#### Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response.......38

Page 1 of	f * 33		EXCHANGE ( TON, D.C. 2 orm 19b-4	0549	File No.* S	R - 2016 - * 14 mendments *)
Filing b	by Bats BZX Exchange, Inc	C.				
-	ant to Rule 19b-4 under the		Act of 1934			
Initial * ✓	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(I	Section Sectio	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *		☐ 19b-4(f ☑ 19b-4(f ☐ 19b-4(f	)(2) 19b-4(f)(5)	
	of proposed change pursuant	to the Payment, Cleari  Section 806(e)(2) *	ng, and Settle	ment Act of 2010	Security-Based Swap to the Securities Excha Section 3C(b)(2)	-
Exhibit 2	_	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Do	cument			
	ption a brief description of the acti change proposes rule chan					
Provide	the name, telephone number d to respond to questions an			the staff of the self	-regulatory organization	
First Na	ame * Anders		Last Name *	Franzon		
Title *	SVP, Associate Gene	eral Counsel	4			
E-mail	* afranzon@bats.com					
Telepho	one * (913) 815-7154	Fax				
Signat	ure					
Pursua	nt to the requirements of the S	Securities Exchange Ac	ot of 1934,			
		_				
has dul	y caused this filing to be sign	ed on its behalf by the i	undersigned th	ereunto duly author (Title *)	ized.	
Date	05/02/2016	5	SVP, Associa	te General Counse	<u> </u>	
Į.	Anders Franzon					
this form.	(Name *) licking the button at right will digit	ally sign and lock		afranzon@bats.c	om	
. 3	A digital signature is as legally bit, and once signed, this form cannot	inding as a physical				

#### SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information \* clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change \* in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies \* guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such **Transcripts, Other Communications** documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Remove View Add Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy **Partial Amendment** proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

#### 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), <sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, <sup>2</sup> Bats BZX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "BZX") is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") a proposed rule change to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members<sup>3</sup> and nonmembers of the Exchange pursuant to BZX Rules 15.1(a) and (c). The changes to the fee schedule pursuant to this proposal is effective upon filing.

The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5. Material proposed to be added is underlined. Material proposed to be deleted is enclosed in brackets.

- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) Not applicable.

## 2. <u>Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization</u>

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on February 11, 2014. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of the Exchange of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

The persons on the Exchange staff prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change are:

Eric Swanson Anders Franzon

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

A Member is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

EVP, General Counsel (913) 815-7000

SVP, Associate General Counsel (913) 815-7154

# 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change.</u>

#### (a) <u>Purpose</u>

The Exchange proposes to modify its fee schedule applicable to the Exchange's equity options platform ("BZX Options") to: (1) modify the standard fee for Non-Customer<sup>4</sup> orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities<sup>5</sup> and to adopt a new tier in connection with such executions; (2) modify an existing tier and add a new tier to its tiered pricing structure for the Exchange's Quoting Incentive Program ("QIP"); and (3) simplify the Exchange's routing fees, as further described below.

#### Non-Customer Orders that Remove Liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities

The Exchange is proposing to modify the standard fee for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities. Such orders when executed on the Exchange currently yield fee code NP and are assessed a standard fee of \$0.94 per contract. The Exchange is proposing to increase the standard fee for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities under fee code NP from \$0.94 to \$0.99 per contract.

The term "Non-Customer" applies to any transaction that is not a Customer order. In turn, the term "Customer" applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC"), excluding any transaction for a Broker Dealer or a "Professional" as defined in Exchange Rule 16.1.

The term "Non-Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are not Penny Pilot Securities quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new tier that would apply to Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities that result in a reduced fee for Members that meet the qualifications of the tier. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to create a new footnote 13 entitled "Non-Customer Non-Penny Pilot Take Volume Tier," which would apply to orders that receive fee code NP. Under the proposed new tier, Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities would be assessed a reduced fee of \$0.95 per contract where the Member has:

(1) an ADAV<sup>6</sup> in Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.05% of average TCV; and (2) an ADV<sup>8</sup> in Non-Customer Orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.10% of average TCV.

In addition to the modification to the Fee Codes and Associated Fees table and the addition of footnote 13 described above, the Exchange proposes to update the Standard Rates table of the fee schedule to reflect these changes.

#### **QIP Tiers**

The Exchange currently offers three QIP tiers that provide an additional rebate per contract for an order that adds liquidity to the BZX Options Book<sup>9</sup> in options classes in

<sup>&</sup>quot;ADAV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added per day.

<sup>&</sup>quot;TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges to the consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> "ADV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day.

<sup>&</sup>quot;BZX Options Book" is defined as "the electronic book of options orders maintained by the Trading System. See Exchange Rule 16.1(a)(9).

which a Member is a Market Maker registered on BZX Options pursuant to Rule 22.2. The Market Maker must be registered with BZX Options in an average of 20% or more of the associated options series in a class in order to qualify for QIP rebates for that class. The Exchange proposes to amend QIP Tier 3 and to add a new QIP Tier 4, as further described below.

Under QIP Tier 3, a Market Maker receives an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract where that Market Maker has an ADV equal to or greater than 2.5% of average TCV. The Exchange proposes to decrease the rebate provided pursuant to QIP Tier 3 from an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract to an additional rebate of \$0.05 per contract. The Exchange does not propose to amend the qualifying criteria for QIP Tier 3.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to adopt new QIP Tier 4. Under proposed QIP Tier 4, a Market Maker will receive an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract where the Member has an ADV equal to or greater than 3.5% of average TCV. Thus, QIP Tier 4 will provide the same rebate as is provided under current QIP Tier 3.

#### **Routing Fees**

The Exchange proposes to modify the fees charged for orders routed away from the Exchange and executed at various away options exchanges. The Exchange currently has specific rates and associated fee codes for each away options exchange. <sup>10</sup> Such rates

Other options exchanges to which the Exchange routes include: BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX"), Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE"), C2 Options Exchange, Inc. ("C2"), Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX Options"), International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE"), ISE Gemini, LLC ("ISE Gemini"), ISE Mercury, LLC ("ISE Mercury"), Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC ("MIAX"), Nasdaq Options Market LLC ("NOM"), Nasdaq OMX BX LLC ("BX Options"), Nasdaq OMX PHLX LLC ("PHLX"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("ARCA"), and NYSE MKT LLC ("AMEX").

are further divided at each options exchange into either two categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer orders or into four categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer orders and then into Penny Pilot Securities <sup>11</sup> and Non-Penny Pilot Securities. <sup>12</sup> In order to simplify routing fees for executions at away options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to charge flat rates for routing to other options exchanges that have been placed into groups based on the approximate cost of routing to such venues. The grouping of away options exchanges is based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (i.e., clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, "Routing Costs"). To address different fees at various other options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to adopt five different fees and associated fee codes applicable to routing to away options exchanges, as further described below.

With respect to Non-Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt two fee codes: (1) fee code RN, which would result in a fee of \$0.85 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities; and (2) fee code RO, which would result in a fee of \$1.20 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Non-Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from \$0.56 per contract (fee code RF, applicable to Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities executed at EDGX

The term "Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

The Exchange notes that it still applies a single rate for orders routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury.

Options) to \$1.25 per contract (fee code QG, applicable to Non-Customer orders executed at NOM in Non-Penny Pilot Securities).

With respect to Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt three fee codes: (1) fee code RP, which would result in a fee of \$0.25 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders routed to and executed at AMEX, BOX, BX Options, CBOE, EDGX Options, ISE Mercury, MIAX or PHLX; (2) fee code RQ, which would result in a fee of \$0.70 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM; and (3) fee code RR, which would result in a fee of \$0.90 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from no charge per contract (fee codes BD, applicable to Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities executed at BX Options, and fee codes RC and RD, applicable to Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities and Non-Penny Pilot Securities, respectively, executed at EDGX Options) to \$0.90 per contract (fee codes AD, GD and QD, applicable to Customer orders executed at ARCA, ISE Gemini, and NOM, respectively, in Non-Penny Pilot Securities). 13

As a general matter, the groupings described above in most instances attempt to differentiate between the Routing Costs applicable to either executions of orders in Penny Pilot Securities versus those in Non-Penny Pilot Securities or between fee ranges typical

The Exchange again notes that it currently applies a single rate for orders routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury. As such, Customer orders execute at ISE Mercury technically pay the highest rate today, a fee of \$0.99 per contract.

of exchanges that operate primarily a maker/taker or price/time market model (generally imposing higher fees, including for Customer orders) versus exchanges that operate primarily a pro rata or customer priority market model (generally imposing lower fees, especially for Customer orders).

As set forth above, the Exchange's proposed approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain flat fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges. The Exchange will then monitor the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services, as well as monitoring for specific fee changes by other options exchanges, and intends to adjust its flat routing fees and/or groupings to ensure that the Exchange's fees do indeed result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. Although there may be instances where the Exchanges fee to a particular options exchange is indeed significantly higher than the fee charged by such options exchange, the Exchange believes that this is appropriate for several reasons discussed in further detail below, including the simplicity that it will provide Users of the Exchange's routing services.

#### Implementation Date

The Exchange proposes to implement these amendments to its fee schedule immediately.

#### (b) <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the

Act. <sup>14</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act, <sup>15</sup> in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels to be excessive.

Volume-based rebates such as those currently maintained on the Exchange have been widely adopted by options exchanges, including the Exchange, and are equitable because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value to an exchange's market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity provision and/or growth patterns, and introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to change the standard fee charged for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities under fee code NP from \$0.94 to \$0.99 per contract is reasonable, fair and equitable and non-discriminatory, because the change will apply equally to all participants, and because, while the change marks an increase in fees for orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities, such proposed fees remain consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as competitors of the Exchange and does not represent a significant departure from the Exchange's general pricing structure and will allow the Exchange to earn additional

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

revenue that can be used to offset the addition of new pricing incentives, including those introduced as part of this proposal. The Exchange also believes that its proposal to adopt a tiered pricing structure that will result in a reduced fee for all Members qualifying for the tier mitigates the increased fee. The tier is itself reasonable, fair and equitable and non-discriminatory for the reasons set forth above with respect to volume-based pricing generally, and also because the change will apply equally to all participants, the proposed fee under the tier remains consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as competitors of the Exchange and does not represent a significant departure from the Exchange's general pricing structure.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend QIP Tier 3 and add a new QIP Tier 4 under footnote 5 is reasonable, fair and equitable and non-discriminatory, for the reasons set forth above with respect to volume-based pricing generally. In addition, the Exchange believes the reduction of the rebate offered under QIP Tier 3 is equitable and reasonable because of the adoption of QIP Tier 4, which will still provide Members with the ability to earn the current rebate provided by QIP Tier 3, albeit only if such Members satisfy the increased criteria. The Exchange also notes that although registration as a Market Maker is required to qualify for QIP, such registration is available to all Members on an equal basis. The Exchange also believes that proposed QIP Tier 4 is reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory because it, like the QIP generally, is aimed to incentivize active market making on the Exchange.

With respect to the proposed routing structure, the Exchange again notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues or providers of routing services if they deem fee levels to

be excessive. As explained above, the Exchange proposes to approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges, including other applicable costs to the Exchange for routing, in order to provide a simplified and easy to understand pricing model. The Exchange believes that a pricing model based on approximate Routing Costs is a reasonable, fair and equitable approach to pricing. Specifically, the Exchange believes that its proposal to modify fees is fair, equitable and reasonable because the fees are generally an approximation of the cost to the Exchange for routing orders to such exchanges. The Exchange believes that its flat fee structure for orders routed to various venues is a fair and equitable approach to pricing, as it will provide certainty with respect to execution fees at groups of away options exchanges. In order to achieve its flat fee structure, taking all costs to the Exchange into account, the Exchange will necessarily charge a higher premium to route to certain options exchanges than to others. As a general matter, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees will allow it to recoup and cover its costs of providing routing services to such exchanges and to make some additional profit in exchange for the services it provides. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee structure for orders routed to and executed at these away options exchanges is fair and equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory in that it applies equally to all Members. Finally, the Exchange notes that it intends to consistently evaluate its routing fees, including profit and loss attributable to routing, as applicable, in connection with the operation of a flat fee routing service, and would consider future adjustments to the proposed pricing structure to the extent it was recouping a significant profit or loss from routing to away options exchanges.

### 4. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its fee schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, the proposal is a competitive proposal that is seeking to further the growth of the Exchange and to simplify the Exchange's fees for routing orders to away options exchanges. With respect to the tiered pricing changes, the Exchange has structured the proposed fees and rebates to attract additional volume to the Exchange based on pricing that is competitive with that offered by other options exchanges. In particular, by offering tiered pricing the Exchange is incentivizing Members to maintain and/or increase the liquidity provided to the Exchange, which is representative of the competitive nature of the options markets. With respect to the proposed routing fee structure, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees are competitive in that they will provide a simple approach to routing pricing that some Members may favor. Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing, including pricing for transactions on the Exchange as well as routing fees, if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. In particular, with respect to routing services, such services are available to Members from other broker-dealers as well as other options exchanges. The Exchange also notes that Members may choose to mark their orders as ineligible for routing to avoid incurring routing fees. 16 Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of Members or competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

5. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule</u>

See Exchange Rule 21.1(d)(7) (describing "Book Only" orders) and Exchange Rule 21.9(a)(1) (describing the Exchange's routing process, which requires orders to be designated as available for routing).

#### Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

- Extension of Time Period for Commission Action
   Not applicable.
- 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act<sup>17</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(2) thereunder,<sup>18</sup> the Exchange has designated this proposal as establishing or changing a due, fee, or other charge applicable to the Exchange's Members and non-members, which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

- Proposed Rule Change Based on Rule of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission
   Not applicable.
  - rot application
- Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act
   Not applicable.
- 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

Not applicable.

## 11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Completed Notice of the Proposed Rule Change for publication in

the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2-4: Not applicable.

Exhibit 5: Text of Proposed Rule Change.

#### EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHA	ANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34	; File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-14)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Bats BZX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Related to Fees as they Apply to the Equity Options Platform

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the</u> Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the fee schedule applicable to Members<sup>5</sup> and non-members of the Exchange pursuant to BZX Rules 15.1(a) and (c).

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

The term "Member" is defined as "any registered broker or dealer that has been admitted to membership in the Exchange." See Exchange Rule 1.5(n).

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange's website at <a href="www.batstrading.com">www.batstrading.com</a>, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

# II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis</u> for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

- (A) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>
- 1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to modify its fee schedule applicable to the Exchange's equity options platform ("BZX Options") to: (1) modify the standard fee for Non-Customer<sup>6</sup> orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities<sup>7</sup> and to adopt a new tier in connection with such executions; (2) modify an existing tier and add a new tier to its tiered pricing structure for the Exchange's Quoting Incentive Program ("QIP"); and (3) simplify the Exchange's routing fees, as further described below.

The term "Non-Customer" applies to any transaction that is not a Customer order. In turn, the term "Customer" applies to any transaction identified by a Member for clearing in the Customer range at the Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC"), excluding any transaction for a Broker Dealer or a "Professional" as defined in Exchange Rule 16.1.

The term "Non-Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are not Penny Pilot Securities quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

#### Non-Customer Orders that Remove Liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities

The Exchange is proposing to modify the standard fee for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities. Such orders when executed on the Exchange currently yield fee code NP and are assessed a standard fee of \$0.94 per contract. The Exchange is proposing to increase the standard fee for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities under fee code NP from \$0.94 to \$0.99 per contract.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to adopt a new tier that would apply to Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities that result in a reduced fee for Members that meet the qualifications of the tier. Specifically, the Exchange is proposing to create a new footnote 13 entitled "Non-Customer Non-Penny Pilot Take Volume Tier," which would apply to orders that receive fee code NP. Under the proposed new tier, Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities would be assessed a reduced fee of \$0.95 per contract where the Member has:

(1) an ADAV<sup>8</sup> in Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.05% of average TCV; and (2) an ADV<sup>10</sup> in Non-Customer Orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.10% of average TCV.

<sup>&</sup>quot;ADAV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added per day.

<sup>&</sup>quot;TCV" means total consolidated volume calculated as the volume reported by all exchanges to the consolidated transaction reporting plan for the month for which the fees apply, excluding volume on any day that the Exchange experiences an Exchange System Disruption and on any day with a scheduled early market close.

<sup>&</sup>quot;ADV" means average daily volume calculated as the number of contracts added or removed, combined, per day.

In addition to the modification to the Fee Codes and Associated Fees table and the addition of footnote 13 described above, the Exchange proposes to update the Standard Rates table of the fee schedule to reflect these changes.

#### QIP Tiers

The Exchange currently offers three QIP tiers that provide an additional rebate per contract for an order that adds liquidity to the BZX Options Book<sup>11</sup> in options classes in which a Member is a Market Maker registered on BZX Options pursuant to Rule 22.2. The Market Maker must be registered with BZX Options in an average of 20% or more of the associated options series in a class in order to qualify for QIP rebates for that class. The Exchange proposes to amend QIP Tier 3 and to add a new QIP Tier 4, as further described below.

Under QIP Tier 3, a Market Maker receives an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract where that Market Maker has an ADV equal to or greater than 2.5% of average TCV. The Exchange proposes to decrease the rebate provided pursuant to QIP Tier 3 from an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract to an additional rebate of \$0.05 per contract. The Exchange does not propose to amend the qualifying criteria for QIP Tier 3.

In addition, the Exchange proposes to adopt new QIP Tier 4. Under proposed QIP Tier 4, a Market Maker will receive an additional rebate of \$0.06 per contract where the Member has an ADV equal to or greater than 3.5% of average TCV. Thus, QIP Tier 4 will provide the same rebate as is provided under current QIP Tier 3.

#### **Routing Fees**

<sup>&</sup>quot;BZX Options Book" is defined as "the electronic book of options orders maintained by the Trading System. See Exchange Rule 16.1(a)(9).

The Exchange proposes to modify the fees charged for orders routed away from the Exchange and executed at various away options exchanges. The Exchange currently has specific rates and associated fee codes for each away options exchange. Such rates are further divided at each options exchange into either two categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer orders or into four categories in order to differentiate between Customer and Non-Customer orders and then into Penny Pilot Securities and Non-Penny Pilot Securities. In order to simplify routing fees for executions at away options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to charge flat rates for routing to other options exchanges that have been placed into groups based on the approximate cost of routing to such venues. The grouping of away options exchanges is based on the cost of transaction fees assessed by each venue as well as costs to the Exchange for routing (i.e., clearing fees, connectivity and other infrastructure costs, membership fees, etc.) (collectively, "Routing Costs"). To address different fees and various other options exchanges, the Exchange proposes to adopt five different fees and

Other options exchanges to which the Exchange routes include: BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX"), Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. ("CBOE"), C2 Options Exchange, Inc. ("C2"), Bats EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX Options"), International Securities Exchange, Inc. ("ISE"), ISE Gemini, LLC ("ISE Gemini"), ISE Mercury, LLC ("ISE Mercury"), Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC ("MIAX"), Nasdaq Options Market LLC ("NOM"), Nasdaq OMX BX LLC ("BX Options"), Nasdaq OMX PHLX LLC ("PHLX"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("ARCA"), and NYSE MKT LLC ("AMEX").

The term "Penny Pilot Security" applies to those issues that are quoted pursuant to Exchange Rule 21.5, Interpretation and Policy .01.

The Exchange notes that it still applies a single rate for orders routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury.

associated fee codes applicable to routing to away options exchanges, as further described below.

With respect to Non-Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt two fee codes: (1) fee code RN, which would result in a fee of \$0.85 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities; and (2) fee code RO, which would result in a fee of \$1.20 per contract and would apply to all Non-Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Non-Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from \$0.56 per contract (fee code RF, applicable to Non-Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities executed at EDGX Options) to \$1.25 per contract (fee code QG, applicable to Non-Customer orders executed at NOM in Non-Penny Pilot Securities).

With respect to Customer orders, the Exchange proposes to adopt three fee codes: (1) fee code RP, which would result in a fee of \$0.25 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders routed to and executed at AMEX, BOX, BX Options, CBOE, EDGX Options, ISE Mercury, MIAX or PHLX; (2) fee code RQ, which would result in a fee of \$0.70 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM; and (3) fee code RR, which would result in a fee of \$0.90 per contract and would apply to all Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities routed to and executed at ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM. The Exchange notes that the current range of fees applicable to Customer orders routed to other options exchanges is from no charge per contract (fee codes BD, applicable to Customer orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities executed at BX Options, and fee codes RC and RD, applicable to Customer orders in Penny Pilot Securities and Non-

Penny Pilot Securities, respectively, executed at EDGX Options) to \$0.90 per contract (fee codes AD, GD and QD, applicable to Customer orders executed at ARCA, ISE Gemini, and NOM, respectively, in Non-Penny Pilot Securities). <sup>15</sup>

As a general matter, the groupings described above in most instances attempt to differentiate between the Routing Costs applicable to either executions of orders in Penny Pilot Securities versus those in Non-Penny Pilot Securities or between fee ranges typical of exchanges that operate primarily a maker/taker or price/time market model (generally imposing higher fees, including for Customer orders) versus exchanges that operate primarily a pro rata or customer priority market model (generally imposing lower fees, especially for Customer orders).

As set forth above, the Exchange's proposed approach to routing fees is to set forth in a simple manner certain flat fees that approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges. The Exchange will then monitor the fees charged as compared to the costs of its routing services, as well as monitoring for specific fee changes by other options exchanges, and intends to adjust its flat routing fees and/or groupings to ensure that the Exchange's fees do indeed result in a rough approximation of overall Routing Costs, and are not significantly higher or lower in any area. Although there may be instances where the Exchanges fee to a particular options exchange is indeed significantly higher than the fee charged by such options exchange, the Exchange believes that this is

The Exchange again notes that it currently applies a single rate for orders routed to and executed at the newest options exchange, ISE Mercury. As such, Customer orders execute at ISE Mercury technically pay the highest rate today, a fee of \$0.99 per contract.

appropriate for several reasons discussed in further detail below, including the simplicity that it will provide Users of the Exchange's routing services.

#### <u>Implementation Date</u>

The Exchange proposes to implement these amendments to its fee schedule immediately.

#### 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with the requirements of the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder that are applicable to a national securities exchange, and, in particular, with the requirements of Section 6 of the Act. Specifically, the Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b)(4) of the Act, in that it provides for the equitable allocation of reasonable dues, fees and other charges among members and other persons using any facility or system which the Exchange operates or controls. The Exchange notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues if they deem fee levels to be excessive.

Volume-based rebates such as those currently maintained on the Exchange have been widely adopted by options exchanges, including the Exchange, and are equitable because they are open to all Members on an equal basis and provide additional benefits or discounts that are reasonably related to the value to an exchange's market quality associated with higher levels of market activity, such as higher levels of liquidity

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f.

<sup>15</sup> U.S.C. 78f(b)(4).

provision and/or growth patterns, and introduction of higher volumes of orders into the price and volume discovery processes.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to change the standard fee charged for Non-Customer orders that remove liquidity in Non-Penny Pilot Securities under fee code NP from \$0.94 to \$0.99 per contract is reasonable, fair and equitable and nondiscriminatory, because the change will apply equally to all participants, and because, while the change marks an increase in fees for orders in Non-Penny Pilot Securities, such proposed fees remain consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as competitors of the Exchange and does not represent a significant departure from the Exchange's general pricing structure and will allow the Exchange to earn additional revenue that can be used to offset the addition of new pricing incentives, including those introduced as part of this proposal. The Exchange also believes that its proposal to adopt a tiered pricing structure that will result in a reduced fee for all Members qualifying for the tier mitigates the increased fee. The tier is itself reasonable, fair and equitable and non-discriminatory for the reasons set forth above with respect to volume-based pricing generally, and also because the change will apply equally to all participants, the proposed fee under the tier remains consistent with pricing previously offered by the Exchange as well as competitors of the Exchange and does not represent a significant departure from the Exchange's general pricing structure.

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend QIP Tier 3 and add a new QIP Tier 4 under footnote 5 is reasonable, fair and equitable and non-discriminatory, for the reasons set forth above with respect to volume-based pricing generally. In addition, the Exchange believes the reduction of the rebate offered under QIP Tier 3 is equitable and

reasonable because of the adoption of QIP Tier 4, which will still provide Members with the ability to earn the current rebate provided by QIP Tier 3, albeit only if such Members satisfy the increased criteria. The Exchange also notes that although registration as a Market Maker is required to qualify for QIP, such registration is available to all Members on an equal basis. The Exchange also believes that proposed QIP Tier 4 is reasonable, fair and equitable, and non-discriminatory because it, like the QIP generally, is aimed to incentivize active market making on the Exchange.

With respect to the proposed routing structure, the Exchange again notes that it operates in a highly competitive market in which market participants can readily direct order flow to competing venues or providers of routing services if they deem fee levels to be excessive. As explained above, the Exchange proposes to approximate the cost of routing to other options exchanges, including other applicable costs to the Exchange for routing, in order to provide a simplified and easy to understand pricing model. The Exchange believes that a pricing model based on approximate Routing Costs is a reasonable, fair and equitable approach to pricing. Specifically, the Exchange believes that its proposal to modify fees is fair, equitable and reasonable because the fees are generally an approximation of the cost to the Exchange for routing orders to such exchanges. The Exchange believes that its flat fee structure for orders routed to various venues is a fair and equitable approach to pricing, as it will provide certainty with respect to execution fees at groups of away options exchanges. In order to achieve its flat fee structure, taking all costs to the Exchange into account, the Exchange will necessarily charge a higher premium to route to certain options exchanges than to others. As a general matter, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees will allow it to recoup and

cover its costs of providing routing services to such exchanges and to make some additional profit in exchange for the services it provides. The Exchange also believes that the proposed fee structure for orders routed to and executed at these away options exchanges is fair and equitable and not unreasonably discriminatory in that it applies equally to all Members. Finally, the Exchange notes that it intends to consistently evaluate its routing fees, including profit and loss attributable to routing, as applicable, in connection with the operation of a flat fee routing service, and would consider future adjustments to the proposed pricing structure to the extent it was recouping a significant profit or loss from routing to away options exchanges.

#### (B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange believes the proposed amendments to its fee schedule would not impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. Rather, the proposal is a competitive proposal that is seeking to further the growth of the Exchange and to simplify the Exchange's fees for routing orders to away options exchanges. With respect to the tiered pricing changes, the Exchange has structured the proposed fees and rebates to attract additional volume to the Exchange based on pricing that is competitive with that offered by other options exchanges. In particular, by offering tiered pricing the Exchange is incentivizing Members to maintain and/or increase the liquidity provided to the Exchange, which is representative of the competitive nature of the options markets. With respect to the proposed routing fee structure, the Exchange believes that the proposed fees are competitive in that they will provide a simple approach to routing pricing that some Members may favor.

Additionally, Members may opt to disfavor the Exchange's pricing, including pricing for

transactions on the Exchange as well as routing fees, if they believe that alternatives offer them better value. In particular, with respect to routing services, such services are available to Members from other broker-dealers as well as other options exchanges. The Exchange also notes that Members may choose to mark their orders as ineligible for routing to avoid incurring routing fees. Accordingly, the Exchange does not believe that the proposed change will impair the ability of Members or competing venues to maintain their competitive standing in the financial markets.

(C) <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others</u>

The Exchange has not solicited, and does not intend to solicit, comments on this proposed rule change. The Exchange has not received any written comments from members or other interested parties.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act<sup>19</sup> and paragraph (f) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.<sup>20</sup> At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

See Exchange Rule 21.1(d)(7) (describing "Book Only" orders) and Exchange Rule 21.9(a)(1) (describing the Exchange's routing process, which requires orders to be designated as available for routing).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f).

#### IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

#### **Electronic Comments:**

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. Please include File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-14 on the subject line.

#### Paper Comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-14. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the

SR-BatsBZX-2016-14 Exhibit 1 Page 29 of 33

principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-BatsBZX-2016-14 and should be submitted on or before [\_\_\_\_\_21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority. <sup>21</sup>

Robert W. Errett Deputy Secretary

<sup>21</sup> 

### EXHIBIT 5

Proposed new language is <u>underlined</u>; proposed deletions are in [brackets].

## **Bats BZX Options Exchange Fee Schedule**

## Effective [April 7]May 2, 2016

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **Standard Rates:**

	Penny Pilot Securities			Non-Penny Pilot Securities			Mini Options					
		Add	Re	move		Add	Re	emove	A	Add	Rei	move
	Code	Rates	Code	Rates	Code	Rates	Code	Rates	Code	Rates	Code	Rates
Customer	PY	(\$0.25) (\$0.40) (\$0.48) (\$0.50) (\$0.52) (\$0.53)	PC	\$0.48	NY	(\$0.85) (\$1.00)	NC	\$0.85	MA	Free	MR	Free
Professional	PA	(\$0.40) (\$0.43)	PP	\$0.50 \$0.49	NA	(\$0.65)	NP	[\$0.94] <u>\$0.99</u>				
Firm/BD/JBO	PF	(\$0.36) (\$0.40) (\$0.42) (\$0.43) (\$0.46)		\$0.47 \$0.46	NF	(\$0.36) (\$0.45) (\$0.65) (\$0.67)		\$0.95				
Market Maker	PM	(\$0.35) (\$0.40) (\$0.42)			NM	(\$0.42) (\$0.45) (\$0.52)						
Away Market Maker	PN	(\$0.30) (\$0.40) (\$0.43) (\$0.46)			NN	(\$0.36) (\$0.45) (\$0.52)						

## **Fee Codes and Associated Fees:**

Fee	Description	Fee/(Rebate)
Code		
[2C	Routed to C2 (Customer)	0.70
2F	Routed to C2 (Non-Customer)	0.72
AC	Routed to ARCA (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.70
AD	Routed to ARCA (Customer), Non-Penny	0.90

AF	Routed to ARCA (Non-Customer), Penny Pilot	0.65
AG	Routed to ARCA (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	1.15
BC	Routed to BX Options (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.12
BD	Routed to BX Options (Customer), Non-Penny	Free
BF	Routed to BX Options (Customer), Non-Yenry Pilot	0.65
BG	Routed to BX Options (Non-Customer), Feliny Flot  Routed to BX Options (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	0.05
CC	Routed to CBOE (Customer)	0.73
CF	Routed to CBOE (Customer)	0.75]
D1-DM	(No change).	0.73]
[GC	Routed to ISE Gemini (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.52
GD	Routed to ISE Gemini (Customer), Non-Penny	0.90
GF	Routed to ISE Gemini (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.65
GG	Routed to ISE Gemini (Non-Customer), Von-Penny	0.95
HC	Routed to PHLX (Customer)	0.52
HF	Routed to PHLX (Non-Customer)	0.70
IC	Routed to ISE (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.70
ID	Routed to ISE (Customer), Non-Penny	0.15
IF	Routed to ISE (Customer), Non-Yenny Pilot	0.15
IG	Routed to ISE (Non-Customer), Tenny 1 not  Routed to ISE (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	0.65]
MA	(No change).	0.03]
[MC	Routed to MIAX (Customer)	0.15
MF	Routed to MIAX (Customer)  Routed to MIAX (Non-Customer)	0.13
MR-	(No change).	0.63]
NN <sup>4,11</sup>	(No change).	
NP <sup>13</sup>	Removes liquidity (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	0.[94]99
NY <sup>12</sup>	(No change).	0.[21] <u>22</u>
[OC	Routed to BOX (Customer)	0.15
OF	Routed to BOX (Non-Customer)	1.20]
00-	(No change).	1.20]
$PY^1$	(140 change).	
[QC	Routed to NOM (Customer), Penny Pilot	0.70
QD	Routed to NOM (Customer), Non-Penny	0.90
QF	Routed to NOM (Non-Customer), Penny Pilot	0.70
QG	Routed to NOM (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	1.25
RC	Routed to EDGX (Customer), Penny Pilot	Free
RD	Routed to EDGX (Customer), Non-Penny	Free
RF	Routed to EDGX (Non-Customer), Penny Pilot	0.56
RG	Routed to EDGX (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	0.96]
RN	Routed (Non-Customer), Penny Pilot	0.85
RO	Routed (Non-Customer), Non-Penny	1.20
RP	Routed (Customer) to AMEX, BOX, BX Options, CBOE, EDGX,	0.25
<del></del>	ISE Mercury, MIAX or PHLX	<u>0.25</u>
RQ	Routed (Customer) to ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM, Penny	0.70
	Pilot	<u> </u>
RR	Routed (Customer) to ARCA, C2, ISE, ISE Gemini or NOM, Non-	0.90

	<u>Penny</u>	
[XC	Routed to AMEX (Customer)	0.15
XF	Routed to AMEX (Non-Customer)	0.65
YC	Routed to ISE Mercury	0.99]
ZC-ZF	(No change).	

\* \* \* \* \*

#### **Footnotes:**

1-4 (No change).

## <sup>5</sup> Quoting Incentive Program ("QIP") Tiers

Applicable to the following fee codes: PM and NM.

The additional rebate is per contract for an order that adds liquidity to the BZX Options order book in options classes in which a Member is a Market Maker registered on BZX Options pursuant to Rule 22.2. A Market Maker must be registered with BZX Options in an average of 20% or more of the associated options series in a class in order to qualify for QIP rebates for that class.

Tier	Additional rebate per contract to Add	Required Criteria
QIP Tier 1-QIP Tier 2	(No change).	
QIP Tier 3	(\$0.[06] <u>05</u> )	Member has an ADV equal to or greater than 2.5% of average TCV
QIP Tier 4	(\$0.06)	Member has an ADV equal to or greater than 3.5% of average TCV

<sup>6-12 (</sup>No change).

## 13 Non-Customer Non-Penny Pilot Take Volume Tier

#### Applicable to fee code NP.

<u>Tier</u>	Fee per contract for a Non- Customer Order to Take for Non- Penny Pilot Securities	Required Criteria
Non-Customer Non- Penny Pilot Take	<u>\$0.95</u>	(1) Member has an ADAV in Customer Orders in

Volume Tier	Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.05% of
	average TCV  (2) Member has an ADV in
	Non-Customer Orders that remove liquidity in
	Non-Penny Pilot Securities equal to or greater than 0.10% of
	greater than 0.10% of average TCV

\* \* \* \* \*