Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response...........38

| Page 1 of * 18 | | WASHING | SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION File No.* SR - 2016 - * 91 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *) | | | |
|---|------------------------|---|---|------------------------|---|----------------------------|
| Filing b | y Bats BZX Exch | hange, Inc. | | | | |
| | | inder the Securities Exchange | Act of 1934 | | | |
| 1-10-1 + | A | at * Math.da | 01' 40(h)(0) | * 0 | 40(h)(0)(A) * | 0 1 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 |
| Initial * ✓ | Amendme | nt * Withdrawal | Section 19(b)(2) | Section | on 19(b)(3)(A) * | Section 19(b)(3)(B) * |
| | | | _ | | Rule | |
| Pilot | Extension of Tim | Date Expires " | | 19b-4(f | 🗀 | |
| | | Action | | ✓ 19b-4(f ☐ 19b-4(f | | |
| Notice | of proposed change | pursuant to the Payment, Clear | ing, and Settlement | Act of 2010 | | Submission pursuant |
| Section 806(e)(1) * | | Section 806(e)(2) * | Section 806(e)(2) * | | to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) * | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Sent As Paper Docum | | ocument | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Descri | ption | | | | | |
| Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). | | | | | | |
| The Ev | change proposes | a rule change to adopt a Partic | cinant Fee annlicat | ale to Ontions | Members of its equity | ontions |
| platforr | | a rule change to adopt a r and | ырант гее аррпса | ne to Options | wiembers of its equity | Орнона |
| | | | | | | |
| Contac | ct Information | | | | | |
| Provide | the name telephor | ne number, and e-mail address o | of the nerson on the | staff of the self | -regulatory organization | |
| | | estions and comments on the ac | | stail of the sen | -regulatory organization | • |
| Circt N | ame * Chris | | Last Name * Solo | ıan | | |
| Title * | | eneral Counsel | Last Name Ook | jan | | |
| E-mail | | | | | | |
| Teleph | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Signat | ure | | | | | |
| Pursua | nt to the requiremer | nts of the Securities Exchange A | ct of 1934, | | | |
| has dul | v caused this filing t | to be signed on its behalf by the | undersigned thereu | nto duly authori | ized | |
| | y dadood and ming | to so eigned on no sonan sy me | aas.s.gsa a.s.sa | (Title *) | | |
| Date | 12/15/2016 | | Assistant General | Counsel | | |
| Ву | Chris Solgan | | | | | |
| NOTE: C | , | me *) ght will digitally sign and lock | CS | olgan@bats.co | om | |
| this form. | A digital signature is | as legally binding as a physical sform cannot be changed. | | - J 2 2 a. 3. 0 t | | |
| Signatule | ,a 500 digitou, illis | camiet 20 onungeu. | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

- (a) Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act" or "Exchange Act"), ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. ("BZX" or the "Exchange") proposes to amend its fees and rebates applicable to Members ³ and non-Members of the Exchange pursuant to BZX Rule 15.1(a) and (c) to adopt a Participant Fee applicable to Options Members ⁴ of its equity options platform ("BZX Options"). The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5.
 - (b) Inapplicable.
 - (c) Inapplicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

The Exchange submits the proposed rule change pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on February 11, 2014. Exchange staff will advise the Exchange's Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change and, therefore, the Exchange's internal procedures with respect to the proposed change are complete.

The persons on the Exchange staff prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change are:

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The term "Member" is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

The term "Options Member" is defined as "a firm, or organization that is registered with the Exchange pursuant to Chapter XVII of these Rules for purposes of participating in options trading on BZX Options as an 'Options Order Entry Firm' or 'Options Market Maker.'" <u>See</u> Exchange Rule 16.1(a)(38).

Eric Swanson
Executive Vice President and
General Counsel
(913) 815-7000

Chris Solgan Assistant General Counsel (646) 856-8723

3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule for BZX Options to adopt a Participant Fee applicable to Options Members. The Exchange believes the Participant Fee with help recoup costs related to the administration of Options Members. As proposed, Options Members would pay a Participant Fee of \$500 per month where they have an ADV⁵ of less than 5,000 contracts traded or \$1,000 per month where they have an ADV equal to or greater than 5,000 contract traded. New Options Members would not be charged a Participant Fee for their first three (3) month of membership on BZX Options. The Exchange proposes to implement the Participant Fee on January 3, 2017.

(b) <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the objectives of Section 6 of the Act,⁷ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4),⁸ in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that the proposed rates are equitable and non-

⁵ As defined in the BZX Options fee schedule.

The Exchange notes that the date of the fee schedule was amended to January 3, 2017 in SR-BatsBZX-2016-90 (December 14, 2016).

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

discriminatory in that they apply uniformly to all Members.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, for example, the Commission indicated that market forces should generally determine the price of non-core market data because national market system regulation "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies." Likewise, in NetCoalition" ("NetCoalition") the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission's use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-based approach. 11 As the court emphasized, the Commission "intended in Regulation NMS that 'market forces, rather than regulatory requirements' play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost." 12

Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.'... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly,

Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 at 37499 (June 9, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

NetCoalition v. NYSE Arca, Inc.,615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

See NetCoalition, at 534.

^{12 &}lt;u>Id</u>. at 537.

regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'...."¹³
Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

The Exchange believes the adoption of a Participant Fee for Options Members is equitable and reasonable because the Exchange is seeking to recoup costs related to membership administration. Depending on the Options Member's ADV, the proposed fee is either less than or equal to that charged by the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq"). ¹⁴ The Exchange also believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to charge a lower Participant Fee to those Options Members with an ADV less than 5,000 contracts traded. ¹⁵ The lower fee, coupled with not charging new Options

¹³ Id. at 539 (quoting ArcaBook Order, 73 FR at 74782-74783).

See Nasdaq Options Rules Chapter XV, Section 10, Participant Fee – Options (charging a Participant Fee of \$1,000 to all Nasdaq options participants, regardless of volume). See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 68502 (December 20, 2012), 77 FR 76572 (December 28, 2012) (SR-Nasdaq-2012-139); and 76760 (December 23, 2015), 80 FR 81562 (December 30, 2015) (SR-Nasdaq-2015-154).

¹⁵ The fee is also less than similar fees charged by other exchanges, some of which also charged different rates based on the type of member or that member's participation on that exchange. See e.g., The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated's Fees Schedule (charging per month a Market Maker Trading Permit is \$5,500, an SPX Tier appointment is \$3,000, a VIX Tier Appointment is \$2,000, and an electronic Access Permit is \$1,600); the International Securities Exchange LLC's Schedule of Fees (charging per month an Electronic Access Member is assessed \$500.00 for membership and a market maker is assessed from \$2,000 to \$4,000 per membership depending on the type of market maker); C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated's Fees Schedule (charging per month, a market-maker is assessed a \$5,000 permit fee, an Electronic Access Permit is assessed a \$1,000 permit fee); and NYSE Arca, Inc.'s Fee Schedule (charging per month, a Clearing Firm is assessed a \$1,000 per month fee for the first Options Trading Permit ("OTP") and \$250 thereafter, and a market maker is assessed a permit based on the maximum number of OTPs held by an OTP Firm or OTP Holder during a calendar month ranging from \$1,000 to \$6,000 a month).

Members the Participant Fee during their first three (3) months of membership, is designed to encourage membership and to allow firms to grow their business on BZX Options. Therefore, the Exchange believes the proposed tiered Participant Fee if equitable, reasonable, and not unfairly discriminatory because it is designed to recoup costs related to membership administration while not serving as a deterrent to firms seeking to become new members of BZX Options.

4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes the proposed Participant Fee will not impose an undue burden on competition because the Exchange will uniformly assess the participant fee on all Member based on their ADV of contracts traded. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from pricing offered by the Exchange's competitors. Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value or if they view the proposed fee as excessive. Further, excessive fees for participation would serve to impair an exchange's ability to compete for order flow and members rather than burdening competition.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others</u>

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments

Id.

⁶

from Members or other interested parties.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

- 7. <u>Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)</u>
- (a) This proposed rule change is filed pursuant to paragraph (A) of Section 19(b)(3) of the Act.
- (b) This proposed rule change establishes dues, fees or other charges among its members and, as such, may take effect upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act¹⁷ and paragraph (f)(2) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁸
 - (c) Inapplicable.
 - (d) Inapplicable.
- 8. <u>Proposed Rule change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission</u>

The proposed rule change is not based on the rules of another self-regulatory organization or of the Commission.

- Security Based- Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act Not applicable.
- 10. <u>Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act</u>

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Form of Notice of Proposed Rule Change for Publication in the

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

¹⁸ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

Federal Register.

<u>Exhibit 5</u> – Text of the Proposed Rule Change.

EXHIBIT 1

| SECURITIES AND EXC | HANGE COMMISSION |
|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| (Release No. 34 | ; File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-91) |

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Adopt a Participant Fee Applicable to Options Members of its Equity Options Platform

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members⁵ and non-members of the Exchange pursuant to BZX Rules 15.1(a) and (c) to adopt a

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

The term "Member" is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." <u>See</u> Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

Participant Fee applicable to Options Members⁶ of its equity options platform ("BZX Options").

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at www.bats.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

- (A) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>
- 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its fee schedule for BZX Options to adopt a Participant Fee applicable to Options Members. The Exchange believes the Participant Fee with help recoup costs related to the administration of Options Members. As proposed, Options Members would pay a Participant Fee of \$500 per month where they have an ADV⁷ of less than 5,000 contracts traded or \$1,000 per month where they have an ADV equal to or greater than 5,000 contract traded. New Options Members would not

The term "Options Member" is defined as "a firm, or organization that is registered with the Exchange pursuant to Chapter XVII of these Rules for purposes of participating in options trading on BZX Options as an 'Options Order Entry Firm' or 'Options Market Maker.'" <u>See</u> Exchange Rule 16.1(a)(38).

⁷ As defined in the BZX Options fee schedule.

be charged a Participant Fee for their first three (3) month of membership on BZX

Options. The Exchange proposes to implement the Participant Fee on January 3, 2017.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the objectives of Section 6 of the Act, 9 in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4), 10 in particular, as it is designed to provide for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among its Members and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange believes that the proposed rates are equitable and non-discriminatory in that they apply uniformly to all Members.

The Commission and the courts have repeatedly expressed their preference for competition over regulatory intervention in determining prices, products, and services in the securities markets. In Regulation NMS, for example, the Commission indicated that market forces should generally determine the price of non-core market data because national market system regulation "has been remarkably successful in promoting market competition in its broader forms that are most important to investors and listed companies." Likewise, in NetCoalition v. NYSE Arca, Inc. 12 ("NetCoalition") the D.C. Circuit upheld the Commission's use of a market-based approach in evaluating the fairness of market data fees against a challenge claiming that Congress mandated a cost-

The Exchange notes that the date of the fee schedule was amended to January 3, 2017 in SR-BatsBZX-2016-90 (December 14, 2016).

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f.

¹⁵ U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

Securities Exchange Act Release No. 51808 at 37499 (June 9, 2005) ("Regulation NMS Adopting Release").

NetCoalition v. NYSE Arca, Inc.,615 F.3d 525 (D.C. Cir. 2010).

based approach. ¹³ As the court emphasized, the Commission "intended in Regulation NMS that 'market forces, rather than regulatory requirements' play a role in determining the market data . . . to be made available to investors and at what cost." ¹⁴

Further, "[n]o one disputes that competition for order flow is 'fierce.'... As the SEC explained, '[i]n the U.S. national market system, buyers and sellers of securities, and the broker-dealers that act as their order-routing agents, have a wide range of choices of where to route orders for execution'; [and] 'no exchange can afford to take its market share percentages for granted' because 'no exchange possesses a monopoly, regulatory or otherwise, in the execution of order flow from broker dealers'...." Although the court and the SEC were discussing the cash equities markets, the Exchange believes that these views apply with equal force to the options markets.

The Exchange believes the adoption of a Participant Fee for Options Members is equitable and reasonable because the Exchange is seeking to recoup costs related to membership administration. Depending on the Options Member's ADV, the proposed fee is either less than or equal to that charged by the Nasdaq Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq"). The Exchange also believes it is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to charge a lower Participant Fee to those Options Members with an ADV less than 5,000

See <u>NetCoalition</u>, at 534.

¹⁴ Id. at 537.

^{15 &}lt;u>Id.</u> at 539 (quoting ArcaBook Order, 73 FR at 74782-74783).

See Nasdaq Options Rules Chapter XV, Section 10, Participant Fee – Options (charging a Participant Fee of \$1,000 to all Nasdaq options participants, regardless of volume).
 See also Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 68502 (December 20, 2012), 77 FR 76572 (December 28, 2012) (SR-Nasdaq-2012-139); and 76760 (December 23, 2015), 80 FR 81562 (December 30, 2015) (SR-Nasdaq-2015-154).

contracts traded.¹⁷ The lower fee, coupled with not charging new Options Members the Participant Fee during their first three (3) months of membership, is designed to encourage membership and to allow firms to grow their business on BZX Options. Therefore, the Exchange believes the proposed tiered Participant Fee if equitable, reasonable, and not unfairly discriminatory because it is designed to recoup costs related to membership administration while not serving as a deterrent to firms seeking to become new members of BZX Options.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes the proposed Participant Fee will not impose an undue burden on competition because the Exchange will uniformly assess the participant fee on all Member based on their ADV of contracts traded. The Exchange does not believe that the proposed changes represent a significant departure from pricing offered by the

¹⁷ The fee is also less than similar fees charged by other exchanges, some of which also charged different rates based on the type of member or that member's participation on that exchange. See e.g., The Chicago Board Options Exchange, Incorporated's Fees Schedule (charging per month a Market Maker Trading Permit is \$5,500, an SPX Tier appointment is \$3,000, a VIX Tier Appointment is \$2,000, and an electronic Access Permit is \$1,600); the International Securities Exchange LLC's Schedule of Fees (charging per month an Electronic Access Member is assessed \$500.00 for membership and a market maker is assessed from \$2,000 to \$4,000 per membership depending on the type of market maker); C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated's Fees Schedule (charging per month, a marketmaker is assessed a \$5,000 permit fee, an Electronic Access Permit is assessed a \$1,000 permit fee); and NYSE Arca, Inc.'s Fee Schedule (charging per month, a Clearing Firm is assessed a \$1,000 per month fee for the first Options Trading Permit ("OTP") and \$250 thereafter, and a market maker is assessed a permit based on the maximum number of OTPs held by an OTP Firm or OTP Holder during a calendar month ranging from \$1,000 to \$6,000 a month).

Exchange's competitors. ¹⁸ Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing if they believe that alternatives offer them better value or if they view the proposed fee as excessive. Further, excessive fees for participation would serve to impair an exchange's ability to compete for order flow and members rather than burdening competition.

(C) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others</u>

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any unsolicited written comments from Members or other interested parties.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁰ At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act.

¹⁸ Id.

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-91 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-91. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-91 and should be submitted on

| or before [| 21 days from publication in the Federal Register]. |
|------------------|---|
| For the | Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to |
| delegated author | prity. ²¹ |
| | Robert W. Errett |
| | Deputy Secretary |

²¹

EXHIBIT 5

Proposed new language is <u>underlined</u>; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

Bats BZX Options Exchange Fee Schedule

Effective January 3, 2017

* * * * *

Options Regulatory Fee

(No change).

Membership Fees

| BZX Options Participant Fee* | Required Criteria | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <u>\$500</u> | Member has an ADV < 5,000 contracts traded | | |
| <u>\$1000</u> | Member has an ADV ≥ 5,000 contracts traded | | |

^{*}New Options Members of the BZX Options Exchange will not be charged a BZX Options Participant Fee for their first three (3) months of membership.

* * * * *