

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
 Estimated average burden
 hours per response.....38

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 29	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4	File No.* SR - 2016 - * 070 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)
Filing by Chicago Board Options Exchange Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934		
Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/> Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/> Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Rule <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2) <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5) <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3) <input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/> Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/> Date Expires *		
Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) * <input type="checkbox"/> Section 806(e)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>		Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; min-height: 40px;"> Proposal to amend the fees schedule. </div>		
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.		
First Name * Kyle Last Name * Edwards Title * Counsel E-mail * edwards@cboe.com Telephone * (312) 786-7304 Fax		
Signature Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. <div style="text-align: right;">(Title *)</div> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between; align-items: flex-start;"> <div style="width: 30%;"> Date 09/22/2016 By Kyle Edwards <small>(Name *)</small> </div> <div style="width: 60%;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 40px; margin-bottom: 5px;"></div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 5px; text-align: center;">edwards@cboe.com</div> </div> </div> <p><small>NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.</small></p>		

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFT website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

☐

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

☐

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) proposes to establish fees for options that overlie the FTSE Developed Europe Index and the FTSE Emerging Index. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

(a) The Exchange’s President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on September 19, 2016.

(b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Joanne Moffic-Silver, Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary, (312) 786-7462, or Kyle Edwards, (312) 786-7304, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated, 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Item 3. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule, effective September 26, 2016. Specifically, the Exchange plans to list new options on two FTSE Russell indexes on September 26, 2016. More specifically, the Exchange proposes to establish fees for options that overlie the FTSE Emerging Markets Index (“FTEM”), which are scheduled to be listed on September 26, 2016, and options that overlie the FTSE Developed Europe Index (“AWDE”), which are scheduled to be listed in the near future.

By way of background, a specific set of proprietary products are commonly included or excluded from a variety of programs, qualification calculations and transaction fees. In lieu of listing out these products in various sections of the Fees Schedule, the Exchange uses the term “Underlying Symbol List A” to represent these products. Currently, Underlying Symbol List A is defined in Footnote 34 and represents the following proprietary products: OEX, XEO, RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, FXTM, UKXM, SPX (including SPXw), SPXpm, SRO, VIX, VOLATILITY INDEXES and binary options. The Exchange notes that the reason the products in Underlying Symbol List A are often collectively included or excluded from certain programs, qualification calculations and transactions fees is because the Exchange has expended considerable resources developing and maintaining its proprietary, exclusively-listed products. Similar to the products currently represented by “Underlying Symbol List A,” AWDE and FTEM are not listed on any other exchange. As such, the Exchange proposes to exclude or include AWDE and FTEM in the same programs as the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, as well as add AWDE and FTEM to the definition of Underlying Symbol List A in Footnote 34. Specifically, like the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, the Exchange proposes to except AWDE and FTEM from the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale, the Volume Incentive Program (VIP), the Marketing Fee, the Clearing Trading Permit Holder Fee Cap (“Fee Cap”) and exemption from fees for facilitation orders, and the Order Router Subsidy (ORS) and Complex Order Router Subsidy (CORS) Programs. Like all other products in Underlying Symbol List A (with the exception of SROs), the Exchange proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM the CBOE Proprietary Products Sliding Scale. The Exchange does intend to keep AWDE and

FTEM volume in the calculation of qualifying volume for the rebate of Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. The Exchange notes that although AWDE and FTEM are being added to “Underlying Symbol List A”, it wishes to include AWDE and FTEM in the calculation of the qualifying volume for the rebate of Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. The Exchange wishes to continue to encourage Floor Brokers to execute open-outcry trades in these classes and believes that including them in the qualifying volume will provide such incentive.

The Exchange next proposes to establish transaction fees for AWDE and FTEM. Particularly, the Exchange proposes to assess the same fees for AWDE and FTEM as apply to UKXM and FXTM options. Transaction fees for AWDE and FTEM options will be as follows (all listed rates are per contract):

Customer	\$0.18
Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary	\$0.25
CBOE Market-Maker/DPM	\$0.20
Joint Back-Office, Broker-Dealer, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Maker, Professional/Voluntary Professional (non-AIM Electronic)	\$0.65
Joint Back-Office, Broker-Dealer, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Maker, Professional/Voluntary Professional (Manual and AIM)	\$0.25

The Exchange also proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM, like RUI, RLV, and RLG, and RUT, the Floor Brokerage Fee of \$0.04 per contract (\$0.02 per contract for crossed orders). The Exchange also proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM the CFLEX Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract for all AWDE and FTEM orders executed electronically on CFLEX, capped at \$250 per trade (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade). The CFLEX Surcharge Fee assists the Exchange in recouping the cost of developing and maintaining the CFLEX system. The Exchange notes that the CFLEX Surcharge Fee

(and \$250 cap) also applies to other proprietary index options, including products in Underlying Symbol List A.

The Exchange currently assesses an Index License Surcharge for RUT of \$0.45 per contract for all non-customer orders. Because the fees associated with the license for AWDE and FTEM are lower than the license fees for RUT, the Exchange proposes to assess a Surcharge of \$0.10 per contract in order to recoup the costs associated with the AWDE and FTEM license.

In order to promote and encourage trading of AWDE and FTEM, the Exchange proposes to waive all transaction fees (including the Floor Brokerage Fee, Index License Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee) for AWDE and FTEM transactions through December 31, 2016. In order to promote and encourage trading of UKXM, FXTM, RUI, RLV and RLG, the Exchange also proposes to extend the waiver of transaction fees (including the Floor Brokerage Fee, Index License Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee) for UKXM, FXTM, RUI, RLV and RLG. The Exchange proposes to amend Footnote 40 to the Fees Schedule to make clear that transaction fees for AWDE, FTEM, RUI, RLV, RLG, UKXM and FXTM will be waived through December 31, 2016.

The Exchange is also offering a compensation plan to the Designated Primary Market-Maker(s) (“DPM(s)”) appointed in AWDE and FTEM to offset the initial DPM costs. The Exchange proposes to add AWDE and FTEM to Footnote 43 to the Fees Schedule, which currently provides that DPM(s) appointed for an entire month in either FXTM or UKXM will receive a payment of \$7,500 per class per month through December 31, 2016. Because AWDE and FTEM are scheduled to be listed on September 26, 2016, the appointed DPM(s) will not have an appointment in AWDE and FTEM for

the entire month of September; thus, the DPM(s) will not receive compensation for September 2016. The DPM(s) appointed for the entire month of October, November, etc. will receive compensation of \$7,500 for each entire month the DPM is appointed in AWDE and FTEM through December 31, 2016.

(b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.¹ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)² requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,³ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

Particularly, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to charge different fee amounts to different user types in the manner proposed because the proposed fees are consistent with the price differentiation that exists today for other index products, including RUT,

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

RUI, RLV, and RLG. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee amounts for AWDE and FTEM orders are reasonable because the proposed fee amounts are the same already assessed for similar products (e.g., RUT, Rui, RLV, and RLG), as well as are within the range of amounts assessed for the Exchange's other proprietary products.⁴

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Customers as compared to other market participants because Customer order flow enhances liquidity on the Exchange for the benefit of all market participants. Specifically, customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts Market-Makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. The fees offered to customers are intended to attract more customer trading volume to the Exchange. Moreover, the options industry has a long history of providing preferential pricing to Customers, and the Exchange's current Fees Schedule currently does so in many places, as do the fees structures of many other exchanges. Finally, all fee amounts listed as applying to Customers will be applied equally to all Customers (meaning that all Customers will be assessed the same amount).

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to, assess lower fees to Market-Makers as compared to other market participants other than Customers because Market-Makers, unlike other market participants, take on a number of obligations, including quoting obligations, that other market participants do not have. Further, these lower fees offered to Market-Makers are intended to incent Market-Makers to quote and

⁴ See CBOE Fees Schedule, Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table.

trade more on the Exchange, thereby providing more trading opportunities for all market participants. Additionally, the proposed fee for Market-Makers will be applied equally to all Market-Makers (meaning that all Market-Makers will be assessed the same amount). This concept also applies to orders from all other origins. It should also be noted that all fee amounts described herein are intended to attract greater order flow to the Exchange in AWDE and FTEM which should therefore serve to benefit all Exchange market participants. Similarly, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary orders than those of other market participants (except Customers and Market-Makers) because Clearing Trading Permit Holders also have a number of obligations (such as membership with the Options Clearing Corporation), significant regulatory burdens, and financial obligations, that other market participants do not need to take on. The Exchange also notes that the AWDE and FTEM fee amounts for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants (i.e. all Broker-Dealer orders will be assessed the same amount, all Joint Back-Office orders will be assessed the same amount, etc.).

The Exchange believes the proposed AIM transaction fees for Brokers Dealers, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Makers, Professionals/Voluntary Professionals, JBOs and Customers are reasonable because the amounts are still lower than assessed for AIM transactions in other proprietary products.⁵ The Exchange believes it's equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees for AIM executions as compared to

⁵ Id.

electronic executions because AIM is a price-improvement mechanism, which the Exchange wishes to encourage and support.

Assessing the Floor Brokerage Fee of \$0.04 per contract for non-crossed orders and \$0.02 per contract for crossed orders to Floor Brokers (and not other market participants) trading AWDE and FTEM orders is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because only Floor Brokers are statutorily capable of representing orders in the trading crowd, for which they charge a commission. Moreover, this fee is already assessed, in the same amounts, to the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, including UKXM, FXTM, RUT, RUI, RLV, and RLG.

The Exchange believes that assessing an Index License Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract to AWDE and FTEM transactions is reasonable because the Surcharge helps recoup some of the costs associated with the license for AWDE and FTEM options. Additionally, the Exchange notes that the Surcharge amount is the same as, and in some cases lower than, the amount assessed as an Index License Surcharge to other index products. The proposed Surcharge is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the Surcharge applies. Not applying the AWDE and FTEM Index License Surcharge Fee to Customer orders is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because this is designed to attract Customer AWDE and FTEM orders, which increases liquidity and provides greater trading opportunities to all market participants. Additionally, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess a lower License Index Surcharge amount to AWDE and FTEM transactions as compared to RUT transactions because the costs of the license associated with RUT is greater.

Similarly, the Exchange believes assessing a CFLEX Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract for all AWDE and FTEM orders executed electronically on CFLEX and capping it at \$250 (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade) is reasonable because it is the same amount currently charged to other proprietary index products for the same transactions.⁶ The proposed Surcharge is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the CFLEX Surcharge applies.

Excepting AWDE and FTEM from the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale, VIP, the Marketing Fee, the Fee Cap, and the exemption from fees for facilitation orders and the ORS and CORS Programs is reasonable because other Underlying Symbol List A products (i.e., other products that are exclusively-listed) are excepted from those same items. This is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the same reason; it seems equitable to except AWDE and FTEM from items on the Fees Schedule from which other proprietary products are also excepted.

The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to waive all transaction fees, including the Floor Brokerage fee, the License Index Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee because it promotes and encourages trading of these new products and applies to all Trading Permit Holders (“TPHs”).

Applying to AWDE and FTEM to the CBOE Proprietary Products Sliding Scale is reasonable because it also applies to other Underlying Symbol List A products. This is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the same reason; it seems equitable to apply to AWDE and FTEM the same items on the Fees Schedule that apply to Underlying

⁶ See CBOE Fees Schedule, Index Options Rate Table - All Index Products Excluding Underlying Symbol List A, CFLEX Surcharge Fee and Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table - Underlying Symbol List A, CFLEX Surcharge Fee.

Symbol List A options classes (i.e., proprietary options classes that are not listed on other exchanges).

The Exchange believes it's reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to continue to include AWDE and FTEM in the calculation of the qualifying volume for the Floor Broker Trading Permit Fees rebate because the Exchange wishes to support and encourage open-outcry trading of AWDE and FTEM, which allows for price improvement and has a number of positive impacts on the market system.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to compensate DPM(s) that are appointed for an entire month in either AWDE and FTEM. DPM(s) incur costs when receiving an appointment, and in the case of AWDE and FTEM, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to provide compensation to the DPM(s) to offset those costs.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition that are not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because, while different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market-Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change to waive all transaction fees through December 31, 2016 will impose any burden on intramarket competition because it applies to all TPHs and encourages trading in these new products.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because AWDE and FTEM will be exclusively listed on CBOE. To the extent that the proposed changes make CBOE a more attractive marketplace for market participants at other exchanges, such market participants are welcome to become CBOE market participants.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2) or Section 19(b)(7)(D)

(a) The proposed rule change is filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁷ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)⁸ thereunder.

(b) The Exchange designates that the proposed rule change establishes or changes a due, fee, or other charge imposed by the Exchange, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”). At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

The proposed rule change is not based on a rule either of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission.

Item 9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

Item 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-CBOE-2016-070]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to the Fees Schedule

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “CBOE”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange seeks to amend its fees schedule. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website (<http://www.cboe.com/AboutCBOE/CBOELegalRegulatoryHome.aspx>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its Fees Schedule, effective September 26, 2016. Specifically, the Exchange plans to list new options on two FTSE Russell indexes on September 26, 2016. More specifically, the Exchange proposes to establish fees for options that overlie the FTSE Emerging Markets Index ("FTEM"), which are scheduled to be listed on September 26, 2016, and options that overlie the FTSE Developed Europe Index ("AWDE"), which are scheduled to be listed in the near future.

By way of background, a specific set of proprietary products are commonly included or excluded from a variety of programs, qualification calculations and transaction fees. In lieu of listing out these products in various sections of the Fees Schedule, the Exchange uses the term "Underlying Symbol List A" to represent these products. Currently, Underlying Symbol List A is defined in Footnote 34 and represents the following proprietary products: OEX, XEO, RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, FXTM, UKXM, SPX (including SPXw), SPXpm, SRO, VIX, VOLATILITY INDEXES and binary options. The Exchange notes that the reason the products in Underlying Symbol List A are often collectively included or excluded from certain programs, qualification calculations and transactions fees is because the Exchange has expended considerable resources developing and maintaining its proprietary, exclusively-listed products. Similar to the products currently represented by "Underlying Symbol List A," AWDE

and FTEM are not listed on any other exchange. As such, the Exchange proposes to exclude or include AWDE and FTEM in the same programs as the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, as well as add AWDE and FTEM to the definition of Underlying Symbol List A in Footnote 34. Specifically, like the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, the Exchange proposes to except AWDE and FTEM from the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale, the Volume Incentive Program (VIP), the Marketing Fee, the Clearing Trading Permit Holder Fee Cap (“Fee Cap”) and exemption from fees for facilitation orders, and the Order Router Subsidy (ORS) and Complex Order Router Subsidy (CORS) Programs. Like all other products in Underlying Symbol List A (with the exception of SROs), the Exchange proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM the CBOE Proprietary Products Sliding Scale. The Exchange does intend to keep AWDE and FTEM volume in the calculation of qualifying volume for the rebate of Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. The Exchange notes that although AWDE and FTEM are being added to “Underlying Symbol List A”, it wishes to include AWDE and FTEM in the calculation of the qualifying volume for the rebate of Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. The Exchange wishes to continue to encourage Floor Brokers to execute open-outcry trades in these classes and believes that including them in the qualifying volume will provide such incentive.

The Exchange next proposes to establish transaction fees for AWDE and FTEM. Particularly, the Exchange proposes to assess the same fees for AWDE and FTEM as apply to UKXM and FXTM options. Transaction fees for AWDE and FTEM options will be as follows (all listed rates are per contract):

Customer	\$0.18
Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary	\$0.25

CBOE Market-Maker/DPM	\$0.20
Joint Back-Office, Broker-Dealer, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Maker, Professional/Voluntary Professional (non-AIM Electronic)	\$0.65
Joint Back-Office, Broker-Dealer, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Maker, Professional/Voluntary Professional (Manual and AIM)	\$0.25

The Exchange also proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM, like RUI, RLV, and RLG, and RUT, the Floor Brokerage Fee of \$0.04 per contract (\$0.02 per contract for crossed orders). The Exchange also proposes to apply to AWDE and FTEM the CFLEX Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract for all AWDE and FTEM orders executed electronically on CFLEX, capped at \$250 per trade (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade). The CFLEX Surcharge Fee assists the Exchange in recouping the cost of developing and maintaining the CFLEX system. The Exchange notes that the CFLEX Surcharge Fee (and \$250 cap) also applies to other proprietary index options, including products in Underlying Symbol List A.

The Exchange currently assesses an Index License Surcharge for RUT of \$0.45 per contract for all non-customer orders. Because the fees associated with the license for AWDE and FTEM are lower than the license fees for RUT, the Exchange proposes to assess a Surcharge of \$0.10 per contract in order to recoup the costs associated with the AWDE and FTEM license.

In order to promote and encourage trading of AWDE and FTEM, the Exchange proposes to waive all transaction fees (including the Floor Brokerage Fee, Index License Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee) for AWDE and FTEM transactions through December 31, 2016. In order to promote and encourage trading of UKXM, FXTM, RUI, RLV and RLG, the Exchange also proposes to extend the waiver of transaction fees

(including the Floor Brokerage Fee, Index License Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee) for UKXM, FXTM, RUI, RLV and RLG. The Exchange proposes to amend Footnote 40 to the Fees Schedule to make clear that transaction fees for AWDE, FTEM, RUI, RLV, RLG, UKXM and FXTM will be waived through December 31, 2016.

The Exchange is also offering a compensation plan to the Designated Primary Market-Maker(s) (“DPM(s)”) appointed in AWDE and FTEM to offset the initial DPM costs. The Exchange proposes to add AWDE and FTEM to Footnote 43 to the Fees Schedule, which currently provides that DPM(s) appointed for an entire month in either FXTM or UKXM will receive a payment of \$7,500 per class per month through December 31, 2016. Because AWDE and FTEM are scheduled to be listed on September 26, 2016, the appointed DPM(s) will not have an appointment in AWDE and FTEM for the entire month of September; thus, the DPM(s) will not receive compensation for September 2016. The DPM(s) appointed for the entire month of October, November, etc. will receive compensation of \$7,500 for each entire month the DPM is appointed in AWDE and FTEM through December 31, 2016.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”) and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.³ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁴ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act,⁵ which requires that Exchange rules provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees, and other charges among its Trading Permit Holders and other persons using its facilities.

Particularly, the Exchange believes it is reasonable to charge different fee amounts to different user types in the manner proposed because the proposed fees are consistent with the price differentiation that exists today for other index products, including RUT, RUI, RLV, and RLG. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee amounts for AWDE and FTEM orders are reasonable because the proposed fee amounts are the same already assessed for similar products (e.g., RUT, RUI, RLV, and RLG), as well as are within the range of amounts assessed for the Exchange's other proprietary products.⁶

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Customers as compared to other market participants because Customer order flow enhances liquidity on the Exchange for the benefit of all market participants. Specifically, customer liquidity benefits all market participants by providing more trading opportunities, which attracts Market-Makers. An increase in the activity of these market participants in turn facilitates tighter spreads, which may cause an additional corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants. The fees offered to customers are

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

⁶ See CBOE Fees Schedule, Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table.

intended to attract more customer trading volume to the Exchange. Moreover, the options industry has a long history of providing preferential pricing to Customers, and the Exchange's current Fees Schedule currently does so in many places, as do the fees structures of many other exchanges. Finally, all fee amounts listed as applying to Customers will be applied equally to all Customers (meaning that all Customers will be assessed the same amount).

The Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to, assess lower fees to Market-Makers as compared to other market participants other than Customers because Market-Makers, unlike other market participants, take on a number of obligations, including quoting obligations, that other market participants do not have. Further, these lower fees offered to Market-Makers are intended to incent Market-Makers to quote and trade more on the Exchange, thereby providing more trading opportunities for all market participants. Additionally, the proposed fee for Market-Makers will be applied equally to all Market-Makers (meaning that all Market-Makers will be assessed the same amount). This concept also applies to orders from all other origins. It should also be noted that all fee amounts described herein are intended to attract greater order flow to the Exchange in AWDE and FTEM which should therefore serve to benefit all Exchange market participants. Similarly, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees to Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary orders than those of other market participants (except Customers and Market-Makers) because Clearing Trading Permit Holders also have a number of obligations (such as membership with the Options Clearing Corporation), significant regulatory burdens, and financial obligations, that other market participants do not need to take on. The Exchange also notes that the

AWDE and FTEM fee amounts for each separate type of market participant will be assessed equally to all such market participants (i.e. all Broker-Dealer orders will be assessed the same amount, all Joint Back-Office orders will be assessed the same amount, etc.).

The Exchange believes the proposed AIM transaction fees for Brokers Dealers, Non-Trading Permit Holder Market-Makers, Professionals/Voluntary Professionals, JBOs and Customers are reasonable because the amounts are still lower than assessed for AIM transactions in other proprietary products.⁷ The Exchange believes it's equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess lower fees for AIM executions as compared to electronic executions because AIM is a price-improvement mechanism, which the Exchange wishes to encourage and support.

Assessing the Floor Brokerage Fee of \$0.04 per contract for non-crossed orders and \$0.02 per contract for crossed orders to Floor Brokers (and not other market participants) trading AWDE and FTEM orders is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because only Floor Brokers are statutorily capable of representing orders in the trading crowd, for which they charge a commission. Moreover, this fee is already assessed, in the same amounts, to the other products in Underlying Symbol List A, including UKXM, FXTM, RUT, RUI, RLV, and RLG.

The Exchange believes that assessing an Index License Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract to AWDE and FTEM transactions is reasonable because the Surcharge helps recoup some of the costs associated with the license for AWDE and FTEM options. Additionally, the Exchange notes that the Surcharge amount is the same as, and in some

⁷ Id.

cases lower than, the amount assessed as an Index License Surcharge to other index products. The proposed Surcharge is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the Surcharge applies. Not applying the AWDE and FTEM Index License Surcharge Fee to Customer orders is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because this is designed to attract Customer AWDE and FTEM orders, which increases liquidity and provides greater trading opportunities to all market participants. Additionally, it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to assess a lower License Index Surcharge amount to AWDE and FTEM transactions as compared to RUT transactions because the costs of the license associated with RUT is greater.

Similarly, the Exchange believes assessing a CFLEX Surcharge Fee of \$0.10 per contract for all AWDE and FTEM orders executed electronically on CFLEX and capping it at \$250 (i.e., first 2,500 contracts per trade) is reasonable because it is the same amount currently charged to other proprietary index products for the same transactions.⁸ The proposed Surcharge is also equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because the amount will be assessed to all market participants to whom the CFLEX Surcharge applies.

Excepting AWDE and FTEM from the Liquidity Provider Sliding Scale, VIP, the Marketing Fee, the Fee Cap, and the exemption from fees for facilitation orders and the ORS and CORS Programs is reasonable because other Underlying Symbol List A products (i.e., other products that are exclusively-listed) are excepted from those same items. This is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the same reason; it seems

⁸ See CBOE Fees Schedule, Index Options Rate Table - All Index Products Excluding Underlying Symbol List A, CFLEX Surcharge Fee and Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table - Underlying Symbol List A, CFLEX Surcharge Fee.

equitable to except AWDE and FTEM from items on the Fees Schedule from which other proprietary products are also excepted.

The Exchange believes it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to waive all transaction fees, including the Floor Brokerage fee, the License Index Surcharge and CFLEX Surcharge Fee because it promotes and encourages trading of these new products and applies to all Trading Permit Holders (“TPHs”).

Applying to AWDE and FTEM to the CBOE Proprietary Products Sliding Scale is reasonable because it also applies to other Underlying Symbol List A products. This is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory for the same reason; it seems equitable to apply to AWDE and FTEM the same items on the Fees Schedule that apply to Underlying Symbol List A options classes (i.e., proprietary options classes that are not listed on other exchanges).

The Exchange believes it’s reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to continue to include AWDE and FTEM in the calculation of the qualifying volume for the Floor Broker Trading Permit Fees rebate because the Exchange wishes to support and encourage open-outcry trading of AWDE and FTEM, which allows for price improvement and has a number of positive impacts on the market system.

Finally, the Exchange believes that it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to compensate DPM(s) that are appointed for an entire month in either AWDE and FTEM. DPM(s) incur costs when receiving an appointment, and in the case of AWDE and FTEM, the Exchange believes it is appropriate to provide compensation to the DPM(s) to offset those costs.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on competition that are not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because, while different fees are assessed to different market participants in some circumstances, these different market participants have different obligations and different circumstances as discussed above. For example, Market-Makers have quoting obligations that other market participants do not have. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change to waive all transaction fees through December 31, 2016 will impose any burden on intramarket competition because it applies to all TPHs and encourages trading in these new products.

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because AWDE and FTEM will be exclusively listed on CBOE. To the extent that the proposed changes make CBOE a more attractive marketplace for market participants at other exchanges, such market participants are welcome to become CBOE market participants.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁹ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4¹⁰ thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission will institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-CBOE-2016-070 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2016-070. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-CBOE-2016-070 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹¹

Secretary

¹¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated

Fees Schedule - September [13] 26, 2016

Specified Proprietary Index Options Rate Table - Underlying Symbol List A (34)(41) (Also applies to ETH)(37)			Options Transaction Fees (1)(3)(4)(7)(15)(32)				
Origin	Products	Origin Code	Transaction Fee Per Contract by Premium Price			SPXpm and VIX SPX (incl SPXw) in ETH Only	
			\$0.00 - \$0.10	\$0.11 - \$0.99	\$1.00+	AIM Agency/Primary (19)	AIM Contra (18)
Customer (2)	OEX and XEO	C	\$0.40				
	OEX Weeklys and XEO Weeklys		\$0.30				
	RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , <u>FXTM</u> and <u>UKXM</u> (40)		\$0.18				
	SPX (incl SPXW)		\$0.35	\$0.44	See Rates to Left		
	SPXpm		\$0.35	\$0.44	See Rates to Left		
	VIX and VOLATILITY INDEXES		\$0.10	\$0.25	\$0.45	See Rates to Left	
Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary (11)(12)(16)(40)		F L	\$0.25 - See CBOE Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary Products Sliding Scales				
CBOE Market-Maker/ DPM/LMM (10)(38)(39)(42)(43)	RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , <u>FXTM</u> , <u>UKXM</u> , <u>SPX</u> , <u>SPXW</u> , <u>SPXpm</u> , <u>OEX</u> and <u>XEO</u> (40)	M	\$0.20				
	VIX and VOLATILITY INDEXES		\$0.05	\$0.23	See Rates to Left		
Joint Back-Office	OEX, XEO, SPX (incl SPXW), SPXpm, VIX and Volatility Indexes	B N W J	\$0.40				
Broker-Dealer (16)							
Non-Trading Permit Holder Market Professional/Voluntary Professional							
Broker-Dealer (16)							
Non-Trading Permit Holder Market Maker (16)	RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , <u>FXTM</u> and <u>UKXM</u> (40)						
Professional/Voluntary Professional/Joint Back-Office			\$0.25 Manual and AIM/ \$0.65 non-AIM Electronic				
SPX Range Options (SRO)		Origin Code	Transaction Fee Per Contract				
Customer (2)		C	\$0.80				
Clearing Trading Permit Holder Proprietary (11)(12)(16)		F L	\$0.50				
CBOE Market-Maker/DPM/LMM (10)		M	\$0.40				
Broker-Dealer (16)		B					
Non-Trading Permit Holder Market Maker (16)		N	\$0.80				
Professional /Voluntary Professional /Joint Back-Office		W J					
Surcharge Fee (14) (Also applies to ETH)(37)	Index License (41)	RUT	\$0.45				
		SRO	\$0.26				
		SPX (incl SPXW) and SPXpm	\$0.13				
	Product Research & Development - GVZ, VXEEM, VXEZW and OVX	F J L M B N W	\$0.10				
			(This surcharge is waived, through December 31, 2016, for origin codes F and L for VIX transactions where the VIX Premium is ≤ \$0.10 and the related series has an expiration of seven (7) calendar days or less.)				
CFLEX Surcharge Fee (17)(40)		C F J L M B N W	\$0.10 (capped at \$250 per trade)				
Exotic Surcharge (42)		C	\$0.25				
Hybrid 3.0 Execution Surcharge (SPX only) (15)(21)		C F J L B N W	\$0.21				
Customer Priority Surcharge (31) (Also applies to ETH)(37)	SPXW (electronic only)		\$0.10				
	VIX (Maker non-turner)		C	\$0.00	\$0.20		

Floor Brokerage Fees (1)(5)(15)		
Description		Fee Per Contract
OEX, XEO, RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , <u>FXTM</u> , <u>UKXM</u> , <u>SPX</u> and <u>SPXpm</u> Index Options (40)	Non-Crossed Orders	\$0.04
	Crossed Orders	\$0.02
SROs	Non-Crossed Orders	\$0.08
	Crossed Orders	\$0.04
VIX and Volatility Index Options	Non-Crossed Orders	\$0.03
	Crossed Orders	\$0.015

Footnotes (Continued):	
Footnote Number	Description

25	<p>The Floor Broker Trading Permit Sliding Scale will be available for all Floor Broker Trading Permits held by affiliated Trading Permit Holders and TPH organizations. To qualify for the rates set forth in Tiers 1 and 2 in the sliding scale, the applicable Trading Permit Holder(s) and/or TPH organization(s) must commit in advance to a specific tier that includes a minimum number of eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits for each calendar year. To do so, a Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder must notify the Registration Services Department by December 25th (or the preceding business day if the 25th is not a business day) of the year prior to each year in which the Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder would like to commit to this sliding scale of the Tier of eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits committed to by that Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder for that year. Floor Brokers are not obligated to commit to either tier. However, the discounts will apply only to those that do commit to Tier 1 or Tier 2 for the calendar year. Trading Permit Holders that are not eligible for and/or do not commit to Tier 1 or Tier 2 will pay the standard rate of \$9,000 for each Floor Broker Trading Permit, regardless of the total number of Floor Broker Trading Permits used. If a Trading Permit Holder chooses to commit to either Tier 1 or Tier 2, that Trading Permit Holder will be responsible for the minimum number of permits in the commitment tier for the remainder of the calendar year. Even if a Trading Permit Holder does not maintain the minimum level of eligible Trading Permits in the tier, that Trading Permit Holder is still responsible for the minimum payment for that commitment tier for the remainder of the calendar year. For example, a Trading Permit Holder that commits to eight eligible permits per month will be subject to a minimum monthly access fee of \$48,000 (1 at \$9,000 plus 6 at \$6,000 plus 1 at \$3,000 = \$48,000) for that calendar year. Any additional Permits will increase the fee by the applicable amount. Trading Permit Holders will be able to commit to a higher tier of the sliding scale for the remainder of a calendar year, during a commitment year, if the Trading Permit Holder obtains enough eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits and provides written notification to the Registration Services Department by the 25th day of the month preceding the month in which the higher tier will be effective (or the preceding business day if the 25th is not a business day). For example, a Trading Permit Holder may provide written notice to commit to Tier 1 effective July 1 for the remainder of the calendar year as long as the Trading Permit Holder obtains enough eligible Trading Permits and provides written notice by June 25th that the Trading Permit Holder would like to participate in the sliding scale starting in July for the remainder of that calendar year. Even if that Trading Permit Holder subsequently falls below the minimum number of eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits (in the committed calendar year), for the committed tier, the Trading Permit Holder will remain responsible for paying for the tier minimum for the remainder of the calendar year. Trading Permit Holders will be responsible to pay for at least the minimum amount of eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits in the committed tier for the calendar year on a monthly basis unless the Trading Permit Holder entirely terminates as a Trading Permit Holder during the year. If a Trading Permit Holder combines, merges, or is acquired during the course of the calendar year, the surviving Trading Permit Holder will maintain responsibility for the committed number of eligible Floor Broker Trading Permits. Any Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder that executes an average of 15,000 customer open-outcry contracts per day over the course of a calendar month in all underlying symbols excluding Underlying Symbol List A (except RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u>, <u>FTEM</u>, FXTM and UKXM)(34), DJX, XSP, XSPAM, mini-options and subcabinet trades, will receive a rebate of \$7,500 on that Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder's Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. Any Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder that executes an average of 25,000 customer open-outcry contracts per day over the course of a calendar month in all underlying symbols excluding Underlying Symbol List A (except RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u>, <u>FTEM</u>, FXTM and UKXM)(34), DJX, XSP, XSPAM, mini-options and subcabinet trades, will receive a rebate of \$15,000 on that Floor Broker Trading Permit Holder's Floor Broker Trading Permit fees. For purposes of determining the rebate, the qualifying volume of all Floor Broker Trading Permit Holders affiliated with a single TPH organization will be aggregated, and, if such total meets or exceeds the customer open-outcry contracts per day thresholds in all underlying symbols excluding Underlying Symbol List A (except RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u>, <u>FTEM</u>, FXTM and UKXM)(34), DJX, XSP, XSPAM and mini-options that TPH organization will receive a single rebate, regardless of the number of Floor Broker Trading Permits affiliated with that TPH organization.</p>

34	Underlying Symbol List A: OEX, XEO, RUT, RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , FXTM, UKXM, SPX (includes SPXw), SPXpm, SRO, VIX, VOLATILITY INDEXES and binary options.

40	All transaction fees for RLG, RLV, RUI, <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , FXTM and UKXM are waived through [September 30] <u>December 31</u> , 2016.

43	The DPM(s) appointed for an entire month in either <u>AWDE</u> , <u>FTEM</u> , FXTM or UKXM will receive a payment of \$7,500 per class per month through December 31, 2016.
