

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
 Estimated average burden
 hours per response.....38

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 21

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549
 Form 19b-4

File No.* SR - 2015 - * 47
 Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)

Filing by EDGA Exchange, Inc.

Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial *	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(b)(2) *	Section 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
			Rule		
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010

Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant
 to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Section 806(e)(1) *

Section 806(e)(2) *

Section 3C(b)(2) *

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document



Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document



Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

EDGA Exchange, Inc. proposes a rule change to Rule 11.6(n)(1), Routing/Posting Instructions, to amend the Aggressive instruction.

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Chris Last Name * Solgan
 Title * Assistant General Counsel
 E-mail * csolgan@bats.com
 Telephone * (646) 856-8723 Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date 12/16/2015

By Chris Solgan

(Name *)

Assistant General Counsel

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

csolgan@bats.com

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549	
For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFT website.	
<div>Form 19b-4 Information *</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.
<div>Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
<div>Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies *</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)
<div>Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div> <div>Exhibit Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/></div>	Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.
<div>Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div> <div>Exhibit Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/></div>	Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.
<div>Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.
<div>Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.
<div>Partial Amendment</div> <div><div>Add</div><div>Remove</div><div>View</div></div>	If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of Proposed Rule Change

(a) Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² EDGA Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGA”) is filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposal to amend the Aggressive instruction under Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(1) to route such orders where that order has been locked or crossed by other Trading Centers. The proposed rule change is based on recently filed proposed rule changes by BATS Exchange, Inc. (“BZX”) and BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”).³ The Exchange has designated this proposal as “non-controversial” and provided the Commission with the notice required by Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.⁴ The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day pre-operative waiting period contained in Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) under the Act.⁵

The text of the proposed rule change is attached as Exhibit 5. Material proposed to be added is underlined. Material proposed to be deleted is enclosed in brackets.

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 76623 (December 11, 2015) (SR-BATS-2015-112), and 76625 (December 11, 2015) (SR-BYX-2015-49) (amending the Aggressive Re-Route instruction under BYX and BZX Rules 11.13(b)(4)(A) to route such orders where that order has been locked or crossed by other Trading Centers).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

⁵ Id.

The proposed rule change was approved by senior management of the Exchange pursuant to authority delegated by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on February 11, 2014. Exchange staff will advise the Board of Directors of the Exchange of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No other action is necessary for the filing of the rule change.

The persons on the Exchange staff prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change are:

Eric Swanson
EVP, General Counsel
(913) 815-7000

Chris Solgan
Assistant General Counsel
(646) 856-8723

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

a. Purpose

In early 2014, the Exchange and its affiliate, EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") received approval to effect a merger (the "Merger") of the Exchange's parent company, Direct Edge Holdings LLC, with BATS Global Markets, Inc., the parent of BZX and BYX (together with BZX, EDGA and EDGX, the "BGM Affiliated Exchanges").⁶ In the context of the Merger, the BGM Affiliated Exchanges are working to align their rules and functionality, retaining only intended differences between the BGM Affiliated Exchanges. Thus, the Exchange proposes to amend the Aggressive instruction under Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(1) in order to conform with recently filed proposed rule changes by BYX and BZX⁷ to provide a consistent rule set across each of the BGM Affiliated

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71449 (January 30, 2014), 79 FR 6961 (February 5, 2014) (SR-EDGX-2013-43; SR-EDGA-2013-34).

⁷ See supra note 3.

Exchanges.⁸

Users⁹ may submit Limit Orders¹⁰ to the Exchange that are processed pursuant to Exchange Rules 11.10(a) and 11.11, as set forth below. Rule 11.10(a) describes the process by which an incoming order would execute against the EDGA Book.¹¹ To the extent an order has not been executed in its entirety against the EDGA Book, Rule 11.11 then describes the process of routing marketable Limit Orders to one or more Trading Centers, including a description of how the Exchange treats any unfilled balance that returns to the Exchange following the first attempt to fill the order through the routing process. If not filled through routing, and based on the order instructions, the unfilled balance of the order may be posted to the EDGA Book.

The Aggressive instruction subjects an order to the routing process after being posted to the EDGA Book only if the order is subsequently crossed by another Trading Center (rather than if the order is locked or crossed). Further, a routable Limit Order with a Non-Displayed¹² instruction posted to the EDGA Book that is crossed by another accessible Trading Center will be automatically routed to the crossing Trading Center. The Exchange proposes to modify the Aggressive instruction to also provide that, where the order is locked by another accessible Trading Center, it would be automatically

⁸ The Exchange notes that EDGA intends to file an identical proposal with the Commission.

⁹ The term “User” means “any Member or Sponsored Participant who is authorized to obtain access to the System pursuant to Rule 11.3.” See Exchange Rule 1.5(ee).

¹⁰ See Exchange Rule 11.8(b).

¹¹ See Exchange Rule 1.5(d).

¹² See Exchange Rule 11.6(e)(2).

routed to the locking Trading Center. The proposed amendment would also apply to orders with a Non-Displayed instruction and Aggressive instruction.¹³

b. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁴ and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁵ because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the proposed changes are designed to provide Users with additional control over their orders in the context of a national market system where quotations may lock or cross orders posted to the EDGA Book and to facilitate executions on the Exchange consistent with User instructions. Thus, the proposals are directly targeted at removing impediments to and perfecting the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system.

The proposed rule change also is designed to support the principles of Section

¹³ The Exchange also provides the Super Aggressive instruction which directs the System to route the order if an away Trading Center locks or crosses the limit price of the order resting on the EDGA Book. See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(2). When any order with a Super Aggressive instruction is locked by an incoming order with a Post Only instruction that does not remove liquidity pursuant to Rule 11.6(n)(4) below, the order with a Super Aggressive instruction is converted to an executable order and will remove liquidity against such incoming order (“liquidity swap functionality”). Id. Once amended, the only difference between the Aggressive and Super Aggressive instructions would be that the liquidity swap functionality described above would be available to an order subject to the Super Aggressive instruction and not available to an order subject to the Aggressive instruction.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

11A(a)(1)¹⁶ of the Act in that it seeks to assure fair competition among brokers and dealers and among exchange markets. Lastly, the Exchange notes that the proposed amendments to the Aggressive instruction previously existed on BZX and BYX as the RECYCLE routing option.¹⁷

Consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁸ the proposed rule change, combined with the planned filing for EDGX, would allow the BGM Affiliated Exchanges to provide a consistent set of rules as it relates to the routing of orders that are locked or crossed by a Trading Center. Consistent rules, in turn, will simplify the regulatory requirements for Members of the Exchange that are also participants on EDGA, BYZ and/or BZX. The proposed rule change would provide greater harmonization between rules of similar purpose on the BGM Affiliated Exchanges, resulting in greater uniformity and less burdensome and more efficient regulatory compliance and understanding of Exchange Rules. As such, the proposed rule change would foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities and would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78k-1(a)(1).

¹⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 59967 (May 21, 2009), 74 FR 25793 (May 29, 2009) (SR-BATS-2009-015) (proposing to allow the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order); 62404 (June 30, 2010), 75 FR 39303 (July 8, 2010) (SR-BATS-2010-017) (naming the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order as the RECYCLE routing option); and 63097 (October 13, 2010), 75 FR 64767 (October 20, 2010) (SR-BATS-2010-002) (naming the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order as the RECYCLE routing option).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

open market and a national market system.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that proposed amendment to the Aggressive functionality encourages competition by increasing the likelihood of executions of orders that have been posted to the Exchange. The increased likelihood of an execution where the order is locked by a quotation on a Trading Center should attract additional order flow to the Exchange.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

Not applicable.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

The Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁰ The Exchange asserts that the proposed rule change: (1) will not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, (2) will not impose any significant burden on competition, (3) and will not become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate. In addition, the Exchange provided the

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 C.F.R. 240.19b-4.

Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing.²¹

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change meets the criteria of subparagraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4²² because the proposed rule change would not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest. The Exchange notes that the proposed amendments to the Aggressive Re-Route instruction previously existed on BZX and BYX as the RECYCLE routing option, which was subject to public notice and comment.²³ Therefore, the proposal does not propose any new or unique functionality that has not already been considered by the Commission. Based on the foregoing, the Exchange has accordingly designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁴ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁵

The Exchange respectfully requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative delay so that the proposed rule change may become effective and operative upon filing with the Commission pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act²⁶ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.²⁷ Waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will allow the Exchange

²¹ 17 C.F.R. 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

²² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

²³ See supra note 17.

²⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁵ 17 C.F.R. 240.19b-4.

²⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁷ 17 C.F.R. 240.19b-4(f)(6).

to immediately provide Users with additional control over their orders in the context of a national market system where quotations may lock or cross orders posted to the EDGA Book and to facilitate executions on the Exchange consistent with User instructions. In addition, it would also allow the Exchange to retain or attract additional order flow by increasing the likelihood of an execution where an order is locked by a quotation on a Trading Center. Waiver of the operative delay is also consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest because it will allow the Exchange to have consistent rules across each of the BGM Affiliated Exchanges regarding the instructions available when an order is locked or crossed by a Trading Center, thus avoiding any potential investor confusion during the operative delay period.²⁸

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission may summarily temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (1) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (2) for the protection of investors; or (3) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. Security Based- Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and

²⁸ The Exchange will provide Members with reasonable advance notice of the proposed rule change's implementation date.

Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1: Completed Notice of the Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 2–4: Not applicable.

Exhibit 5: Text of Proposed Rule Change

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

(Release No. 34-_____; File No. SR-EDGA-2015-47)

Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGA Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change to Rule 11.6(n)(1), Routing/Posting Instructions, to Amend the Aggressive Instruction

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on _____, EDGA Exchange, Inc. (the “Exchange” or “EDGA”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I and II below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Exchange has designated this proposal as a “non-controversial” proposed rule change pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act³ and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii) thereunder,⁴ which renders it effective upon filing with the Commission. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange filed a proposal to amend the Aggressive instruction under Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(1) to route such orders where that order has been locked or crossed by other Trading Centers. The proposed rule change is based on recently filed

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

proposed rule changes by BATS Exchange, Inc. (“BZX”) and BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. (“BYX”).⁵

The text of the proposed rule change is available at the Exchange’s website at www.batstrading.com, at the principal office of the Exchange, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in Sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant parts of such statements.

(A) Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

In early 2014, the Exchange and its affiliate, EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”) received approval to effect a merger (the “Merger”) of the Exchange’s parent company, Direct Edge Holdings LLC, with BATS Global Markets, Inc., the parent of BZX and BYX (together with BZX, EDGA and EDGX, the “BGM Affiliated Exchanges”).⁶ In the

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 76623 (December 11, 2015) (SR-BATS-2015-112), and 76625 (December 11, 2015) (SR-BYX-2015-49) (amending the Aggressive Re-Route instruction under BYX and BZX Rules 11.13(b)(4)(A) to route such orders where that order has been locked or crossed by other Trading Centers).

⁶ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 71449 (January 30, 2014), 79 FR 6961

context of the Merger, the BGM Affiliated Exchanges are working to align their rules and functionality, retaining only intended differences between the BGM Affiliated Exchanges. Thus, the Exchange proposes to amend the Aggressive instruction under Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(1) in order to conform with recently filed proposed rule changes by BYX and BZX⁷ to provide a consistent rule set across each of the BGM Affiliated Exchanges.⁸

Users⁹ may submit Limit Orders¹⁰ to the Exchange that are processed pursuant to Exchange Rules 11.10(a) and 11.11, as set forth below. Rule 11.10(a) describes the process by which an incoming order would execute against the EDGA Book.¹¹ To the extent an order has not been executed in its entirety against the EDGA Book, Rule 11.11 then describes the process of routing marketable Limit Orders to one or more Trading Centers, including a description of how the Exchange treats any unfilled balance that returns to the Exchange following the first attempt to fill the order through the routing process. If not filled through routing, and based on the order instructions, the unfilled balance of the order may be posted to the EDGA Book.

(February 5, 2014) (SR-EDGX-2013-43; SR-EDGA-2013-34).

⁷ See supra note 5.

⁸ The Exchange notes that EDGA intends to file an identical proposal with the Commission.

⁹ The term “User” means “any Member or Sponsored Participant who is authorized to obtain access to the System pursuant to Rule 11.3.” See Exchange Rule 1.5(ee).

¹⁰ See Exchange Rule 11.8(b).

¹¹ See Exchange Rule 1.5(d).

The Aggressive instruction subjects an order to the routing process after being posted to the EDGA Book only if the order is subsequently crossed by another Trading Center (rather than if the order is locked or crossed). Further, a routable Limit Order with a Non-Displayed¹² instruction posted to the EDGA Book that is crossed by another accessible Trading Center will be automatically routed to the crossing Trading Center. The Exchange proposes to modify the Aggressive instruction to also provide that, where the order is locked by another accessible Trading Center, it would be automatically routed to the locking Trading Center. The proposed amendment would also apply to orders with a Non-Displayed instruction and Aggressive instruction.¹³

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁴ and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act¹⁵ because it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and

¹² See Exchange Rule 11.6(e)(2).

¹³ The Exchange also provides the Super Aggressive instruction which directs the System to route the order if an away Trading Center locks or crosses the limit price of the order resting on the EDGA Book. See Exchange Rule 11.6(n)(2). When any order with a Super Aggressive instruction is locked by an incoming order with a Post Only instruction that does not remove liquidity pursuant to Rule 11.6(n)(4) below, the order with a Super Aggressive instruction is converted to an executable order and will remove liquidity against such incoming order (“liquidity swap functionality”). *Id.* Once amended, the only difference between the Aggressive and Super Aggressive instructions would be that the liquidity swap functionality described above would be available to an order subject to the Super Aggressive instruction and not available to an order subject to the Aggressive instruction.

¹⁴ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

¹⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Specifically, the proposed changes are designed to provide Users with additional control over their orders in the context of a national market system where quotations may lock or cross orders posted to the EDGA Book and to facilitate executions on the Exchange consistent with User instructions. Thus, the proposals are directly targeted at removing impediments to and perfecting the mechanism of a free and open market and national market system. The proposed rule change also is designed to support the principles of Section 11A(a)(1)¹⁶ of the Act in that it seeks to assure fair competition among brokers and dealers and among exchange markets. Lastly, the Exchange notes that the proposed amendments to the Aggressive instruction previously existed on BZX and BYX as the RECYCLE routing option.¹⁷

Consistent with Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,¹⁸ the proposed rule change, combined with the planned filing for EDGX, would allow the BGM Affiliated Exchanges to

¹⁶ 15 U.S.C. 78k-1(a)(1).

¹⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release Nos. 59967 (May 21, 2009), 74 FR 25793 (May 29, 2009) (SR-BATS-2009-015) (proposing to allow the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order); 62404 (June 30, 2010), 75 FR 39303 (July 8, 2010) (SR-BATS-2010-017) (naming the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order as the RECYCLE routing option); and 63097 (October 13, 2010), 75 FR 64767 (October 20, 2010) (SR-BATS-2010-002) (naming the designation of an order as eligible for re-routing after being posted to the BATS Book if another Trading Center has locked or crossed the posted order as the RECYCLE routing option).

¹⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

provide a consistent set of rules as it relates to the routing of orders that are locked or crossed by a Trading Center. Consistent rules, in turn, will simplify the regulatory requirements for Members of the Exchange that are also participants on EDGA, BYZ and/or BZX. The proposed rule change would provide greater harmonization between rules of similar purpose on the BGM Affiliated Exchanges, resulting in greater uniformity and less burdensome and more efficient regulatory compliance and understanding of Exchange Rules. As such, the proposed rule change would foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in facilitating transactions in securities and would remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system.

(B) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will result in any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Exchange believes that proposed amendment to the Aggressive functionality encourages competition by increasing the likelihood of executions of orders that have been posted to the Exchange. The increased likelihood of an execution where the order is locked by a quotation on a Trading Center should attract additional order flow to the Exchange.

(C) Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not: (A) significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (B) impose any significant burden on competition; and (C) by its terms, become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed or such shorter time as the Commission may designate it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁹ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder,²⁰ the Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial. The Exchange has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is: (1) necessary or appropriate in the public interest; (2) for the protection of investors; or (3) otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposal is consistent with the Act.

Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

²⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

Electronic Comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File No. SR-EDGA-2015-47 on the subject line.

Paper Comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File No. SR-EDGA-2015-47. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 am and 3:00 pm. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File No. SR-EDGA-2015-47 and should be submitted on or before [_____] 21 days from publication

in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²¹

Robert W. Errett
Deputy Secretary

²¹ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Note: Proposed new language is underlined. Proposed deletions are enclosed in [brackets].

Rules of EDGA Exchange, Inc.

* * * * *

CHAPTER XI. TRADING RULES

* * * * *

Rule 11.6. Definitions

(a) – (m) (No change).

(n) Routing/Posting Instructions

(1) Aggressive. An order instruction that directs the System to route the order if an away Trading Center locks or crosses the limit price of the order resting on the EDGA Book. Any routable order with a Non-Displayed instruction that is resting on the EDGA Book and is locked or crossed by an away Trading Center will be automatically routed to the Trading Center displaying the Locking Quotation or Crossing Quotation.

* * * * *