option and a mini-option. This proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition, as it applies in the same manner to all Agency Orders and is also the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1).

The proposed rule change regarding the stop price requirements, execution price requirements, and the events to terminate a SAM Auction early ensure execution prices at or better than the NBBO and EDGX BBO do not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, because they are consistent with linkage rules and ensure execution at the best available prices in the market. Additionally, the proposed SAM ISO order type (which is similar to current AIM ISO functionality) will provide Options Members with an efficient method to initiate a SAM Auction while preventing tradethroughs. The proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition, because it will apply to all SAM Auctions in the same manner.

Similarly, the proposed rule change that provides a SAM responses are capped at the EDGX BBO on the same side as the Agency Order, and \$0.01 better than the EDGX BBO on the same side as the Agency Order if the EDGX BBO is represented by a Priority Customer on the EDGX Options Book (unless the Agency Order is SAM ISO) that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, and that a SAM response will execute, if possible, at the most aggressive permissible price not outside the BBO that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction or the Initial NBBO does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. Responses are a source of liquidity and potential price improvement, and the Exchange believes it is appropriate to instead accept

these responses and cap them at the BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. This is consistent with the proposed requirement that the stop price (which is the minimum price at which the Agency Order may execute) must be at or better than the Initial NBBO, and that the execution price is not outside of the BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. This will ensure the execution price does not cross the Initial NBBO in accordance with linkage rules. Additionally, proposed subparagraph (e) requires the execution price to be at or between the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. Therefore, as proposed, the price at which any response may execute will ultimately not be through the Initial NBBO or the BBO existing at the conclusion of the AIM Auction. This will ensure the execution price does not cross the Initial NBBO in accordance with linkage rules. This proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition, because it applies in the same manner to the responses of all Users.

The proposed rule change that indicates a marketable order on the opposite side of the Agency Order will not conclude a SAM Auction does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, because these orders may have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or better than the Initial NBBO and the EDGX Options BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. By not concluding a SAM Auction early, the Agency Order will have more time for potential price improvement. This proposed rule change does not impose any significant burden on competition, because it applies in the same manner to all unrelated opposite side order, which will

have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

### Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Proposed Rule 21.21 is substantially similar Cboe Options Rule 6.74B.<sup>79</sup> Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretation and Policy .01 describes how Cboe Options SAM will apply to complex orders. SAM will not be available for complex orders on the Exchange as of the implementation date of the proposed rule change. The Exchange will submit a rule filing to the extent it determines to apply SAM to complex orders in the future. In addition:

- The introductory paragraph of proposed Rule 21.21 specifies that the Solicited Order may not be for the same EFID as the Agency Order. This is merely additional detail regarding one step the Exchange is taking to ensure the orders are solicited from different parties, as required by the proposed rule.
- The introductory paragraph of proposed Rule 21.21 permits the Solicited Order to be comprised of multiple orders. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit the Solicited Order to be comprised of multiple orders; however, ISE Rule 716(e) does pursuant to ISE Regulatory Information Circular 2014-013. Additionally, as discussed above, the contra-side of a Qualified Contingent Cross Order, as permitted by Rule 21.1(d)(10), may be comprised of multiple orders totaling the size of the initiating order (which must be at least 1,000 contracts). SAM Auctions are intended to provide price-improvement opportunities for larger-sized orders (unlike Qualified Contingent Cross Orders, which execute immediately and are not exposed

-

Proposed Rule 21.21 is also similar to ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b). The proposed rule change differs from the ISE and MIAX rules in the same ways it differs from Cboe Options Rule 6.74B.

for price improvement), and permitting multiple contra-parties will further increase price-improvement opportunities for Agency Orders, as there may be more liquidity available to begin the auction. Additionally, whether the Solicited Order is comprised of one order or multiple orders, the SAM Auction will function the same, and the Agency Order may execute in the same manner.

- The introductory paragraph of proposed Rule 21.21 does not permit the Agency Order and Solicited Order to both be for accounts of Priority Customers, while Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not. Rule 21.19(f) already provides an immediate cross mechanism for pairs of Priority Customers, and the Exchange believes it is appropriate for pairs of Priority Customers to be submitted through that AIM process. The Exchange believes it would be in the interests of such pairs of orders to be submitted to a Customer-to-Customer AIM Immediate Cross pursuant to Rule 21.19(f) pursuant to which they can be executed immediately. The Exchange believes there will be minimal demand to submit pairs of Priority Customer orders into SAM Auctions given its offering of immediate cross functionality via AIM.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(1) applies SAM to all classes that trade on the Exchange, while Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) permits the Exchange to apply SAM on a class-by-class. The Exchange does not believe it needs this flexibility.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(2) requires the Initiating Member to mark an Agency Order for SAM Auction processing, which is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A).

- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(3) requires the Agency Order to be for at least the minimum size designate by the Exchange (which may not be for less than 500 standard option contracts or 5,000 mini-option contracts). It also requires the Solicited Order must be for (or must total, if the Solicited Order is comprise of multiple solicited orders) the same size as the Agency Order. The Initiating Member must designate each of the Agency Order and Solicited Order as all-or-none. This is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) and (2).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(4) and (c)(5)(A) states the minimum increment for SAM orders and responses will be \$0.01, while Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(3) and (b)(1)(E) provide that Cboe Options will determine the SAM minimum increment on a series basis, which may not be smaller than \$0.01. The Exchange does not believe it needs this flexibility.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(5) provides that an Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Solicited Order as Post Only. Choe Options does not currently offer Post Only orders. As discussed above, the Exchange believes this restriction is reasonable because a Post Only instruction is inconsistent with the purpose of orders submitted into a SAM Auction, which is to execute following the Auction and not enter the EDGX Options Book.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(a)(6) and (7) specifies that a SAM Auction may not be initiated until after the market open and that the Initial NBBO cannot be crossed, respectively. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not state this explicitly; however, the Exchange understands SAM Auctions may not be initiated on Cboe Options until after the market open (which is consistent with the premise that

executions on an exchange may only occur during trading hours). Additionally, Cboe Options requires the auction price to be at or within the NBBO, which could not occur if the NBBO was crossed.

- Proposed Rule 21.21(b)(1) requires the stop price to be at or better than the NBBO when the Agency Order and Solicited Order are submitted into a SAM Auction, which is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(2).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(b)(2) and (3) require the stop price to be at or better than the EDGX Options BBO (and better than the price of any Priority Customer order). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent regarding whether the auction price must be at or better than the Cboe Options best bid or offer. However, Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does require the execution price to be at or better than the Cboe Options BBO, and does not permit the Solicited Order to trade at the same price as a resting priority customer order (as proposed Rule 21.21(e) does). As noted below, proposed same-side order price restrictions are virtually identical to those for AIM in Rule 21.19(b)(2).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(b)(4) permits SAM sweep orders. Cboe Options
  Rule 6.74B does not provide for a similar order. As noted below, this proposed
  order type is the same as the AIM sweep order, and will provide Options
  Members with an efficient way to avoid trade-throughs when submitting orders
  into a SAM Auction.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(1) permits concurrent SAM Auctions in the same series. Choe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit concurrent SAM Auctions. As

noted below, the proposed rule change is virtually identical to the corresponding provision that permits concurrent AIM Auctions.

- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(2) regarding the SAM Auction notification message is substantially similar to Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(B) and (D). The proposed rule change also indicates that the notification message will include an Auction ID. This is required so that responses can be submitted for a specific auction, as the proposed rule change permits concurrent auctions in the same series, as discussed above. The Auction ID will ensure that responses are only eligible to trade in the SAM Auction into which they are submitted.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(3) regarding the time period for the SAM Auction is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(4) prohibits an Initiating Member from modifying or cancelling an Agency Order or Solicited Order after submission to a SAM Auction.

  Choe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on this; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with current Choe Options functionality.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5) permits responses from all market participants, while Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit responses for the account of away market-makers. The Exchange believes it will maximize liquidity in SAM Auctions by permitting responses from all market participants. ISE Rule 716(e) and MIAX Rule 515A(b) permit responses from all market participants, including away market-makers.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5) permits a SAM response to specify a limit price or be treated as market. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C) indicates that responses

should specify prices. The proposed rule change provides Options Members with additional flexibility regarding the prices at which it may be able to execute against Agency Orders following a SAM Auction.

- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5) provides that a SAM response may only participate in the SAM Auction with the Auction ID specified in the response. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not contain similar detail; however, as noted above, Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit concurrent auctions in the same series. Additionally, the Exchange understands that responses to Cboe Options SAM Auctions that do not execute during the SAM Auction are cancelled.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(A) provides the minimum price increment for SAM responses is \$0.01. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(E) provides the minimum price increment for responses is the same as the minimum increment for Agency Orders, which is determined by the Exchange and may not be less than \$0.01. As noted above, the Exchange does not believe it needs this flexibility.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(B) through (D) describe how the Exchange will handle responses that are priced through the NBBO or larger than the Agency Order. Cboe Options is silent on these matters. As discussed below, these provisions are identical to corresponding AIM provisions in Rule 21.19(c)(5), except Rule 21.19(c)(5) currently caps AIM responses at the Initial NBBO and \$0.01 better than the EDGX BBO if represented by a Priority Customer. Capping the prices of these responses based on prices at the conclusion of the SAM Auction will ensure any changes to the BBO or prices of orders resting in the Book that occur during the

SAM Auction will be considered.<sup>80</sup> This will ensure responses are able to execute at the most aggressive permissible prices. The Exchange believes these provisions are consistent with the requirements that the stop price and execution price be at or better than the Initial NBBO and BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, and to ensure Options Member cannot submit orders and responses of large sizes to obtain a larger pro-rata share of an auctioned order.

- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(E) requires SAM responses to be on the opposite side of the Agency Order. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not explicitly require this; however, it is consistent with the fact that responses are being submitted to trade against the Agency Order.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(F) states that SAM responses are not visible to SAM Auction participants or disseminated to OPRA, which is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(D).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(G) states that a User may modify or cancel SAM responses during a SAM Auction, which is the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(E) (except that rule just references the ability of response to be cancelled, and not also modified; however, cancellation of a response has the same result as modification of a response).
- Proposed Rule 21.21(d) describes the events that can cause a SAM Auction to conclude. Certain reasons are the same as those that will cause a Cboe Options SAM Auction to conclude pursuant to Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2) (which refers to the reasons that an AIM Auction will conclude pursuant to Cboe Options Rule

-

The Exchange notes it intends to similarly cap AIM responses in the corresponding rule provision in Rule 21.19(c)(5)(B) in a separate rule filing.

6.74A), including the end of the auction response period (see proposed Rule 21.21(d)(1)(A) and Cboe Options Rule 6.74A(b)(2)(A)), the receipt of unrelated orders on the same side as the Agency Order that may cause the stop price (and potential execution price) to be outside of the BBO or NBBO or at the same price as a priority customer (see proposed Rule 21.21(d)(1)(B) and (C) and Cboe Options Rule 6.74A(b)(2)(B) and (C)), and an Exchange halt, in which case there would be no execution (see proposed Rule 21.21(d)(1)(E) and Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(F); while the Cboe Options rule does not specify there would be no execution, the Exchange understands that is consistent with Cboe Options functionality, as no execution can occur while a series is halted for trading). Proposed Rule 21.21(d)(1)(D) also states the market close will conclude a SAM Auction. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on whether a SAM Auction will conclude at the market close; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with current functionality, and the general principles that an execution cannot occur after trading has stopped.

• Proposed Rule 21.21(d)(2) provides that marketable orders on the opposite side of the Agency Order will not conclude a SAM Auction, while Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(C) provides that a Cboe Options SAM Auction may conclude upon entry of an unrelated limit order on the opposite side of the Agency Order in certain circumstances. The Exchange believes this is reasonable, because these orders may have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or better than the NBBO and EDGX Options BBO. This will provide more time for price

improvement, and the unrelated order will have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

- Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(E) indicates a quote lock may cause the conclusion of a SAM Auction. The Exchange does not have such quote lock functionality.
- The proposed allocation in Rule 21.21(e) is the same as that in Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(2), except the Cboe Options rule does not indicate how it handles a Priority Customer AON order. The proposed rule change prioritizes Priority Customer AON orders in the same manner as it prioritizes all other Priority Customer orders at the conclusion of a SAM Auction, and thus provides Priority Customer AON orders with additional protection.
- Proposed Rule 21.21(e)(4) states that the System cancels or rejects unexecuted SAM responses (or unexecuted portions) at the conclusion of a SAM Auction. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not explicitly states this; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with current Cboe Options functionality.
- Proposed Rule 21.21, Interpretations and Policies .01 and .02 are the same as Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretations and Policies .02 and .03 (except proposed Rule 21.21 includes the provision regarding not being able to solicit appointed Market Makers in a different part of the rule).
- The proposed rule change also provides additional detail and clarity that is not included in Rule 6.74B or other options exchange rules regarding the SAM Auction process and allocation at the end of a SAM Auction.

Several of the proposed provisions are also substantially the same as the corresponding provisions for the Exchange's other price improvement auction, AIM. Both auctions are for the purpose of price improvement, and the differences relate solely to the SAM minimum size and all-or-none requirements. Proposed Rule 21.21(a) is substantively the same as the AIM Auction eligibility requirements in Rule 21.19(a) (except for the SAM minimum size and all-or-none requirements, which are standard for solicitation auction mechanisms, as their purpose is to accommodate larger-sized orders). Proposed Rule 21.21(b)(1) and (2) is substantively the same as the corresponding AIM auction price requirements (the proposed rule change also has requirements regarding the opposite side of the EDGX BBO, while the AIM rule does not). Additionally, proposed Rule 21.21(b)(4) is the same as Rule 21.19(b)(3)(A). Rule 21.19(b)(3)(B) also provides for a sweep and AIM order, which is an automated version of the AIM ISO; the proposed rule change does not offer similar functionality for SAM; however, Options Members can achieve the same result on SAM by submitted a SAM ISO. Proposed Rule 21.21(c) is the same as the AIM Auction process in Rule 21.19(c) (including the requirements for auction responses, except proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5) does not prohibit responses from being IOC or FOK or prohibit certain MTP modifiers; other exchanges do not restrict SAM responses from having similar designations). Proposed Rule 21.21(d) is the same as the provisions regarding the conclusion of the AIM Auction in Rule 21.19(d). The Exchange believes it will benefit investors to have consistency among price improvement mechanisms available on the Exchange.

The Exchange believes, despite the differences between proposed Rule 21.21 and Cboe Options Rule 6.74B (and similar rules of other options exchanges), the primary

functionality of SAM as proposed and Cboe Options SAM is substantially the same. The primary purpose of the proposed rule change is the same as the purpose of Cboe Options SAM, and the solicited auction mechanisms of other options exchanges, which is to provide market participants with greater flexibility in pricing larger-sized orders and may provide a greater opportunity for price improvement, while ensuring equal access to the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions. Despite the differences noted above, the proposed rule change serves this purpose.

# Item 9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u>

Not applicable.

# Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

#### Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text.

#### EXHIBIT 1

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Adopt Rule 21.21

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

### I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") proposes to adopt Rule 21.21. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

The text of the proposed rule change is also available on the Exchange's website (<a href="http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule\_filings/edgx/">http://markets.cboe.com/us/options/regulation/rule\_filings/edgx/</a>), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

### II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

## A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

#### 1. Purpose

In 2016, the Exchange's parent company, Cboe Global Markets, Inc. ("Cboe Global"), which is the parent company of Cboe Exchange, Inc. ("Cboe Options") and Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. ("C2"), acquired the Exchange, Cboe EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA"), Cboe BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX or BZX Options"), and Cboe BYX Exchange, Inc. ("BYX" and, together with C2, Cboe Options, the Exchange, EDGA, and BZX, the "Cboe Affiliated Exchanges"). The Cboe Affiliated Exchanges are working to align certain system functionality, retaining only intended differences between the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges, in the context of a technology migration. Cboe Options intends to migrate its technology to the same trading platform used by the Exchange, C2, and BZX Options in the fourth quarter of 2019. The proposal set forth below is intended to add certain functionality to the Exchange's System that is available on Cboe Options in order to ultimately provide a consistent technology offering for market participants who interact with the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges. Although the Exchange intentionally offers certain features that differ from those offered by its affiliates and will continue to do so, the Exchange believes that offering similar functionality to the extent practicable will reduce potential confusion for Users.

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to adopt the Solicitation Auction

Mechanism ("SAM"), which is a solicited order mechanism for larger-sized orders. SAM

will provide an additional method for market participants to effect orders in a price improvement auction. The proposed rule change is similar to the solicited order mechanism of Cboe Options and other options exchanges. Many aspects of the proposed rule change are similar to the corresponding aspects of the Automated Improvement Mechanism ("AIM"), which is the Exchange's current electronic crossing mechanism. The Exchange believes the similarity of SAM to the Exchange's AIM mechanism and the mechanisms of other exchanges will allow the Exchange's proposed price improvement functionality to fit seamlessly into the options market and benefit market participants who are already familiar with this similar functionality. The Exchange also believes this will encourage Users to compete vigorously to provide the opportunity for price improvement for larger-sized customer orders in a competitive auction process.

An Options Member (the "Initiating Member") may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent ("Agency Order") against a solicited order(s)<sup>3</sup> if it submits the Agency Order for electronic execution into a SAM Auction pursuant to proposed Rule 21.21.<sup>4</sup>

The solicited order(s) cannot be for the same EFID as the Agency Order or for the account of any Options Market Maker with an appointment in the applicable class on the Exchange. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on how it determines whether both orders submitted to a SAM Auction are solicited for different accounts. The Agency Order and Solicited Order cannot both be for the accounts of a customer. Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not contain a similar prohibition. The Exchange believes it is appropriate for such customer-to-customer crosses to be submitted to an AIM Auction pursuant to Rule 6.74A, as that rule contains a provision for Customer-to-Customer Immediate AIM Crosses.

For purposes of proposed Rule 21.21, the term "NBBO" means the national best bid or national best offer at the particular point in time applicable to the reference, and the term "Initial NBBO" means the national best bid or national best offer at the time a SAM Auction is initiated.

The Initiating Member may initiate a SAM Auction if all of the following conditions are met:

- The Agency Order may be in any class traded on the Exchange.<sup>5</sup>
- The Initiating Member must mark an Agency Order for SAM Auction processing.<sup>6</sup>
- The Agency Order must be for at least the minimum size designated by the Exchange (which may not be less than 500 standard option contracts or 5,000 mini-option contracts). The Solicited Order must be for (or must total, if the Solicited Order is comprised of multiple solicited orders)<sup>7</sup> the same size as the Agency Order. The Initiating Member must designate each of the Agency Order and Solicited Order as all-or-none ("AON").<sup>8</sup>
- The price of the Agency Order and Solicited Order must be in an increment of \$0.01.9
- An Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Solicited Order as Post Only.<sup>10</sup>

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(1). Choe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) permits Choe Options to make SAM available on a class-by-class basis. The Exchange does not believe it currently needs this flexibility.

<sup>6 &</sup>lt;u>See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(2); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A).</u>

Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit the solicited order to consist of multiple contras. See additional discussion below regarding the provision to permit multiple contra-parties to be solicited to trade against an Agency Order.

<sup>8</sup> See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(3); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(1) and (2).

See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(4). Choe Options Rule 6.74B(a)(3) permits Choe Options to determine the minimum price increment for the Agency Order and Solicited Order, which may not be smaller than \$0.01. The Exchange does not believe it needs this flexibility, and thus the proposed rule change applies the \$0.01 increment to all classes.

- An Initiating Member may only submit an Agency Order to a SAM Auction after the market open.<sup>11</sup>
- An Initiating Member may not submit an Agency Order if the NBBO is crossed (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO).<sup>12</sup>

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet these conditions.<sup>13</sup>

As defined, the Solicited Order may be comprised of multiple solicited orders, in which case they must total the same size as the Agency Order (and thus be for a total of at least 500 contracts). This will accommodate multiple contra-parties and increase the opportunities for customer orders to be submitted into a SAM Auction with the potential for price improvement, since the Initiating Order must stop the full size of the Agency Order.

- See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(5). The Post Only designation is not currently available on Cboe Options. The Exchange believes this is appropriate, as the purpose of a Post Only order is to not execute upon entry and instead rest in the EDGX Options Book, while the purpose of orders submitted into a SAM Auction is to receive an execution following the auction but prior to entering the EDGX Options Book. See also Rule 21.19(a)(5).
- See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(6). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on when a SAM Auction may be initiated. However, the Exchange understands that the proposed rule change is consistent with Cboe Options functionality. See also Rule 21.19(a)(6).
- See proposed Rule 21.21(a)(7). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on whether a SAM Auction may be initiated when the NBBO is crossed. However, the proposed rule change is consistent with the proposed requirement (and Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A) and (2)(A)(1)) that the stop price and execution price be at or better than the initial NBBO (as discussed below), as well as linkage rules that do not permit executions at prices that trade through the NBBO (see Rule 27.2).
- See proposed Rule 21.21(a). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not specify whether an Agency Order and Solicited Order will be rejected or cancelled if they do not meet the SAM eligibility requirements. However, the Exchange understands that the proposed rule change is consistent with Cboe Options functionality. The proposed SAM Auction eligibility requirements (other than the minimum size) are the same as the AIM Auction eligibility requirements. See Rule 21.19(a).

This will have no impact on the execution of the Agency Order, which may trade against multiple contra-parties depending on the final auction price, as set forth in proposed paragraph (e). The Exchange notes that with regard to order entry, the first order submitted into the system is marked as the initiating/agency side and the second order is marked as the contra-side. Additionally, the Solicited Order will always be entered as a single order, even if that order consists of multiple contra-parties who are allocated their portion of the trade in a post-trade allocation.<sup>14</sup>

The Solicited Order must stop the entire Agency Order at a price that satisfies the following conditions:

- The stop price for a buy (sell) Agency Order must be at or better than the then-current NBO (NBB). 15
- If the Agency Order is to buy (sell), the stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best bid (offer), unless the Agency Order is a Priority Customer order and the resting order is a non-Priority Customer order, in which case the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best bid (offer). The Exchange believes this condition protects orders on

The Exchange notes that while other exchange rules do not specify whether the contra-side order in a solicitation auction mechanism may consist of multiple orders, the contra-side order for Qualified Contingent Cross Orders (see Rule 21.1(d)(10)), which similarly have a minimum quantity requirement and are fully crossed against an initiating order that must be for a minimum number of contracts, may consist of multiple contra-side orders. However, ISE Regulatory Information Circular 2014-013 states that the contra-side order submitted into a crossing mechanism (including the ISE solicited order mechanism) may consist of one or more parties.

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(1); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(A).

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(2). These conditions regarding orders on the same side as the Agency Order are the same as those applicable to AIM for orders of 50

the same side as the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book, including Priority Customer orders. By permitting a Priority Customer Agency Order to be entered at the same price as a resting non-Priority Customer order, the proposed rule change also protects Priority Customer orders submitted into an AIM Auction. The proposed rule change is consistent with general customer priority principles.

- If the Agency Order is to buy (sell) and the Exchange best offer (bid) represents (a) a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid); or (b) a quote or order that is not a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best offer (bid). The Exchange believes this condition protects orders on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book, including Priority Customer orders.
- If the Initiating Member submits a SAM sweep order to a SAM Auction, the stop price, SAM responses, and executions are permitted at a price inferior to the Initial NBBO. A "SAM sweep order" or "SAM ISO" is the submission of two orders for crossing in a SAM Auction without regard for better-priced

contracts or more. <u>See</u> Rule 21.19(b). Choe Options Rule 6.74B is silent regarding whether the stop price must be at or better than the same-side Choe Options best bid or offer; however, the execution price must be at or better than the Choe Options best bid or offer.

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(3). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent regarding whether the stop price must be at or better than the opposite-side Cboe Options best bid or offer; however, the execution price may not be at the same price as priority customer orders resting on the book on the opposite side of the Agency Order (unless the priority customer orders execute against the Agency Order).

Protected Quotes (as defined in Rule 27.1) because the submitting Options

Member routed an ISO(s) simultaneously with the routing of the SAM ISO

to execute against the full displayed size of any Protected Quote that is better
than the stop price and has swept all interest in the EDGX Options Book
with a price better than the stop price. Any execution(s) resulting from these
sweeps accrue to the AIM Agency Order. <sup>18</sup>

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet these conditions.<sup>19</sup>

Upon receipt of an Agency Order that meets the above conditions, the SAM Auction process commences. One or more SAM Auctions in the same series may occur at the same time. To the extent there is more than one SAM Auction in a series underway at a time, the SAM Auctions conclude sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced, unless terminated early pursuant to proposed paragraph (d). At the time each SAM Auction concludes, the System allocates the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e) and takes into account all SAM Auction responses and unrelated orders in place at the exact time of conclusion. In the event there are multiple SAM Auctions underway that are each terminated early pursuant to proposed paragraph (d), the System

See proposed Rule 21.21(b)(4). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B is silent on whether ISOs are permitted with respect to SAM auctions. However, ISOs are similarly permitted for AIM Auctions, and the proposed definition of a SAM ISO is consistent with linkage rules. See Rules 21.19(b)(3)(A) and 27.1.

See proposed Rule 21.21(b).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c).

processes the SAM Auctions sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced.<sup>21</sup>

The Exchange notes it is also possible for various types of auctions (such as an AIM Auction or a complex order auction ("COA")) to occur concurrently in the same series, and at the end of each auction, it is possible for interest resting in the EDGX Options Book to trade against any of the auctioned orders in the series. While these auctions may be occurring at the same time, they will be processed in the order in which they are terminated (similar to how the System processes SAM Auctions as discussed above). In other words, suppose there is an AIM Auction, a SAM Auction, and a COA all occurring in the same series, which began and will terminate in that order, and each of which last 100 milliseconds. While it is possible for all three auctions to terminate nearly simultaneously, the System will still process them in the order in which they terminate. When the AIM Auction terminates, the System will process it in accordance with Rule 21.19, and the auctioned order may trade against any resting interest (in addition to the contra-side order and responses submitted to that AIM Auction, which may only trade against the order auctioned in that AIM pursuant to Rule 21.19). The System will then process the SAM Auction when it terminates, and the auctioned order may trade against any resting interest that did not execute against the AIM order (in addition to the contra-side order and responses submitted to that SAM Auction, which may only trade against the order auctioned in that SAM pursuant to proposed Rule 21.21). Finally, the System will then process the COA Auction when it terminates, and the COA order may leg into the EDGX Options Book

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(1). This provision regarding concurrent SAM Auctions is similar to the AIM provision that permits concurrent AIM Auctions for Agency Orders of 50 contracts or more. See Rule 21.19(c)(1). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not permit concurrent SAM Auctions.

and trade against any resting interest that did not execute against the AIM order or SAM order (in addition to any interest resting on the complex order book and COA responses pursuant to Rule 21.20).

The Exchange System initiates the SAM Auction process by sending a SAM Auction notification message detailing the side, size, price, origin code, Auction ID, and options series of the Agency Order to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages. SAM Auction notification messages are not included in the disseminated BBO or OPRA.<sup>22</sup> The "SAM Auction period" is a period of time determined by the Exchange, which may be no less than 100 milliseconds and no more than one second.<sup>23</sup> An Initiating Member may not modify or cancel an Agency Order or Solicited Order after submission to a SAM Auction.<sup>24</sup>

Any User other than the Initiating Member (determined by EFID) may submit responses to a SAM Auction that are properly marked specifying size, side of the market, and the Auction ID for the SAM Auction to which the User is submitting the response.<sup>25</sup> A

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(2); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(B).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(3); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C). Pursuant to Rule 16.3, the Exchange will announce the length of the SAM Auction period via specification, Exchange Notice, or Regulatory Circular.

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(4); see also Rule 21.19(c)(4) (corresponding provision in AIM). Cboe Options Rule 6.74B does not contain this detail; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with current Cboe Options functionality.

The System disregards any Post Only instruction applied to a SAM response, as that instruction is inconsistent with the purpose of a SAM response, which is to execute against the Agency Order at the conclusion of a SAM Auction (and thus not post to the EDGX Options Book). As a result, the System handles a SAM response with a Post Only instruction in the same manner as all other SAM responses, which is as providers of liquidity (*i.e.*, makers).

SAM response may specify a limit price or be treated as market. A SAM response may only participate in the SAM Auction with the Auction ID specified in the response.<sup>26</sup>

- The minimum price increment for SAM responses is \$0.01. The System rejects a SAM response that is not in a \$0.01 increment.<sup>27</sup>
- SAM buy (sell) responses are capped at the Exchange best offer (bid), or \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid) if it is represented by a Priority Customer order resting on the EDGX Options Book (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO) that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The System executes SAM responses, if possible, at the most aggressive permissible price not outside the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction or the Initial NBBO.<sup>28</sup> Capping the prices of these responses based on prices at the conclusion of the SAM Auction will ensure any changes to the BBO or prices of orders resting in the Book that occur during the SAM Auction will be considered. This will ensure responses are able to execute at the most aggressive permissible prices. This will ensure the execution price does not cross the Initial NBBO in accordance with linkage

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5); see also Rule 21.19(c)(5) (corresponding provision in AIM). Choe Options Rule 6.74B does not specify that a response may be market as well as limit or that a response may only participate in the auction specific in the response.

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(A). Choe Options permits it to determine the minimum increment of SAM responses, which may not be less than \$0.01. See Choe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(E). The Exchange does not believe it needs that flexibility.

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(B). Choe Options does not have a corresponding provision; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. The Exchange notes it intends to similarly cap AIM responses in the corresponding rule provision in Rule 21.19(c)(5)(B) in a separate rule filing.

rules. Additionally, proposed subparagraph (e) requires the execution price to be at or between the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction.

Therefore, as proposed, the price at which any response may execute will ultimately not be through the Initial NBBO or the BBO at the conclusion of the AIM Auction.

- A User may submit multiple SAM responses at the same or multiple prices to a SAM Auction. For purposes of the SAM Auction, the System aggregates all of a User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses for the same EFID at the same price. The Exchange believes this is appropriate since all interest at a single price is considered for execution against the Agency Order at that price, and can then together be subject to the size cap, as discussed below. This (combined with the proposed size cap) will prevent an Options Member from submitting multiple orders, quotes, or responses at the same price to obtain a larger prorata share of the Agency Order.
- The System caps the size of a SAM response, or the aggregate size of a

  User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM

  responses for the same EFID at the same price, at the size of the Agency

  Order (i.e., the System ignores size in excess of the size of the Agency Order

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(C). Choe Options does not specify whether a participant may submit multiple responses at the same price or whether the size of all of a participant's interest at the same price will be aggregated; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(C).

when processing the SAM Auction).<sup>30</sup> This Exchange believes this is reasonable to prevent an Options Member from submitting an order, quote, or response with an extremely large size in order to obtain a larger pro-rata share of the Agency Order.

- SAM responses must be on the opposite side of the market as the Agency Order. The System rejects a SAM response on the same side of the market as the Agency Order.<sup>31</sup>
- SAM responses are not visible to SAM Auction participants or disseminated to OPRA.<sup>32</sup>
- A User may modify or cancel its SAM responses during the SAM Auction.<sup>33</sup>
   A SAM Auction concludes at the earliest to occur of the following times:
- the end of the SAM Auction period;

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(D). This is in contrast to Cboe Options, which requires responses to not exceed the size of the Agency Order. See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(F). However, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(D).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(E). Cboe Options does not specify whether a response will be rejected if it is not on the opposite side of the Agency Order; however, the Exchange understands this is consistent with Cboe Options functionality and the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. Additionally, it is reasonable given that the purpose of a response is to trade against the Agency Order. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(E).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(F); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(D).

See proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(G). Cboe Options does not specify whether responses may be cancelled during the auction; however, this is the same as the corresponding provision for the Exchange's AIM Auction. See Rule 21.19(c)(5)(I). Unlike Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, the proposed rule change permits responses for the account of an options market-maker from another options exchange. Other options exchanges similarly permit such responses in solicited auction mechanisms. See, e.g., ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

- upon receipt by the System of a Priority Customer order on the same side of
  the market with a price the same as or better than the stop price that would
  post to the EDGX Options Book;
- upon receipt by the System of an unrelated order or quote that is not a
   Priority Customer order on the same side of the market as the Agency Order
   that would cause the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO;
- the market close; and
- any time the Exchange halts trading in the affected series, provided,
   however, that in such instance the SAM Auction concludes without execution.

An unrelated market or marketable limit order (against the EDGX BBO), including a Post Only Order,<sup>34</sup> on the opposite side of the Agency Order received during the SAM Auction does not cause the SAM Auction to end early and executes against interest outside of the SAM Auction. If contracts remain from such unrelated order at the time the SAM Auction ends, they may be allocated for execution against the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e).<sup>35</sup> Because these orders may have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or

Any Post Only order resting on the EDGX Options Book at the conclusion of the SAM Auction that executes against the Agency Order pursuant to proposed paragraph (e) executes in the same manner as any other order type of order resting on the EDGX Options Book, which is as providers of liquidity (*i.e.*, makers).

See proposed Rule 21.21(d). The proposed reasons why a SAM Auction may conclude early differ from the reasons why a Cboe Options SAM Auction may conclude early, but the proposed reasons are the same as those that will cause an AIM Auction to conclude early. See Rule 21.19(d). Similarly, a Cboe Options SAM Auction will conclude early for the same reasons that cause a Cboe Options AIM Auction to terminate early. See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2).

better than the Initial NBBO and EDGX Options BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the Exchange does not believe it is necessary to cause a SAM Auction to conclude early in the event the Exchange receives such orders. This will provide more time for potential price improvement, and the unrelated order will have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

At the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order or contra-side interest (which includes orders and quotes resting in the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses) at the best price(s) as follows. Any execution price(s) must be at or between the BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction and at or between the Initial NBBO<sup>36</sup>:

- The System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order at the stop price if there are no Priority Customer Orders (including Priority Customer AON Orders) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting in the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of contra-side interest at an improved price(s) is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.
- The System executes the Agency Order against contra-side interest (and cancels the Solicited Order) if (A) there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer AON order) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of the Priority Customer order and other contra-side interest at the stop price or an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order or (B) the aggregate size of contra-

See proposed Rule 21.21(e); see also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(A) (which provides the execution price must be at or better than the initial auction NBBO and that an execution will occur at prices equal to or better than the Cboe Options BBO).

side interest at an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order. The Agency Order against such contra-side interest occurs at each price level, to the price at which the balance of the Agency Order can be fully executed, in the following order:

- Priority Customer orders (including Priority Customer AON orders) on the EDGX Options Book (non-AON orders before AON orders, each in time priority);
- remaining contra-side trading interest (including non-Priority Customer orders and quotes on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses)
   pursuant to Rule 21.8(c);
- any nondisplayed Reserve Quantity (Priority Customer before non-Priority
   Customer, each in time priority); and
- any non-Priority Customer AON orders, if there is sufficient size to satisfy the AON order.
- The System cancels the Agency Order and Solicited Order with no execution
   if:
  - execution of the Agency Order against the Solicited Order at the stop
     price would not be at or between the EDGX BBO at the conclusion
     of the SAM Auction or at or between the Initial NBBO; or
  - o there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer

    AON order) resting on the opposite side of the Agency Order at the

    stop price on the EDGX Options Book, and the aggregate size of the

Priority Customer order and any other contra-side interest is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.<sup>37</sup>

 The System cancels or rejects any unexecuted SAM responses (or unexecuted portions) at the conclusion of the SAM Auction.<sup>38</sup>

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the AON nature of solicitation mechanisms and the priority order applicable to executions following a SAM Auction at the stop price, as well as general priority provisions.<sup>39</sup> The proposed provisions regarding the execution of the Agency Order at the conclusion of a SAM Auction is similar to the corresponding provisions for a Cboe Options SAM, as well as current Rules regarding priority and allocation of resting orders and quotes.<sup>40</sup> The proposed priority will ensure

See proposed Rule 21.21(e); see also Rule 21.19(e).

See id.

<sup>39</sup> See Rule 21.8, which provides that at a single price level: (a) Priority Customer orders have priority over non-Priority Customer orders, and Priority Customer orders at the same price are allocated in time priority; (b) non-customer orders have next priority and are allocated in a pro-rata manner; (c) displayed orders have priority over nondisplayed orders, and nondisplayed portions of Reserve Orders are allocated in a pro-rata manner, except nondisplayed portions of Priority Customer Reserve Orders trade ahead of non-customer Reserve Orders; and AON orders have last priority (including after nondisplayed Reserve Quantity). The Exchange notes it may apply an entitlement for Designated Primary Market Makers or Preferred market Makers, which entitlement would apply after Priority Customer orders. This entitlement is inapplicable in the setting of an auction; however, it is comparable to order when a Solicited Order receives priority. The Exchange notes, unlike the allocation following other executions, the proposed rule change prioritizes Priority Customer AON orders in the same manner as all other Priority Customer orders in executions following SAM Auctions.

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(2)(A) (which states if there are priority customer orders and there is sufficient size (considering all resting orders, electronic quotes and responses) to execute the Agency Order, the Agency Order will be executed against these interests and the solicited order will be cancelled, and if there are priority customer orders and there is not sufficient size (considering all resting orders, electronic quotes and responses), both the Agency Order and the solicited

execution of the Agency Order at the stop price if there is sufficient interest while protecting Priority Customer orders (except AON orders) resting on the EDGX Options Book in accordance with the general principle of customer priority. This will ensure the Agency Order is allocated in a manner consistent with the standard priority of allocation on the Exchange rules that distinguish between Priority Customers and displayed and non-displayed interest in a manner that will help ensure a fair and orderly market by maintaining priority of orders and quotes while still affording the opportunity for price improvement in each SAM Auction commenced on the Exchange.

Proposed Rule 21.21, Interpretation and Policy .01 provides that prior to entering Agency Orders into a SAM Auction on behalf of customers, Initiating Members must deliver to the customer a written notification informing the customer that his order may be executed using the SAM Auction. The written notification must disclose the terms and conditions contained in this Rule 21.21 and be in a form approved by the Exchange.<sup>41</sup>

Rule 22.12 prevents an Options Member from executing agency orders to increase its economic gain from trading against the order without first giving other trading interests on the Exchange an opportunity to either trade with the agency order or to trade at the execution price when the Options Member was already bidding or offering on the book. However, the Exchange recognizes that it may be possible for an Options Member to establish a relationship with a Priority Customer or other person to deny agency orders the opportunity to interact on the Exchange and to realize similar economic benefits as it would achieve by executing agency order as principal. Under Rule 21.21, Initiating Members may enter contra-side orders that are solicited. SAM provides a facility for Options Members that

order will be cancelled).

See also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretation and Policy .02.

locate liquidity for their customer orders. Options Members may not use the SAM Auction to circumvent Rule 21.19 or 22.12 limiting principal transactions. This may include, but is not limited to, Options Members entering contra-side orders that are solicited from

(a) affiliated broker-dealers or (b) broker-dealers with which the Options Member has an arrangement that allows the Options Member to realize similar economic benefits from the solicited transaction as it would achieve by executing the customer order in whole or in part as principal.<sup>42</sup>

The following examples demonstrate how orders will be executed in a SAM Auction:

#### Example #1

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 – 1.25

BBO: 1.10 – 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.10

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price.

The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.10.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.10

<sup>42 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> proposed Interpretation and Policy .02; <u>see also</u> Cboe Options Rule 6.74B, Interpretation and Policy .03.

• Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.20

The aggregate responses did not improve the price of the entire Agency Order, and there are no Priority Customer orders at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Solicited Order against the Agency Order at a price of 1.10 and cancels the SAM responses.

#### Example #2

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 – 1.25

BBO: 1.10 - 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.10

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price. The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.10.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.10
- Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.10
- Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.20
- Response 5 to buy 2000 at 1.15

There is sufficient size among the SAM responses to improve the price of the entire Agency Order, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes 1000 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.20 against Response 4 and 1000 contracts of the Agency Order

at a price of 1.15 against Response 5, and cancels the Solicited Order and Responses 1, 2, 3, and 5 (the remaining 1000).

Example #3

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 - 1.25

BBO: 1.10 (200) – 1.30 (no Priority Customer orders)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.11

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price.

The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.11.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 3 to buy 5000 at 1.11
- Response 4 to buy 1000 at 1.12
- Unrelated Order A to sell 500 at 1.10

The SAM Auction terminates when the System receives Unrelated Order A, because it is marketable against the EDGX best bid of 1.10, and would cause the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO if it immediately executed. The aggregate responses did not improve the price of the entire Agency Order, and there are no Priority Customer orders at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Solicited Order against the Agency Order at a price of 1.11 and cancels the SAM responses. The System then

executes 200 contracts of Unrelated Order A against the resting order at a 1.10 at that price, and then enters 300 contracts of Unrelated Order A onto the Book. The EDGX BBO then becomes 1.08 – 1.10 (which, as noted above, would have caused the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO).

#### Example #4

XYZ Jan 50 Calls

NBBO: 1.10 - 1.25

BBO: 1.10 - 1.30 (Priority Customer order for 20 included in the bid, and no Priority Customer order included in the offer)

Paired order to execute 2000 contracts AON (Agency Order to sell) at 1.11 (one increment better than a resting Priority Customer order on the opposite side of the EDGX Options Book).

A SAM Auction notification message is sent to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages, which shows the option, size, side, and price. The SAM Auction timer begins, and the System starts the SAM Auction to sell at 1.11.

During the SAM Auction, the System receives the following responses in the following order:

- Response 1 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 2 to buy 2000 at 1.11
- Response 3 to buy 1000 at 1.15
- Response 4 to buy 900 at 1.12
- Priority Customer order to buy 100 at 1.11

There is sufficient size among the SAM responses at prices better than the stop price and the Priority Customer order at the stop price, so at the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes 1000 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.15 against Response 3, 900 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.12 against Response 4, and 100 contracts of the Agency Order at a price of 1.11 against the Priority Customer order, and cancels the Solicited Order and Responses 1 and 2.

### 2. <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>43</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>44</sup> requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)<sup>45</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Id.

The proposed rule change is generally intended to add certain system functionality currently offered by Cboe Options to the Exchange's System in order to provide a consistent technology offering for the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges. A consistent technology offering, in turn, will simplify the technology implementation, changes and maintenance by Users of the Exchange that are also participants on Cboe Affiliated Exchanges. This will provide Users with greater harmonization of price improvement auction mechanisms available among the Cboe Affiliated Exchanges.

The proposed rule change will provide market participants with an additional auction mechanism that will provide them with greater flexibility in pricing larger-sized orders and may provide more opportunities for price improvement. <sup>46</sup> SAM as proposed will function in a substantially similar manner as AIM, the Exchange's current price improvement mechanism – the differences relating primarily to the minimum size requirement and all-or-none nature of SAM. Additionally, the proposed auction mechanism provides equal access to the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions. <sup>47</sup> SAM is intended to benefit investors, because it is designed to provide investors seeking to execute large option orders with opportunities to access additional liquidity and receive price improvement. It will provide Options Members that locate liquidity for their customers' larger-sized orders a facility in which to execute those orders, potentially at improved

See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 49141 (January 28, 2004), 69 FR 5625 (February 5, 2004) (SR-ISE-2001-22); 57610 (April 3, 2008), 73 FR 19535 (April 10, 2008) (SR-CBOE-2008-14); and 72009 (April 23, 2014), 79 FR 24032 (April 29, 2014) (SR-MIAX-2014-09).

Any Options Member can subscribe to the options data disseminated through the Exchange's data feeds.

prices. The proposed rule change may result in increased liquidity available at improved prices for larger-sized orders, with competitive final pricing out of the Initiating Member's control. The Exchange believes SAM will promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, because the proposed SAM Auction is similar to other solicitation auction mechanisms currently available at other options exchanges. The general framework of the proposed SAM Auction process (such as the eligibility requirements, the auction response period, the same-side stop price requirements, response requirements, and auction notification process), such as the framework for the AIM price improvement auction the Exchange's current price improvement auction. The primary features of the proposed SAM Auction process are similar to the solicitation auction mechanisms of other options exchanges, including Cboe Options SAM, as discussed above and below. The clarity in how the proposed price improvement auction will function and its consistency with similar auctions at other exchanges will help promote a fair and orderly national options market system.

Further, the new functionality may lead to an increase in Exchange volume and should allow the Exchange to better compete against other markets that already offer an electronic solicitation mechanism, while providing an opportunity for price improvement for Agency Orders. The Exchange believes that its proposal will allow the Exchange to better compete for solicited transactions, while providing an opportunity for price

See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> See Rule 21.19.

improvement for Agency Orders and assuring that Priority Customers (including Priority Customer AON orders) on the EDGX Options Book are protected. The new solicitation mechanism should promote and foster competition and provide more options contracts with the opportunity for price improvement, which should benefit market participants.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change will result in efficient trading and reduce the risk for investors that seek access to additional liquidity and price improvement for larger-sized orders by providing additional opportunities to do so. The proposed priority and allocation rules in the SAM Auction are similar to the Exchange's current priority and allocation rules that give priority to displayed Priority Customer orders, while also providing priority to Priority Customer AON orders. The Exchange believes this will ensure a fair and orderly market by maintaining priority of orders and quotes and protecting Priority Customer orders, while still affording the opportunity for price improvement during each SAM Auction commenced on the Exchange. The proposed allocation ensures that the Agency Order will be filled if there is a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and sufficient other contraside interest to satisfy the Agency Order.

By keeping the priority and allocation rules for a SAM Auction similar to the standard allocation used on the Exchange, the proposed rule change reduces the ability of market participants to misuse the SAM Auction to circumvent standard priority rules in a manner that is designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, and to promote just and equitable principles of trade on the Exchange. The proposed execution

Pursuant to Rule 21.8, at a single price level, Priority Customer orders have priority over non-Priority Customer orders, which have priority over all nondisplayed orders (*i.e.*, the Reserve Portion of Priority Customer and non-Priority Customer Reserve Orders).

and priority rules will allow orders to interact with interest in the EDGX Options Book, and will allow interest on the EDGX Options Book to interact with option orders in the price improvement mechanisms in an efficient and orderly manner. The Exchange believes this interaction of orders will benefit investors by increasing the opportunity for option orders to receive executions, while also enhancing the execution quality for orders resting on the Book. The proposed priority is also substantially similar to that of corresponding solicitation auction mechanisms of other options exchanges.<sup>51</sup>

The proposed SAM Auction eligibility requirements are reasonable and promote a fair and orderly market and national market system, because they are substantially similar to the eligibility requirements for other exchanges' solicited order mechanisms, <sup>52</sup> and benefit investors by providing clarity regarding how they may initiate a SAM Auction. Additionally, other than the minimum size requirement and AON requirement (which are standard for solicited order mechanisms), the eligibility requirements are virtually the same as those for AIM, the Exchange's other price improvement mechanism. <sup>53</sup> This will further benefit investors by providing consistency across the Exchange's price improvement mechanisms.

<sup>51</sup> See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a) and (b)(1) and Interpretation and Policy .03; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(1) and (2) and Interpretation and Policy .04 (which permit applicability to any class, prohibit appointed market-makers from being solicited, impose the same minimum size and all-or-none requirement, has the same minimum increment, and requires Agency Orders to be marked for SAM processing). The proposed rule change that states a SAM Auction may not commence until after the market open is reasonable, as execution following a SAM Auction would not be possible until after the market open and when there is a BBO and NBBO.

<sup>53 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> Rule 21.19(a).

The proposed rule that an Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Initiating Order as Post Only protects investors, because it provides transparency regarding functionality that will not be available for SAM. The Exchange believes this is appropriate, as the purpose of a Post Only order is to not execute upon entry and instead rest in the EDGX Options Book, while the purpose of submitting orders to a SAM Auction is to receive an execution following the auction and not enter the EDGX Options Book. Pursuant to current and proposed Rule 21.21, an Agency Order will fully execute against contra-side interest (possibly against the Solicited Order, which must be for the same size as the Agency Order), or will be cancelled in the event there is no execution following a SAM Auction, and thus there cannot be remaining contracts in an Agency Order or Solicited Order to enter the EDGX Options Book.

The proposed rule change to state that the minimum size requirement of 500 or more standard option contracts applies to the equivalent number of mini-option contracts (*i.e.*, 5,000 mini-option contracts) promotes just and equitable principles of trade.

Rule 19.6, Interpretation and Policy .07 permits the listing of mini-options, which is an option with a 10 share deliverable of the underlying security rather than 100 share deliverable of the underlying security (which is the standard deliverable for a standard option contract). The proposed change to state that 500 standard option contracts is consistent with 5,000 mini-option contracts is consistent with this definition of mini-options. This provides transparency to investors that SAM functionality and the potential for price improvement is available to Agency Orders for large orders of mini-options as well as standard options.

The proposed rule change to prohibit an Agency Order and Solicited Order from both being for the accounts of Priority Customers is reasonable, because the Exchange believes it would be in the interests of such pairs of orders to be submitted to a Customer-to-Customer AIM Immediate Cross pursuant to Rule 21.19(f) pursuant to which they can be executed immediately. The Exchange believes there will be minimal demand to submit pairs of Priority Customer orders into SAM Auctions given its offering of immediate cross functionality via AIM.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change to permit the Solicited Order to be comprised of multiple orders that total the size of the Agency Order may increase liquidity and opportunity for Agency Orders to participate in SAM Auctions, and therefore provide Agency Orders with additional opportunities for price improvement, which is consistent with the principles behind the SAM Auction. The Exchange believes that this will be beneficial to participants because allowing multiple contra-parties should foster competition for filling the contra-side order and thereby result in potentially better prices, as opposed to only allowing one contra-party and, thereby requiring that contraparty to do a larger size order which could result in a worse price for the trade. Another exchange permits the contra-side in a solicited auction mechanism to be comprised of multiple contra-parties.<sup>54</sup> The Exchange notes the contra-side of a Qualified Contingent Cross order may be comprised of multiple orders.<sup>55</sup>

As discussed above, the Exchange has proposed to allow SAM Auctions to occur concurrently with other SAM Auctions. Although SAM Auctions for Agency Orders

<sup>54 &</sup>lt;u>See ISE Rule 716(e) and ISE Regulatory Information Circular 2014-013.</u>

Unlike orders submitted to a SAM Auction, Qualified Contingent Cross orders may immediately execute and are not exposed to the market for possible price improvement.

will be allowed to overlap, the Exchange does not believe this raises any issues that are not addressed through the proposed rule change described above. For example, although overlapping, each SAM Auction will be started in a sequence and with a time that will determine its processing. Thus, even if there are two SAM Auctions that commence and conclude, at nearly the same time, each SAM Auction will have a distinct conclusion at which time the SAM Auction will be allocated. In turn, when the first Auction concludes, unrelated orders that then exist will be considered for participation in the SAM Auction. If unrelated orders are fully executed in such SAM Auction, then there will be no unrelated orders for consideration when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed (unless new unrelated order interest has arrived). If instead there is remaining unrelated order interest after the first SAM Auction has been allocated, then such unrelated order interest will be considered for allocation when the subsequent SAM Auction is processed. As another example, each SAM response is required to specifically identify the Auction for which it is targeted and if not fully executed will be cancelled back at the conclusion of the Auction. Thus, SAM responses will be specifically considered only in the specified SAM Auction.

The Exchange does not believe that allowing multiple auctions to overlap for Agency Orders presents any unique issues that differ from functionality already in place on the Exchange or other exchanges. Pursuant to Rule 21.19(c)(1), multiple AIM Auctions for Agency Orders for 50 or more contracts may overlap. Additionally, other options exchanges permit other auctions to overlap.<sup>56</sup>

See, e.g., ISE Rule 716(d), which governs ISE's facilitation mechanism and does not restrict such auctions to one auction at a time; and Boston Options Exchange ("BOX") Rule 7270.

The proposed auction process will promote a free and open market, because it ensures equal access to information regarding SAM Auctions and the exposed Agency Orders for all market participants, as all Options Members that subscribe to the Exchange's data feeds with the opportunity to interact with orders submitted into SAM Auctions.<sup>57</sup> The Exchange has proposed a range between no less than 100 milliseconds and no more than one second for the duration of a SAM Auction.<sup>58</sup> This will provide investors with more timely execution of their options orders than a mechanism that has a one second auction, while ensuring there is an adequate exposure of orders in EDGX SAM. This proposed auction response time should provide investors with the opportunity to receive price improvement for larger-sized orders through SAM while reducing market risk. The Exchange believes a briefer time period reduces the market risk for the Initiating Member, versus an auction with a longer period, as well as for any Options Member providing responses to a broadcast. As such, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would help perfect the mechanism for a free and open national market system, and generally help protect investors and the public interest. All Options Members will have an equal opportunity to respond with their best prices during the SAM Auction. Since the Exchange considers all interest present in the System, and not solely SAM response, for execution against the Agency Order, those participants who are not explicit responders to a SAM Auction may receive executions via SAM as well.

The proposed SAM Auction response requirements are reasonable and promote a fair and orderly market and national market system, because they are substantially similar

Any Options Member can subscribe to the options data disseminated through the Exchange's data feeds.

See also Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(b)(1)(C); ISE Rule 716, Supplementary Material .04; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(2)(1)(C).

to the response requirements for other exchanges' solicited order mechanisms,<sup>59</sup> and benefit investors by providing clarity regarding how they may respond to a SAM Auction. Additionally, other than not restricting Times in Force or MTP Modifiers available for responses (which restrictions the Exchange does not currently believe are necessary for SAM responses), the eligibility requirements are virtually the same as those for AIM responses, the Exchange's other price improvement mechanism.<sup>60</sup> This will further benefit investors by providing consistency across the Exchange's price improvement mechanisms.

The proposed rule change will also perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, as it is consistent with linkage rules. Proposed Rule 21.21 does not permit Agency Orders to be submitted when the NBBO is crossed and requires Agency Order execution prices at the end of SAM Auctions to be at or between the Initial NBBO and the EDGX BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The proposed stop price requirements and the events to terminate a SAM Auction early further ensure execution prices at or better than the NBBO and EDGX BBO. Additionally, the proposed SAM ISO order type (which is similar to current AIM ISO functionality) will provide Options Members with an efficient method to initiate a SAM Auction while preventing trade-throughs.

See Cboe Options Rule 6.74B(a) and (b)(1) and Interpretation and Policy .03; and MIAX Rule 515A(b)(1) and (2) and Interpretation and Policy .04 (which permit applicability to any class, prohibit appointed market-makers from being solicited, impose the same minimum size and all-or-none requirement, has the same minimum increment, and requires Agency Orders to be marked for SAM processing). The proposed rule change that states a SAM Auction may not commence until after the market open is reasonable, as execution following a SAM Auction would not be possible until after the market open and when there is a BBO and NBBO.

<sup>60 &</sup>lt;u>See</u> Rule 21.19(a).

Unlike the rules of other exchanges, the Exchange will not conclude a SAM Auction early due to the receipt of an opposite side order. The Exchange believes this promotes just and equitable principles of trade, because these orders may have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order following the conclusion of the SAM Auction, which execution must still be at or better than the Initial NBBO and EDGX Options BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The Exchange believes this will protect investors, because it will provide more time for price improvement, and the unrelated order will have the opportunity to trade against the Agency Order in the same manner as all other contra-side interest.

With respect to trading halts, as described herein, in the case of a trading halt on the Exchange in the affected series, the Auction will be cancelled without execution.

Cancelling Auctions without execution in this circumstance is consistent with Exchange handling of trading halts in the context of continuous trading on EDGX Options and promotes just and equitable principles of trade and, in general, protects investors and the public interest. 61

The proposed rule change is also consistent with Section 11(a)(1) of the Act<sup>62</sup> and the rules promulgated thereunder. Generally, Section 11(a)(1) of the Act restricts any member of a national securities exchange from effecting any transaction on such exchange for (i) the member's own account, (ii) the account of a person associated with

The Exchange notes that trading on the Exchange in any option contract will be halted whenever trading in the underlying security has been paused or halted by the primary listing market and other circumstances. See Rule 20.3.

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a). Section 11(a)(1) prohibits a member of a national securities exchange from effecting transactions on that exchange for its own account, the account of an associated person, or an account over which it or its associated person exercises discretion unless an exception applies.

the member, or (iii) an account over which the member or a person associated with the member exercises discretion (collectively referred to as "covered accounts"), unless a specific exemption is available. Examples of common exemptions include the exemption for transactions by broker dealers acting in the capacity of a market maker under Section 11(a)(1)(A), <sup>63</sup> the "G" exemption for yielding priority to non-members under Section 11(a)(1)(G) of the Act and Rule 11a1-1(T) thereunder, 64 and "Effect vs. Execute" exemption under Rule 11a2-2(T) under the Act. 65 The "Effect vs. Execute" exemption permits an exchange member, subject to certain conditions, to effect transactions for covered accounts by arranging for an unaffiliated member to execute transactions on the exchange. To comply with Rule 11a2-2(T)'s conditions, a member: (i) must transmit the order from off the exchange floor; (ii) may not participate in the execution of the transaction once it has been transmitted to the member performing the execution; <sup>66</sup> may not be affiliated with the executing member; and (iv) with respect to an account over which the member has investment discretion, neither the member nor its associated person may retain any compensation in connection with effecting the transaction except as provided in the Rule. For the reasons set forth below, the Exchange believes that Exchange Members entering orders into SAM would satisfy the requirements of Rule 11a2-2(T).

The Exchange does not operate a physical trading floor. In the context of automated trading systems, the Commission has found that the off-floor transmission

<sup>63 15</sup> U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78k(a)(1)(G) and 17 CFR 240.11a1-1(T).

<sup>65 17</sup> CFR 240.11a2-2(T).

The member may, however, participate in clearing and settling the transaction.

requirement is met if a covered account order is transmitted from a remote location directly to an exchange's floor by electronic means. The Exchange represents that the System and the proposed SAM Auction receive all orders electronically through remote terminals or computer-to-computer interfaces. The Exchange represents that orders for covered accounts from Options Members will be transmitted from a remote location directly to the proposed SAM mechanism by electronic means.

The second condition of Rule 11a2-2(T) requires that neither a member nor an associated person participate in the execution of its order once the order is transmitted to the floor for execution. The Exchange represents that, upon submission to the SAM Auction, an order or SAM response will be executed automatically pursuant to the rules set forth for SAM. In particular, execution of an order (including the Agency and the Soliciting Order) or a SAM response sent to the mechanism depends not on the Options Member entering the order or response, but rather on what other orders and responses are present and the priority of those orders and responses. Thus, at no time following the submission of an order or response is a Member able to acquire control or influence over the result or timing of order or response execution. Once the Agency Order and Solicited Order has been transmitted,

See, e.g., Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 61419 (January 26, 2010), 75 FR

(May 24, 1991), 56 FR 24853 (May 31, 1991) (SR-NYSE-90-52 and SR-NYSE-90-53) (approving NYSE's Off-Hours Trading Facility); and 15533 (January 29,

67

1979), 44 FR 6084 (January 31, 1979) ("1979 Release").

<sup>5157 (</sup>February 1, 2010) (SR-BATS-2009-031) (approving BATS options trading); 59154 (December 23, 2008), 73 FR 80468 (December 31, 2008) (SRBSE-2008-48) (approving equity securities listing and trading on BSE); 57478 (March 12, 2008), 73 FR 14521 (March 18, 2008) (SR-NASDAQ-2007-004 and SR-NASDAQ-2007-080) (approving NOM options trading); 53128 (January 13, 2006), 71 FR 3550 (January 23, 2006) (File No. 10-131) (approving The Nasdaq Stock Market LLC); 44983 (October 25, 2001), 66 FR 55225 (November 1, 2001) (SR-PCX-00-25) (approving Archipelago Exchange); 29237

An Initiating Member may not cancel or modify an Agency Order or Solicited

the Initiating Member that transmitted the orders will not participate in the execution of the Agency Order or Solicited Order. Initiating Members submitting Agency Orders and Solicited Orders will relinquish control to modify or cancel their Agency Orders and Solicited Orders upon transmission to the System. Further, no Member, including the Initiating Member, will see a SAM response submitted into SAM, and therefore and will not be able to influence or guide the execution of their Agency Orders, Solicited Orders, or SAM responses, as applicable.

Rule 11a2-2(T)'s third condition requires that the order be executed by an exchange member who is unaffiliated with the member initiating the order. The Commission has stated that the requirement is satisfied when automated exchange facilities, such as the SAM Auction are used, as long as the design of these systems ensures that members do not possess any special or unique trading advantages in handling their orders after transmitting them to the exchange. The Exchange represents that the SAM Auction is designed so that no Options Member has any special or unique trading advantage in the handling of its orders after transmitting its orders to the mechanism.

Order after it has been submitted into SAM. <u>See</u> proposed Rule 21.21(c)(4). Options Members may modify or cancel their responses after being submitted to into a SAM. <u>See</u> proposed Rule 21.21(c)(5)(G); <u>see also</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 14563 (March 14, 1978), 43 FR 11542, 11547 (the "1978 Release").

In considering the operation of automated execution systems operated by an exchange, the Commission noted that, while there is not an independent executing exchange member, the execution of an order is automatic once it has been transmitted into the system. Because the design of these systems ensures that members do not possess any special or unique trading advantages in handling their orders after transmitting them to the exchange, the Commission has stated that executions obtained through these systems satisfy the independent execution requirement of Rule 11a2-2(T). See 1979 Release.

Rule 11a2-2(T)'s fourth condition requires that, in the case of a transaction effected for an account with respect to which the initiating member or an associated person thereof exercises investment discretion, neither the initiating member nor any associated person thereof may retain any compensation in connection with effecting the transaction, unless the person authorized to transact business for the account has expressly provided otherwise by written contract referring to Section 11(a) of the Act and Rule 11a2-2(T) thereunder. The Exchange recognizes that Options Members relying on Rule 11a2-2(T) for transactions effected through the SAM Auction must comply with this condition of the Rule and the Exchange will enforce this requirement pursuant to its obligations under Section 6(b)(1) of the Act to enforce compliance with federal securities laws.

The Exchange believes that the instant proposal is consistent with Rule 11a2-2(T), and that therefore the exception should apply in this case.

The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule changes would further the objectives of the Act to protect investors by promoting the intermarket price protection

Nee 17 CFR 240.11a2-2(T)(a)(2)(iv). In addition, Rule 11a2-2(T)(d) requires a member or associated person authorized by written contract to retain compensation, in connection with effecting transactions for covered accounts over which such member or associated persons thereof exercises investment discretion, to furnish at least annually to the person authorized to transact business for the account a statement setting forth the total amount of compensation retained by the member in connection with effecting transactions for the account during the period covered by the statement which amount must be exclusive of all amounts paid to others during that period for services rendered to effect such transactions.

See also 1978 Release, at 11548 (stating "[t]he contractual and disclosure requirements are designed to assure that accounts electing to permit transaction-related compensation do so only after deciding that such arrangements are suitable to their interests").

goals of the Options Intermarket Linkage Plan.<sup>71</sup> The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure inter-market competition across all exchanges and facilitate compliance with best execution practices.

## B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The proposed SAM Auction is voluntary for Options Members to use and will be available to all Options Members. As discussed above, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change should encourage Options Members to compete amongst each other by responding with their best price and size for a particular auction.

The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition, as the proposed rule change will apply in the same manner to all orders submitted to a SAM Auction. With respect to the restriction on appointed market-makers being solicited, the Exchange believes market-makers will still have opportunities to provide liquidity to trade against Agency Orders by submitting quotes to rest on the EDGX Options Book or responses to a SAM Auction. With respect to the restriction on permitting a pair of Priority Customer orders to a SAM Auction, the Exchange believes this is appropriate given the immediate cross functionality available to pairs of Priority Customer orders. Options Members will continue to be able to immediately cross these pairs of orders via AIM. 72

The Exchange does not believe the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition, because the proposed changes, as described above and

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> <u>See</u> Rule 27.3 regarding Locked and Crossed Markets.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup> See Rule 21.19(f).

below, are based on rules for similar price improvement auction mechanisms at other options exchanges.<sup>73</sup> The general framework and primary features of the proposed SAM Auction process (such as the eligibility requirements, auction response period, same-side stop price requirements, response requirements, and auction notification process),<sup>74</sup> are substantively the same as the framework for the AIM price improvement auction the Exchange's current price improvement auction.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will relieve any burden on, or otherwise promote, competition. The Exchange believes this proposed rule change is necessary to permit fair competition among the options exchanges and to establish more uniform price improvement auction rules on the various options exchanges. Exchange anticipates that this auction proposal will create new opportunities for the Exchange to attract new business and compete on equal footing with those options exchanges with auctions and for this reason the proposal does not create an undue burden on intermarket competition. Rather, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule would bolster intermarket competition by promoting fair competition among individual markets, while at the same time assuring that market participants receive the benefits of markets that are linked together, through facilities and rules, in a unified system, which promotes interaction among the orders of buyers and sellers. The Exchange believes its proposal would help ensure intermarket competition across all exchanges and facilitate compliance with best execution practices. In addition, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change would help promote fair and orderly markets by helping ensure compliance with

See, e.g., Cboe Options Rule 6.74B; ISE Rule 716(e); and MIAX Rule 515A(b).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> See Rule 21.19.

Options Order Protection and Locked and Crossed Market Rules. Thus, the Exchange does not believe the proposal creates a significant impact on competition.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

# III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form
   (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. <u>Please include File Number</u>
   SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047 on the subject line.

#### Paper comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. submissions should refer to File Number SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>75</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

SR-CboeEDGX-2019-047 Page 105 of 111

Secretary

#### **EXHIBIT 5**

(additions are <u>underlined</u>; deletions are [bracketed])

\* \* \* \* \*

Rules of Cboe EDGX Exchange, Inc.

\* \* \* \* \*

#### Rule 21.21. Solicitation Auction Mechanism ("SAM" or "SAM Auction")

An Options Member (the "Initiating Member") may electronically submit for execution an order it represents as agent ("Agency Order") against a solicited order(s) (which cannot be for the same EFID as the Agency Order or for the account of any Options Market Maker with an appointment in the applicable class on the Exchange) ("Solicited Order") if it submits the Agency Order for electronic execution into a SAM Auction pursuant to this Rule. The Agency Order and Solicited Order cannot both be for the accounts of Priority Customers. For purposes of this Rule, the term "NBBO" means the national best bid or national best offer at the particular point in time applicable to the reference, and the term "Initial NBBO" means the national best offer at the time a SAM Auction is initiated.

- (a) SAM Auction Eligibility Requirements. The Initiating Member may initiate a SAM Auction if all of the following conditions are met:
  - (1) Class. The Agency Order may be in any class of options traded on the Exchange.
  - (2) Marking. The Initiating Member must mark an Agency Order for SAM Auction processing.
  - (3) Size. The Agency Order must be for at least the minimum size designated by the Exchange (which may not be less than 500 standard option contracts or 5,000 mini-option contracts). The Solicited Order must be for (or must total, if the Solicited Order is comprised of multiple solicited orders) the same size as the Agency Order. The Initiating Member must designate each of the Agency Order and Solicited Order as all-or-none.
  - (4) *Minimum Increment*. The price of the Agency Order and Solicited Order must be in an increment of \$0.01.
  - (5) Post Only Orders. The Initiating Member may not designate an Agency Order or Solicited Order as Post Only.
  - (6) *Time*. The Initiating Member may only submit an Agency Order to a SAM Auction after the market open.
  - (7) *NBBO*. The Initiating Member may not submit an Agency Order if the NBBO is crossed (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO).

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet the conditions in this paragraph (a).

- (b) *Stop Price*. The Solicited Order must stop the entire Agency Order at a price that satisfies the following conditions:
  - (1) NBBO. The stop price for a buy (sell) Agency Order must be at or better than the thencurrent NBO (NBB).
  - (2) Same-Side Orders. If the Agency Order is to buy (sell), the stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best bid (offer), unless the Agency Order is a Priority Customer order and the resting order is a non-Priority Customer order, in which case the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best bid (offer).
  - (3) Opposite-Side Orders. If the Agency Order is to buy (sell) and the Exchange best offer (bid) represents:
    - (A) a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the stop price must be at least \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid); or
    - (B) a quote or order that is not a Priority Customer order on the EDGX Options Book, the stop price must be at or better than the Exchange best offer (bid).
  - (4) SAM Sweep Orders. A "SAM sweep order" or "SAM ISO" is the submission of two orders for crossing in a SAM Auction without regard for better-priced Protected Quotes (as defined in Rule 27.1) because the Initiating Member routed an ISO(s) simultaneously with the routing of the SAM ISO to execute against the full displayed size of any Protected Quote that is better than the stop price and has swept all interest in the EDGX Options Book with a price better than the stop price. Any execution(s) resulting from these sweeps accrue to the SAM Agency Order.

The System rejects or cancels both an Agency Order and Solicited Order submitted to a SAM Auction that do not meet the conditions in this paragraph (b).

- (c) SAM Auction Process. Upon receipt of an Agency Order that meets the conditions in paragraphs (a) and (b), the SAM Auction process commences.
  - (1) Concurrent Auctions in Same Series. One or more SAM Auctions in the same series may occur at the same time. To the extent there is more than one SAM Auction in a series underway at a time, the SAM Auctions conclude sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced, unless terminated early pursuant to paragraph (d). At the time each SAM Auction concludes, the System allocates the Agency Order pursuant to paragraph (e) and takes into account all SAM Auction responses and unrelated orders and quotes in place at the exact time of conclusion. In the event there are multiple SAM Auctions underway that are each terminated early pursuant to paragraph (d), the System processes the SAM Auctions sequentially based on the exact time each SAM Auction commenced.

- (2) <u>SAM Auction Notification Message</u>. The System initiates the SAM Auction process by sending a SAM Auction notification message detailing the side, size, price, origin code, Auction ID, and options series of the Agency Order to all Options Members that elect to receive SAM Auction notification messages. SAM Auction notification messages are not included in the disseminated BBO or OPRA.
- (3) SAM Auction Period. The "SAM Auction period" is a period of time determined by the Exchange, which may be no less than 100 milliseconds and no more than one second.
- (4) *Modification or Cancellation*. The Initiating Member may not modify or cancel an Agency Order or Solicited Order after submission to a SAM Auction.
- (5) SAM Auction Responses. Any User other than the Initiating Member (determined by EFID) may submit responses to a SAM Auction that are properly marked specifying size, side of the market, and the Auction ID for the SAM Auction to which the User is submitting the response. A SAM response may specify a limit price or be treated as market. A SAM response may only participate in the SAM Auction with the Auction ID specified in the response.
  - (A) The minimum price increment for SAM responses is \$0.01. The System rejects a SAM response that is not in a \$0.01 increment.
  - (B) SAM buy (sell) responses are capped at the Exchange best offer (bid), or \$0.01 better than the Exchange best offer (bid) if it is represented by a Priority Customer order resting on the EDGX Options Book (unless the Agency Order is a SAM ISO) that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction. The System executes SAM responses, if possible, at the most aggressive permissible price not outside the BBO that exists at the conclusion of the SAM Auction or the Initial NBBO.
  - (C) A User may submit multiple SAM responses at the same or multiple prices to a SAM Auction. For purposes of the SAM Auction, the System aggregates all of a User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses for the same EFID at the same price.
  - (D) The System caps the size of a SAM response, or the aggregate size of a User's orders and quotes resting on the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses for the same EFID at the same price, at the size of the Agency Order (*i.e.*, the System ignores size in excess of the size of the Agency Order when processing the SAM Auction).
  - (E) SAM responses must be on the opposite side of the market as the Agency Order. The System rejects a SAM response on the same side of the market as the Agency Order.
  - (F) SAM responses are not visible to SAM Auction participants or disseminated to OPRA.

(G) A User may modify or cancel its SAM responses during a SAM Auction.

## (d) Conclusion of SAM Auction.

- (1) A SAM Auction concludes at the earliest to occur of the following times:
  - (A) the end of the SAM Auction period;
  - (B) upon receipt by the System of a Priority Customer order on the same side of the market with a price the same as or better than the stop price that would post to the EDGX Options Book;
  - (C) upon receipt by the System of an unrelated order or quote that is not a Priority Customer order on the same side of the market as the Agency Order that would cause the stop price to be outside of the EDGX BBO;
  - (D) the market close; and
  - (E) any time the Exchange halts trading in the affected series, provided, however, that in such instance the SAM Auction concludes without execution.
- (2) An unrelated market or marketable limit order (against the EDGX BBO), including a Post Only Order, on the opposite side of the Agency Order received during the SAM Auction does not cause the SAM Auction to end early and executes against interest outside of the SAM Auction. If contracts remain from such unrelated order at the time the SAM Auction ends, they may be allocated for execution against the Agency Order pursuant to paragraph (e) below.
- (e) Execution of Agency Order. At the conclusion of the SAM Auction, the System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order or contra-side interest (which includes orders and quotes resting in the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses) at the best price(s) as follows. Any execution price(s) must be at or between the BBO existing at the conclusion of the SAM Auction and at or between the Initial NBBO.
  - (1) Execution Against Solicited Order. The System executes the Agency Order against the Solicited Order at the stop price if there are no Priority Customer Orders (including Priority Customer AON Orders) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting in the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of contra-side interest at an improved price(s) is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.
  - (2) Execution Against Contra-Side Interest. The System executes the Agency Order against contra-side interest (and cancels the Solicited Order) if (A) there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer AON order) on the opposite side of the Agency Order resting on the EDGX Options Book at the stop price and the aggregate size of the Priority Customer order and other contra-side interest at the stop price or an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order or (B) the aggregate size of contra-side interest at an improved price(s) is sufficient to satisfy the Agency Order. The Agency Order against such

contra-side interest occurs at each price level, to the price at which the balance of the Agency Order can be fully executed, in the following order:

- (A) Priority Customer orders (including Priority Customer AON orders) on the EDGX Options Book (displayed Priority Customer orders before Priority Customer AON orders, each in time priority):
- (B) remaining contra-side trading interest (including non-Priority Customer orders and quotes n the EDGX Options Book and SAM responses) pursuant to Rule 21.8(c);
- (C) any nondisplayed Reserve Quantity (Priority Customer before non-Priority Customer, each in time priority); and
- (D) any non-Priority Customer AON orders, if there is sufficient size to satisfy the size of the AON order.
- (3) *No Execution*. The System cancels the Agency Order and Solicited Order with no execution if:
  - (A) execution of the Agency Order against the Solicited Order pursuant to subparagraph (1) above at the stop price would not be at or between the BBO at the conclusion of the SAM Auction or (2) at or between the Initial NBBO; or
  - (B) there is a Priority Customer order (including a Priority Customer AON order) resting on the opposite side of the Agency Order at the stop price on the EDGX Options Book, and the aggregate size of the Priority Customer order and any other contra-side interest is insufficient to satisfy the Agency Order.
- (4) *Unexecuted SAM Responses*. The System cancels or rejects any unexecuted SAM responses (or unexecuted portions) at the conclusion of a SAM Auction.

#### Interpretations and Policies

- .01 Prior to entering Agency Orders into a SAM Auction on behalf of customers, Initiating Members must deliver to the customer a written notification informing the customer that his order may be executed using the SAM Auction. The written notification must disclose the terms and conditions contained in this Rule 21.21 and be in a form approved by the Exchange.
- .02 Under Rule 21.21, Initiating Members may enter contra-side orders that are solicited. SAM provides a facility for Options Members that locate liquidity for their customer orders. Options Members may not use the SAM Auction to circumvent Rule 21.19 or 22.12 limiting principal transactions. This may include, but is not limited to, Options Members entering contra-side orders that are solicited from (a) affiliated broker-dealers or (b) broker-dealers with which the Options Member has an arrangement that allows the Options Member to realize similar economic benefits from the solicited transaction as it would achieve by executing the customer order in whole or in part as principal.

\* \* \* \* \*