Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response.......38

Page 1 o	f * 19		EXCHANGE (TON, D.C. 2 orm 19b-4	0549		File No.* S	SR - 2016 - * 074 mendments *)
Filing b	by Chicago Board Options	Exchange					
Pursua	ant to Rule 19b-4 under the	Securities Exchange	Act of 1934				
Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b		Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *		<u></u> 19	9b-4(f) 9b-4(f) 9b-4(f))(2)	
	of proposed change pursuant	to the Payment, Cleari Section 806(e)(2) *	ng, and Settler	ment Act of 20	110	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Exch Section 3C(b)(2)	-
Exhibit 2	<u> </u>	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Do	cument				
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). Proposal to amend the Fees Schedule							
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.							
First N	ame * Corinne		Last Name *	Klott			
Title * Senior Counsel							
E-mail	E-mail * klott@cboe.com						
Teleph	one * (312) 786-7793	Fax					
Signat	ure						
Pursua	nt to the requirements of the S	Securities Exchange Ac	et of 1934,				
b = = = dl					41		
nas dui	y caused this filing to be sign	ed on its benair by the t	unaersignea th		autnoriz tle *)	zea.	
Date	10/27/2016	7	Attorney	, '			
Ву	Corinne Klott						
(Name *) NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.							

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

- (a) Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") proposes to amend its Fees. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.
 - (b) Not applicable.
 - (c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

- (a) The Exchange's President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on October 27, 2016.
- (b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Joanne Moffic-Silver, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7462, or Corinne Klott, (312) 786-7793, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Item 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule with respect to waiving transaction fees incurred as a result of transactions that compress or reduce certain Clearing Trading Permit Holder ("TPH") open positions.

By way of background, SEC Rule 15c3-1, Net Capital Requirements for Brokers or Dealers ("Net Capital Rules"), requires that every registered broker-dealer maintain certain specified minimum levels of capital. The primary purpose of these rules is to regulate the ability of broker-dealers to meet their financial obligations to customers and

other creditors. All of the broker-dealers that are clearing members of the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") are subject to the Net Capital Rules. However, a subset of OCC's clearing members are subsidiaries of U.S. bank holding companies and these broker-dealers, through their affiliation with their parent U.S. bank holding companies, must also comply with bank regulatory capital requirements pursuant to rule-making required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank"). Recent rule-making enacted under Dodd-Frank now requires U.S. bank holding companies to hold substantially more bank regulatory capital than would otherwise be required under the Net Capital Rules. Additionally, due to the large contract size of S&P 500 Index ("SPX") options, open interest in certain SPX series can result in extremely large bank regulatory capital requirements, even though the positions incur minimal requirements under the Net Capital Rules. As such, transactions that would result in the closing of this open interest have a beneficial impact on the bank regulatory capital requirements of the Clearing TPH's parent company with a minimal impact on regulatory capital required under the capital rules. The Exchange notes that most of these open positions are in out-of-the-money options and certain spread positions that are essentially riskless strategies because they have little or no market exposure. Particularly, the Exchange notes that given the nature of these options, there is minimal chance for large losses to occur, yet these positions are still subject to large bank regulatory capital requirements. Exchange transaction fees, however, if not waived, could discourage market participants from closing these positions out even though those market participants may also prefer to close them rather than carry them to expiration¹.

For example, an out-of-the-money SPX option market-maker transaction may be

Accordingly, in order to encourage the compression of certain out-of-the-money and riskless option positions, the Exchange previously adopted a rebate of all transactions fees for transactions that close these positions, provided they meet certain criteria, as described more fully below.²

The rebate of transaction fees³ is currently limited to those transactions that the Exchange believes would have the greatest impact on bank regulatory capital requirements but are also constrained to those positions that have little economic risk associated with them. Specifically, to be eligible for a rebate, a transaction must be:
(i) for a complex order with at least five (5) different series in S&P 500 Index (SPX) options, SPX Weeklys (SPXW) options or p.m.-settled SPX options (SPXPM), (ii) a closing-only transaction or, if the transaction involves a Firm order (origin code "F"), an opening transaction executed to facilitate a compression of option positions for a market-maker or joint-back office ("JBO") account; (iii) for a position with a required capital charge equal to the minimum capital charge under OCC rules RBH Calculator or a position comprised of option series with a delta of ten (10) or less and (iv) entered between the first business day following a quarterly expiration through the last business day of that quarter⁴. To receive a rebate, a rebate request with supporting documentation must also be submitted to the Exchange within 3 business days of the transactions. The

worth only a few pennies per contract, but would cost approximately \$0.33 per contract (\$0.20 transaction fee plus \$0.13 SPX Index License Surcharge) to close out.

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76842 (January 6, 2016) 81 FR 1455 (January 12, 2016) (SR-CBOE-2015-117).

Rebate of transaction fees would include the transaction fee assessed along with any other surcharges assessed per contract (e.g., the Index License Surcharge).

For example, the third quarter of 2016 standard-Friday expiration occurred on September 16, 2016. For that quarter, qualifying transactions needed to be entered no earlier than September 19, 2016 and no later than September 30, 2016.

Exchange proposes to amend the last criteria (i.e., the time period for which a Trading Permit Holder can enter these transactions and be eligible for the rebate). Specifically, the Exchange proposes to provide that in addition to meeting the first three criteria described above, the transaction would be eligible for a rebate if entered on any of the final three (3) trading days of any calendar month. The proposed rule change allows TPHs to mitigate their regulatory capital requirements on a monthly basis, instead of quarterly.

(b) <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁵ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁶ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitation transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁷ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes providing a rebate of fees for transactions that compress certain out-of-the-money and riskless options positions is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because these positions would result in extremely large bank regulatory capital requirements for Clearing TPHs even though there is minimal chance for large losses to occur. Additionally, these positions have little or no economic benefit to the TPHs that hold the positions, who would likely prefer to close them but for the associated transaction fees. The fee rebate therefore allows TPHs to close out of these positions that are needlessly burdensome on themselves and Clearing TPHs.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because TPHs can now mitigate their regulatory capital requirements on a monthly basis, instead of quarterly. The proposed change would encourage the closing of positions at the end of each month that needlessly result in burdensome capital requirements that, once closed, would alleviate the capital requirement constraints on TPHs and improve overall market liquidity by freeing capital currently tied up in certain out-of-the-money and riskless positions. The Exchange also notes that the proposed amended requirement would apply to all TPHs seeking a rebate for these transactions.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition that are not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will

impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the Act because it applies to all market participants in the same manner with positions that meet the eligible criteria. The proposed change would encourage the closing of positions, on a monthly basis, that needlessly result in burdensome capital requirements that, once closed, would alleviate the capital requirement constraints on TPHs and improve overall market liquidity by freeing capital currently tied up in certain out-of-the-money and riskless positions. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed rule change applies only to CBOE. To the extent that the proposed change makes CBOE a more attractive marketplace for market participants at other exchanges, such market participants are welcome to become CBOE market participants.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or **Others**

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

Item 6. **Extension of Time Period for Commission Action** Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated **Effectiveness** 19(b)(2) **Pursuant** to Section \mathbf{or} Section 19(b)(7)(D)

(a) The proposed rule change is filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁸ and Rule $19b-4(f)(2)^9$ thereunder.

17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

- (b) The Exchange designates that the proposed rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission"). At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.
 - (c) Not applicable.
 - (d) Not applicable.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on a rule either of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission.

Item 9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u>

Not applicable.

Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

Item 11. Exhibits

- Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.
- Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text if not included under Item 1(a).

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CBOE-2016-074]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Amend the Fees Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the "Exchange" or "CBOE") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website (http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places

_

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule. Specifically, the Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule with respect to waiving transaction fees incurred as a result of transactions that compress or reduce certain Clearing Trading Permit Holder ("TPH") open positions.

By way of background, SEC Rule 15c3-1, Net Capital Requirements for Brokers or Dealers ("Net Capital Rules"), requires that every registered broker-dealer maintain certain specified minimum levels of capital. The primary purpose of these rules is to regulate the ability of broker-dealers to meet their financial obligations to customers and other creditors. All of the broker-dealers that are clearing members of the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") are subject to the Net Capital Rules. However, a subset of OCC's clearing members are subsidiaries of U.S. bank holding companies and these broker-dealers, through their affiliation with their parent U.S. bank holding companies, must also comply with bank regulatory capital requirements pursuant to rule-making required under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank"). Recent rule-making enacted under Dodd-Frank now requires U.S. bank holding companies to hold substantially more bank regulatory capital than would otherwise be required under the Net Capital Rules. Additionally, due to the large contract size of S&P 500 Index ("SPX") options, open interest in certain SPX series can result in extremely large bank regulatory capital requirements, even though the positions incur

minimal requirements under the Net Capital Rules. As such, transactions that would result in the closing of this open interest have a beneficial impact on the bank regulatory capital requirements of the Clearing TPH's parent company with a minimal impact on regulatory capital required under the capital rules. The Exchange notes that most of these open positions are in out-of-the-money options and certain spread positions that are essentially riskless strategies because they have little or no market exposure. Particularly, the Exchange notes that given the nature of these options, there is minimal chance for large losses to occur, yet these positions are still subject to large bank regulatory capital requirements. Exchange transaction fees, however, if not waived, could discourage market participants from closing these positions out even though those market participants may also prefer to close them rather than carry them to expiration³. Accordingly, in order to encourage the compression of certain out-of-the-money and riskless option positions, the Exchange previously adopted a rebate of all transactions fees for transactions that close these positions, provided they meet certain criteria, as described more fully below.⁴

The rebate of transaction fees⁵ is currently limited to those transactions that the Exchange believes would have the greatest impact on bank regulatory capital requirements but are also constrained to those positions that have little economic risk associated with them. Specifically, to be eligible for a rebate, a transaction must be:

For example, an out-of-the-money SPX option market-maker transaction may be worth only a few pennies per contract, but would cost approximately \$0.33 per contract (\$0.20 transaction fee plus \$0.13 SPX Index License Surcharge) to close out.

⁴ <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 76842 (January 6, 2016) 81 FR 1455 (January 12, 2016) (SR-CBOE-2015-117).

Rebate of transaction fees would include the transaction fee assessed along with any other surcharges assessed per contract (e.g., the Index License Surcharge).

(i) for a complex order with at least five (5) different series in S&P 500 Index (SPX) options, SPX Weeklys (SPXW) options or p.m.-settled SPX options (SPXPM), (ii) a closing-only transaction or, if the transaction involves a Firm order (origin code "F"), an opening transaction executed to facilitate a compression of option positions for a marketmaker or joint-back office ("JBO") account; (iii) for a position with a required capital charge equal to the minimum capital charge under OCC rules RBH Calculator or a position comprised of option series with a delta of ten (10) or less and (iv) entered between the first business day following a quarterly expiration through the last business day of that quarter⁶. To receive a rebate, a rebate request with supporting documentation must also be submitted to the Exchange within 3 business days of the transactions. The Exchange proposes to amend the last criteria (i.e., the time period for which a Trading Permit Holder can enter these transactions and be eligible for the rebate). Specifically, the Exchange proposes to provide that in addition to meeting the first three criteria described above, the transaction would be eligible for a rebate if entered on any of the final three (3) trading days of any calendar month. The proposed rule change allows TPHs to mitigate their regulatory capital requirements on a monthly basis, instead of quarterly.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to

For example, the third quarter of 2016 standard-Friday expiration occurred on September 16, 2016. For that quarter, qualifying transactions needed to be entered no earlier than September 19, 2016 and no later than September 30, 2016.

the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁷ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁸ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitation transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁹ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

The Exchange believes providing a rebate of fees for transactions that compress certain out-of-the-money and riskless options positions is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because these positions would result in extremely large bank regulatory capital requirements for Clearing TPHs even though there is minimal chance for large losses to occur. Additionally, these positions have little or no economic benefit to the TPHs that hold the positions, who would likely prefer to close them but for the associated transaction fees. The fee rebate therefore allows TPHs to close out of these positions that are needlessly burdensome on themselves and Clearing TPHs.

-

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because TPHs can now mitigate their regulatory capital requirements on a monthly basis, instead of quarterly. The proposed change would encourage the closing of positions at the end of each month that needlessly result in burdensome capital requirements that, once closed, would alleviate the capital requirement constraints on TPHs and improve overall market liquidity by freeing capital currently tied up in certain out-of-the-money and riskless positions. The Exchange also notes that the proposed amended requirement would apply to all TPHs seeking a rebate for these transactions.

B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition that are not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the Act because it applies to all market participants in the same manner with positions that meet the eligible criteria. The proposed change would encourage the closing of positions, on a monthly basis, that needlessly result in burdensome capital requirements that, once closed, would alleviate the capital requirement constraints on TPHs and improve overall market liquidity by freeing capital currently tied up in certain out-of-the-money and riskless positions. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed rule change applies only to CBOE. To the extent that the proposed change makes CBOE

a more attractive marketplace for market participants at other exchanges, such market participants are welcome to become CBOE market participants.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁰ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4¹¹ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

• Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. <u>Please include File Number</u>
 SR-CBOE-2016-074 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2016-074. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2016-074 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. 12

Secretary

¹²

Exhibit 5 Page 19 of 19

Changes are indicated by underlining additions and [bracketing] deletions.

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated

Fees Schedule - October [3] <u>27</u>, 2016 * * * * *

Footnotes (Continued):								
Footnote Number		Description						

41	The Exchange shall rebate transaction fees, including the Index License Surcharge, for SPX, SPXW and SPXPM transactions if the transaction: (i) involves a complex order with at least five (5) different series in S&P 500 Index (SPX) options, SPX W (SPXW) options or p.msettled SPX options (SPXPM), (ii) is a closing-only transaction or, if the transaction involves a Firm order (origin code "F"), is an opening transaction executed to facilitate a compression of option positions for a market-mi joint-back office ("IBO") account executed as a cross pursuant to and in accordance with CBOE Rule 6.74(b) or (d); (iii) is a position with a required capital charge equal to the minimum capital charge under Option Clearing Corporation's ("OCC" RBH Calculator or is a position comprised of option series with a delta of ten (10) or less and (iv) is entered [between the first business day following a quarterly expiration through the last business day of that quarter] on any of the final three (trading days of any calendar month. To receive a rebate, a rebate request with supporting documentation must be submitted to the Exchange within 3 business days of the transactions.							
