



SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EDFS website.

**Form 19b-4 Information (required)**

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

**Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change (required)**

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

**Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

**Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire**

Add Remove View

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

**Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies**

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

**Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text**

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

**Partial Amendment**

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

(a) C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “C2”) proposes to amend its rules regarding System<sup>1</sup> access, connectivity, and testing by Participants. The text of the proposed rule change is provided below.

(additions are underlined; deletions are [bracketed])

\* \* \* \* \*

**C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated**

**Rules**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Rule 6.34. Participant Electronic Connectivity**

(a) The Exchange may limit the number of messages sent by Participants accessing the Exchange electronically in order to protect the integrity of the System. In addition, the Exchange may impose restrictions on the use of a computer connected through an application programming interface (“API”) if it believes such restrictions are necessary to ensure the proper performance of the System. Any such restrictions shall be objectively determined and submitted to the Commission for approval pursuant to a rule change filing under Section 19(b) of the Exchange Act.

(b) No change.

(c) The System shall be available for entry and execution of orders only to Participants and persons associated with Participants with authorized access. The Exchange will require a Participant to enter into a software user or license agreement with the Exchange in such form or forms as the Exchange may prescribe in order to obtain authorized access to the System, if the Participant elects to use an API for which the Exchange has determined such an agreement is necessary.

(d) The Exchange may prescribe technical specifications pursuant to which all Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants (e.g., DPMs, Market-Makers), may establish an electronic connection to the System and its facilities. The Exchange will announce to Participants via Regulatory Circular any such prescription.

(e) Mandatory Systems Testing

(i) Each Participant that the Exchange designates as required to participate in a system test must conduct or participate in the testing of its computer systems to ascertain the

---

<sup>1</sup> The System means the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of options products

compatibility of such systems with the System in the manner and frequency prescribed by the Exchange. The Exchange will designate Participants as required to participate in a system test based on: (A) the category of the Participant (e.g. Market-Maker, DPM); (B) the computer system(s) the Participant uses; and (C) the manner in which the Participant connects to the System. The Exchange will give Participants reasonable notice of any mandatory systems test, which notice will specify the nature of the test and Participants' obligations in participation in the test.

(ii) Every Participant required by the Exchange to conduct or participate in testing of computer systems shall provide to the Exchange such reports relating to the testing as the Exchange may prescribe. Participants shall maintain adequate documentation of tests required by this Rule and results of such testing for examination by the Exchange.

(iii) A Participant that is subject to this Rule, and that fails to conduct or participate in the tests, fails to file the required reports, or fails to maintain the required documentation, may be subject to summary suspension or other action taken pursuant to Chapter 16 (Summary Suspension) and/or disciplinary action pursuant to Chapter 17 (Discipline).

\* \* \* \* \*

## **CHAPTER 17**

### **Discipline**

The rules contained in CBOE Chapter XVII, as such rules may be in effect from time to time, shall apply to C2 and are hereby incorporated into this Chapter. C2 Participants shall comply with CBOE Chapter XVII as if such rules were part of the C2 Rules. Unless the context dictates otherwise, the following terms, or any variations of these terms, from CBOE Chapter XVII shall have the following meanings for purposes of this Chapter: "Exchange" and "CBOE" shall mean C2; "Trading Permit Holder" (i.e., CBOE Trading Permit Holder) shall mean "Permit Holder"; "Trading Permit Holders" shall mean "Permit Holders"; and "Clearing Trading Permit Holder" shall mean "Clearing Participant."

Notwithstanding the above paragraph, with respect to its applicability to C2 only, CBOE Rule 17.50(g)(14) and (19) will be replaced in [its]their entirety with the following:

#### **(14) Failure to Meet C2 Quoting Obligations**

A fine shall be imposed upon a Market-Maker or Preferred Market-Maker (as applicable) in accordance with the fine schedule set forth below for failure to meet its continuous quoting obligations (Rule 8.5(a)(1) and Rule 8.13(d)).

<i>Number of Offenses in Any Rolling Twenty-Four Month Period</i>	<i>Fine Amount</i>
1st Offense	\$2,000 to \$4,000
2nd Offense	\$4,000 to \$5,000
Subsequent Offenses	\$5,000 or Referral to Business Conduct Committee

**(19) Failure to Conduct or Participate in Mandatory Systems Testing (Rule 6.34(e))**

A fine shall be imposed upon a Participant who fails to conduct or participate in the testing of computer systems or fails to provide required reports or maintain required documentation in violation of Rule 6.34(e). Such fines shall be imposed on the basis of the following schedule:

<u>Number of Offenses in One Calendar Year</u>	<u>Fine Amount</u>
<u>1st Offense</u>	<u>\$250</u>
<u>2nd Offense</u>	<u>\$500</u>
<u>3rd Offense</u>	<u>\$1,000</u>
<u>4th Offense</u>	<u>\$2,000</u>
<u>Subsequent Offenses</u>	<u>Referral to Business Conduct Committee</u>

\* \* \* \* \*

(b) Not applicable.

(c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

(a) The Exchange's President pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on September 25, 2012.

(b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Joanne Moffic-Silver, (312) 786-7462, or Laura Dickman, (312) 786-7572, C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated, 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Item 3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules regarding System access, connectivity, and testing by Participants. The Exchange makes available to Participants various application programming interfaces (“APIs”),<sup>2</sup> such as CBOE Market Interface (“CMi”) and Financial Information eXchange (“FIX”) Protocol, for authorized Participants to use to access the System.<sup>3</sup> Participants may select which of these APIs they would like to use to connect to the System when registering with the Exchange for System access. The Exchange believes it is important to provide Participants with this flexibility so that they can determine the API that will be most compatible with their systems and maximize the efficiency of their systems’ connection to the System. Connection to the System allows authorized Participants to enter and execute orders, as well as submit certain order and

---

<sup>2</sup> APIs are computer programs that allow Participants to interface with the Exchange.

<sup>3</sup> Only Participants may access the System. The Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) adopted Rule 15c3-5 under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”), which, among other things, requires broker-dealers providing others with access to an exchange or alternative trading system to establish, document, and maintain a system of risk management controls and supervisory procedures reasonably designed to manage the financial, regulatory, and other risks of providing such access. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63241 (November 3, 2010), 75 FR 69792 (November 15, 2010). Rule 15c3-5 effectively eliminated “naked access” (i.e. “Sponsored Users”) to the Exchange by non-Participants and effectively requires Participants to filter all non-Participant orders prior to being sent to the Exchange. The Exchange expects to eliminate the concept of sponsored use under its Rules in connection with the adoption of Rule 15c3-5 in a separate rule filing.

trade data to the Exchange, which data the Exchange uses to conduct surveillances of its markets and Participants.

After a Participant registers with the Exchange to use a specific API, the Exchange may require the Participant to use a specific connectivity protocol that, among other things, may require the input of certain information (e.g. trading acronym, category of Participant) during the connectivity process in accordance with technical specifications established by the Exchange. The Exchange may prescribe a specific connectivity protocol for all Participants, or for certain categories of similarly situated Participants (e.g. Market-Makers, Designated Primary Market-Makers (“DPMs”)).

It is imperative for the Exchange to receive during the connectivity process information regarding a Participant’s identification so that the Exchange can ensure that the connecting party is a Participant authorized to access the System and that the Exchange is aware of what type of Participant the connecting party is. Requiring a specific connectivity protocol allows the Exchange to receive this information in a uniform manner for all Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants, as the Exchange deems necessary. This information allows the Exchange to, among other things, perform the necessary surveillances applicable to the Participant and determine whether the Participant is complying with all relevant Exchange Rules. Many of the Exchange’s surveillances are conducted by type of Participants, as different types have different responsibilities they must meet under the Exchange rules.<sup>4</sup> The Exchange believes that receiving trade data in an organized and uniform format from all

---

<sup>4</sup> For example, a DPM must satisfy quoting obligations that are different than those that a Market-Maker must satisfy, and the Exchange reviews their quoting activity to determine whether they have satisfied their respective obligations. See Rule 8.17 (obligations of DPMs) and Rule 8.5 (obligations of Market-Makers).

Participants, or types of Participants, allows it to efficiently identify Participants and monitor and conduct surveillances of its markets and Participant, and thus effectively fulfill its regulatory responsibilities. Additionally, the Exchange believes that prescribing connectivity protocols on either all Participants or categories of similarly situated Participants ensures that the Exchange makes these prescriptions in an objective manner.

The Exchange also periodically requires Participants that have been authorized to access the System to conduct or participate in the testing of their computer systems to ascertain the compatibility of these systems with the System. The Exchange believes that it is critical that Participants work closely with the Exchange in testing new software releases and other System changes. System testing allows the Exchange to ensure that Participants' systems are continuously compatible with the System in the event of System changes and that the Exchange continues to receive all necessary data from Participants in a timely manner and efficient format. Additionally, System testing allows Participants to make any necessary adjustments to their systems in the event of System changes to ensure that their connections to the System are functioning properly and that they are able to submit order and trade information in compliance with all applicable Exchange Rules.

The Exchange proposes to codify these current Exchange practices and requirements related to System access and connectivity. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) clarifies in the Rules that only Participants (and their associated persons) may be authorized to access the System to enter and execute orders. This proposed provision also provides that the Exchange will require a Participant to enter into a software user or license agreement with the Exchange in a form or forms prescribed by the Exchange in order to obtain authorized access to the System if the Participant elects to use an API for which

the Exchange has determined that this type of an agreement is necessary. In other words, whether the Exchange requires a Participant to enter into a user or license agreement will depend solely on the objective criteria of what type of API the Participant opts to use.<sup>5</sup> The proposed rule change also amends Rule 6.34(a) to clarify that the term API means application programming interface.

Proposed Rule 6.34(d) provides that the Exchange may prescribe technical specifications pursuant to which all Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants (e.g., DPMs, Market-Makers), may establish an electronic connection to the System (and any facilities). The Exchange will announce to Participants via Regulatory Circular any connectivity protocol prescription.

Proposed Rule 6.34(e)(i) provides that each Participant that the Exchange designates as required to participate in a system test must conduct or participate in the testing of its computer systems to ascertain the compatibility of such systems with the System in the manner and frequency prescribed by the Exchange. The Exchange will designate Participants as required to participate in a system test based on: (1) the category of the Participant (e.g. DPM, Market-Maker); (2) the computer system(s) the Participant uses; and (3) the manner in which the Participant connects to the System. The Exchange will give Participants reasonable notice of any mandatory systems test, which notice will specify the nature of the test and Participants' obligations in participation in the test.

---

<sup>5</sup> For example, the Exchange developed CMi and currently requires all Participants that opt to connect to the System using CMi to enter into a software license agreement with the Exchange to use CMi. The Exchange has determined that Participants that opt to connect to the System using FIX do not currently have to enter into any type of software user or license agreement, which is a universally available application for which the developer does not require a user agreement.

In connection with this mandatory system testing, proposed Rule 6.34(e)(ii) provides that every Participant required by the Exchange to conduct or participate in testing of computer systems must provide to the Exchange any reports relating to the testing as the Exchange may prescribe. Participants must maintain adequate documentation of tests required by this Rule and results of this testing for examination by the Exchange.

Proposed Rule 6.34(e)(iii) states that a Participant that fails to conduct or participate in mandatory systems tests, fails to file the required reports, or fails to maintain the required documentation, as required by proposed Rule 6.34(e)(i) and (ii), may be subject to summary suspension or other action taken pursuant to Chapter 16 (Summary Suspension) and/or disciplinary action pursuant to Chapter 17 (Discipline) of the Exchange Rules. Disciplinary action may include fines pursuant to proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19), which provides that Participants that violate proposed Rule 6.34(e) may be subject to fines under the Exchange's minor rule violation plan.<sup>6</sup> As with all other violations in the Exchange's minor rule violation plan, the Exchange retains the ability to refer a violation of the system testing requirements to its Business Conduct Committee should the circumstances warrant such a referral. The Exchange believes that violations of the proposed mandatory system testing provision are suitable for its minor rule violation plan because they are generally technical in nature. Further, including these violations into the minor rule violation plan will allow the Exchange to carry out its regulatory responsibilities more quickly and efficiently.

---

<sup>6</sup> These fines are as follows: \$250 for the first offense, \$500 for the second offense, \$1,000 for the third offense, \$2,000 for the fourth offense, and referral to the Business Conduct Committee for any subsequent offenses. The fines are based on the number of offenses in one calendar year.

Codification of these requirements gives the Exchange the ability to discipline any Participants that fail to comply with these requirements. While Participants generally comply with these requirements, their inclusion in the Rules (and the resulting potential for discipline for noncompliance) may enhance Participants' overall compliance with them.

Codification of these requirements is also consistent with the Rules of other exchanges. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is substantially similar to: BATS Exchange, Inc. ("BATS") Rule 11.3(a); BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX") Rule 7000(a); EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA") Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE") Rule 706(a); NASDAQ Option Market ("NOM") Chapter V, Section 1(a); NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") Rule 6.2A(a); and NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT") Rule 902.1NY(a). Proposed Rule 6.34(e) is substantially similar to: BATS Rule 18.13; BOX Rule 3180; ISE Rule 419; and NOM Chapter III, Section 13. BOX Rule 12140(d)(7) and ISE Rule 1614(d)(8) also allow those exchanges to fine their members for violations of their respective mandatory system provisions pursuant to their respective minor rule violation plans.<sup>7</sup>

Additionally, proposed Rule 6.34(c) is consistent with Rule 15c3-1 under the Act.<sup>8</sup>

(b) Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the

---

<sup>7</sup> The proposed fine amounts in proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19) are the same as the fine amounts in the corresponding BOX and ISE rules.

<sup>8</sup> See supra note 3.

requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>9</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.<sup>10</sup> Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirement that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to not permit unfair discrimination between customer, issuers, brokers or dealers.<sup>11</sup> The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(6)<sup>12</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange provide that its members and persons associated with its members be appropriately disciplined for violation of the provisions of the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, or the rules of the exchange, by expulsion, suspension, limitation of activities, functions, and operations, fine, censure, being suspended or barred from being associated with a member, or any other fitting sanction.

The proposed rule change codifies current Exchange requirements that enhance C2's market surveillances and System functionality. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is consistent with Rule 15c3-5 under the Act, and the Exchange believes the proposed rule change promotes compliance by Participants with the market access requirements under that rule.

---

<sup>9</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>10</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>11</sup> Id.

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

The Exchange believes that proposed Rule 6.34(d) allows the Exchange to receive from Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants, information in a uniform format, which aids the Exchange's efforts to monitor and regulate C2's markets and Participants and helps prevent fraudulent and manipulative practices. This also helps coordinate the ability of Participants to electronically trade on the Exchange with the Exchange's ability to receive the necessary information to regulate those transactions. Proposed Rule 6.34(e) allows the Exchange to ensure that Participants' connections to the System function correctly, which promotes efficiency and enhances compliance by Participants with Exchange Rules.

In addition, codification of these requirements is consistent with the Act because it gives the Exchange the ability to discipline Participants that fail to comply with these requirements, which may enhance overall Participants compliance with these requirements. This proposed rule change will also promote consistency in the minor rule violation programs of other exchanges and allow the Exchange to carry out its regulatory responsibilities more quickly and efficiently by including violations of the mandatory system testing provision in the Exchange's minor rule violation plan.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to not permit unfair discrimination among Participants, as the proposed rule change provides for the Exchange to impose requirements on Participants in an objective manner. For example, under proposed Rule 6.34(d), the Exchange may impose connectivity protocol requirements on all Participants, or similarly situated Participants. Additionally, under proposed Rule 6.34(c), whether the Exchange requires a Participant to enter into a

software user or license agreement depends solely on what type of API the Participant opts to use to connect to the System.

Finally, the proposed rule change will help remove impediments to and promote a free and open market and a national market system because it is consistent with rules in place at other exchanges and imposes substantially similar requirements on Participants as those rules do on those exchanges' members.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

C2 does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

Item 7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

(a) The proposed rule change is filed for immediate effectiveness pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A) of Act<sup>13</sup> and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)<sup>14</sup> thereunder.

(b) The Exchange designates that the proposed rule change effects a change that (i) does not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest; (ii) does not impose any significant burden on competition; and (iii) by its terms, does not become operative for 30 days after the date of the filing, or such shorter time as the

---

<sup>13</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>14</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

Commission may designate if consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange has given the Commission written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing of the proposed rule change.

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is substantially similar to the current rules at other exchanges and, as such, does not raise any new, novel, or unique issues. Additionally, the proposed rule change is codifying requirements that the Exchange already imposes on Participants and thus does not require Participants to engage in any additional conduct in order to comply with the proposed rule change.

For the foregoing reasons, this rule filing qualifies as a “non-controversial” rule change under Rule 19b-4(f)(6), which renders the proposed rule change effective upon filing with the Commission.

(c) Not applicable.

(d) Not applicable.

Item 8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules or By-Laws of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Proposed Rule 6.34(c) and (e) is based on the following rules of other self-regulatory organizations:

- Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is based on the following rules: BATS Rule 11.3(a); BOX Rule 7000(a); EDGA Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); EDGX Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); ISE Rule 706(a); NOM Chapter V, Section 1(a); NYSE Arca Rule 6.2A(a); and NYSE MKT Rule 902.1NY(a). The BATS, EDGA, EDGX, NYSE Arca, and NYSE MKT rules provide that

their systems are available for transactions only to members with authorized access, but do not mention persons associated with members. Additionally, only the BATS, EDGA, and EDGX rules require their members to enter into a user agreement to obtain authorized access to their systems. This proposed provision provides that the Exchange will require a Participant to enter into a software user or license agreement if the Participant elects to use an API for which the Exchange has determined this type of an agreement is necessary. In other words, whether the Exchange requires a Participant to enter into a user or license agreement will depend solely on the objective criteria of what type of API the Participant opts to use (e.g. currently, Participants that opt to use CMI must enter into a software license agreement with the Exchange but Participants that opt to use FIX do not have to enter into any type of user agreement).<sup>15</sup> Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is also consistent with Rule 15c3-5 under the Act.

- Proposed Rule 6.34(e) is based on: BATS Rule 18.13; BOX Rule 3180; ISE Rule 419; and NOM Chapter III, Section 13.
- Proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19) is based on BOX Rule 12140(d)(7) and ISE Rule 1614(d)(8). The proposed fine amounts in proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19) are the same as the fine amounts in the corresponding BOX and ISE rules.

---

<sup>15</sup> See supra note 5.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change will require Participants to engage in virtually the same conduct to comply with the proposed rule change that is required to comply with the rules of these other exchanges.

Item 9. Exhibits

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

EXHIBIT 1

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-C2-2012-036]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to System Access, Connectivity, and Testing

[Insert date]

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),<sup>1</sup> and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,<sup>2</sup> notice is hereby given that on [insert date], C2 Options Exchange, Incorporated (the “Exchange” or “C2”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “Commission”) the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

**I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change**

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules regarding System<sup>3</sup> access, connectivity, and testing by Participants. The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s Web site (<http://www.c2exchange.com/Legal/>), at the Exchange’s Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission.

---

<sup>1</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

<sup>2</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

<sup>3</sup> The System means the automated trading system used by the Exchange for the trading of options products.

## **II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

### **A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change**

#### **1. Purpose**

The Exchange proposes to amend its rules regarding System access, connectivity, and testing by Participants. The Exchange makes available to Participants various application programming interfaces (“APIs”),<sup>4</sup> such as CBOE Market Interface (“CMi”) and Financial Information eXchange (“FIX”) Protocol, for authorized Participants to use to access the System.<sup>5</sup> Participants may select which of these APIs they would like to use to connect to the System when registering with the Exchange for System access. The Exchange believes it is important to provide Participants with this flexibility so that they can determine the API that will be most compatible with their systems and maximize the

---

<sup>4</sup> APIs are computer programs that allow Participants to interface with the Exchange.

<sup>5</sup> Only Participants may access the System. The Commission adopted Rule 15c3-5 under the Act, which, among other things, requires broker-dealers providing others with access to an exchange or alternative trading system to establish, document, and maintain a system of risk management controls and supervisory procedures reasonably designed to manage the financial, regulatory, and other risks of providing such access. See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 63241 (November 3, 2010), 75 FR 69792 (November 15, 2010). Rule 15c3-5 effectively eliminated “naked access” (i.e. “Sponsored Users”) to the Exchange by non-Participants and effectively requires Participants to filter all non-Participant orders prior to being sent to the Exchange. The Exchange expects to eliminate the concept of sponsored use under its Rules in connection with the adoption of Rule 15c3-5 in a separate rule filing.

efficiency of their systems' connection to the System. Connection to the System allows authorized Participants to enter and execute orders, as well as submit certain order and trade data to the Exchange, which data the Exchange uses to conduct surveillances of its markets and Participants.

After a Participant registers with the Exchange to use a specific API, the Exchange may require the Participant to use a specific connectivity protocol that, among other things, may require the input of certain information (e.g. trading acronym, category of Participant) during the connectivity process in accordance with technical specifications established by the Exchange. The Exchange may prescribe a specific connectivity protocol for all Participants, or for certain categories of similarly situated Participants (e.g. Market-Makers, Designated Primary Market-Makers ("DPMs")).

It is imperative for the Exchange to receive during the connectivity process information regarding a Participant's identification so that the Exchange can ensure that the connecting party is a Participant authorized to access the System and that the Exchange is aware of what type of Participant the connecting party is. Requiring a specific connectivity protocol allows the Exchange to receive this information in a uniform manner for all Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants, as the Exchange deems necessary. This information allows the Exchange to, among other things, perform the necessary surveillances applicable to the Participant and determine whether the Participant is complying with all relevant Exchange Rules. Many of the Exchange's surveillances are conducted by type of Participants, as different types have different responsibilities they must meet under the Exchange rules.<sup>6</sup> The Exchange

---

<sup>6</sup> For example, a DPM must satisfy quoting obligations that are different than those

believes that receiving trade data in an organized and uniform format from all Participants, or types of Participants, allows it to efficiently identify Participants and monitor and conduct surveillances of its markets and Participant, and thus effectively fulfill its regulatory responsibilities. Additionally, the Exchange believes that prescribing connectivity protocols on either all Participants or categories of similarly situated Participants ensures that the Exchange makes these prescriptions in an objective manner.

The Exchange also periodically requires Participants that have been authorized to access the System to conduct or participate in the testing of their computer systems to ascertain the compatibility of these systems with the System. The Exchange believes that it is critical that Participants work closely with the Exchange in testing new software releases and other System changes. System testing allows the Exchange to ensure that Participants' systems are continuously compatible with the System in the event of System changes and that the Exchange continues to receive all necessary data from Participants in a timely manner and efficient format. Additionally, System testing allows Participants to make any necessary adjustments to their systems in the event of System changes to ensure that their connections to the System are functioning properly and that they are able to submit order and trade information in compliance with all applicable Exchange Rules.

The Exchange proposes to codify these current Exchange practices and requirements related to System access and connectivity. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) clarifies in the Rules that only Participants (and their associated persons) may be authorized to access the System to enter and execute orders. This proposed provision also provides

---

that a Market-Maker must satisfy, and the Exchange reviews their quoting activity to determine whether they have satisfied their respective obligations. See Rule 8.17 (obligations of DPMs) and Rule 8.5 (obligations of Market-Makers).

that the Exchange will require a Participant to enter into a software user or license agreement with the Exchange in a form or forms prescribed by the Exchange in order to obtain authorized access to the System if the Participant elects to use an API for which the Exchange has determined that this type of an agreement is necessary. In other words, whether the Exchange requires a Participant to enter into a user or license agreement will depend solely on the objective criteria of what type of API the Participant opts to use.<sup>7</sup> The proposed rule change also amends Rule 6.34(a) to clarify that the term API means application programming interface.

Proposed Rule 6.34(d) provides that the Exchange may prescribe technical specifications pursuant to which all Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants (e.g., DPMs, Market-Makers), may establish an electronic connection to the System (and any facilities). The Exchange will announce to Participants via Regulatory Circular any connectivity protocol prescription.

Proposed Rule 6.34(e)(i) provides that each Participant that the Exchange designates as required to participate in a system test must conduct or participate in the testing of its computer systems to ascertain the compatibility of such systems with the System in the manner and frequency prescribed by the Exchange. The Exchange will designate Participants as required to participate in a system test based on: (1) the category of the Participant (e.g. DPM, Market-Maker); (2) the computer system(s) the Participant uses; and (3) the manner in which the Participant connects to the System. The Exchange

---

<sup>7</sup> For example, the Exchange developed CMi and currently requires all Participants that opt to connect to the System using CMi to enter into a software license agreement with the Exchange to use CMi. The Exchange has determined that Participants that opt to connect to the System using FIX do not currently have to enter into any type of software user or license agreement, which is a universally available application for which the developer does not require a user agreement.

will give Participants reasonable notice of any mandatory systems test, which notice will specify the nature of the test and Participants' obligations in participation in the test.

In connection with this mandatory system testing, proposed Rule 6.34(e)(ii) provides that every Participant required by the Exchange to conduct or participate in testing of computer systems must provide to the Exchange any reports relating to the testing as the Exchange may prescribe. Participants must maintain adequate documentation of tests required by this Rule and results of this testing for examination by the Exchange.

Proposed Rule 6.34(e)(iii) states that a Participant that fails to conduct or participate in mandatory systems tests, fails to file the required reports, or fails to maintain the required documentation, as required by proposed Rule 6.34(e)(i) and (ii), may be subject to summary suspension or other action taken pursuant to Chapter 16 (Summary Suspension) and/or disciplinary action pursuant to Chapter 17 (Discipline) of the Exchange Rules. Disciplinary action may include fines pursuant to proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19), which provides that Participants that violate proposed Rule 6.34(e) may be subject to fines under the Exchange's minor rule violation plan.<sup>8</sup> As with all other violations in the Exchange's minor rule violation plan, the Exchange retains the ability to refer a violation of the system testing requirements to its Business Conduct Committee should the circumstances warrant such a referral. The Exchange believes that violations of the proposed mandatory system testing provision are suitable for its minor rule violation plan because they are generally technical in nature. Further, including these

---

<sup>8</sup> These fines are as follows: \$250 for the first offense, \$500 for the second offense, \$1,000 for the third offense, \$2,000 for the fourth offense, and referral to the Business Conduct Committee for any subsequent offenses. The fines are based on the number of offenses in one calendar year.

violations into the minor rule violation plan will allow the Exchange to carry out its regulatory responsibilities more quickly and efficiently.

Codification of these requirements gives the Exchange the ability to discipline any Participants that fail to comply with these requirements. While Participants generally comply with these requirements, their inclusion in the Rules (and the resulting potential for discipline for noncompliance) may enhance Participants' overall compliance with them.

Codification of these requirements is also consistent with the Rules of other exchanges. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is substantially similar to: BATS Exchange, Inc. ("BATS") Rule 11.3(a); BOX Options Exchange LLC ("BOX") Rule 7000(a); EDGA Exchange, Inc. ("EDGA") Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); EDGX Exchange, Inc. ("EDGX") Chapter XI, Rule 11.3(a); International Securities Exchange, LLC ("ISE") Rule 706(a); NASDAQ Option Market ("NOM") Chapter V, Section 1(a); NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca") Rule 6.2A(a); and NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT") Rule 902.1NY(a). Proposed Rule 6.34(e) is substantially similar to: BATS Rule 18.13; BOX Rule 3180; ISE Rule 419; and NOM Chapter III, Section 13. BOX Rule 12140(d)(7) and ISE Rule 1614(d)(8) also allow those exchanges to fine their members for violations of their respective mandatory system provisions pursuant to their respective minor rule violation plans.<sup>9</sup>

Additionally, proposed Rule 6.34(c) is consistent with Rule 15c3-1 under the Act.<sup>10</sup>

---

<sup>9</sup> The proposed fine amounts in proposed Rule 17.50(g)(19) are the same as the fine amounts in the corresponding BOX and ISE rules.

<sup>10</sup> See supra note 5.

## 2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.<sup>11</sup> Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and to perfect the mechanism for a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest.<sup>12</sup> Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5) requirement that the rules of a national securities exchange be designed to not permit unfair discrimination between customer, issuers, brokers or dealers.<sup>13</sup> The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(6)<sup>14</sup> requirement that the rules of an exchange provide that its members and persons associated with its members be appropriately disciplined for violation of the provisions of the Act, the rules and regulations thereunder, or the rules of the exchange, by expulsion, suspension, limitation of activities, functions, and operations, fine, censure, being suspended or barred from being associated with a member, or any other fitting sanction.

---

<sup>11</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

<sup>12</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

<sup>13</sup> Id.

<sup>14</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(6).

The proposed rule change codifies current Exchange requirements that enhance C2's market surveillances and System functionality. Proposed Rule 6.34(c) is consistent with Rule 15c3-5 under the Act, and the Exchange believes the proposed rule change promotes compliance by Participants with the market access requirements under that rule. The Exchange believes that proposed Rule 6.34(d) allows the Exchange to receive from Participants, or categories of similarly situated Participants, information in a uniform format, which aids the Exchange's efforts to monitor and regulate C2's markets and Participants and helps prevent fraudulent and manipulative practices. This also helps coordinate the ability of Participants to electronically trade on the Exchange with the Exchange's ability to receive the necessary information to regulate those transactions. Proposed Rule 6.34(e) allows the Exchange to ensure that Participants' connections to the System function correctly, which promotes efficiency and enhances compliance by Participants with Exchange Rules.

In addition, codification of these requirements is consistent with the Act because it gives the Exchange the ability to discipline Participants that fail to comply with these requirements, which may enhance overall Participants compliance with these requirements. This proposed rule change will also promote consistency in the minor rule violation programs of other exchanges and allow the Exchange to carry out its regulatory responsibilities more quickly and efficiently by including violations of the mandatory system testing provision in the Exchange's minor rule violation plan.

The Exchange believes that the proposed rule change is designed to not permit unfair discrimination among Participants, as the proposed rule change provides for the Exchange to impose requirements on Participants in an objective manner. For example,

under proposed Rule 6.34(d), the Exchange may impose connectivity protocol requirements on all Participants, or similarly situated Participants. Additionally, under proposed Rule 6.34(c), whether the Exchange requires a Participant to enter into a software user or license agreement depends solely on what type of API the Participant opts to use to connect to the System.

Finally, the proposed rule change will help remove impediments to and promote a free and open market and a national market system because it is consistent with rules in place at other exchanges and imposes substantially similar requirements on Participants as those rules do on those exchanges' members.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

C2 does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

**III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action**

Because the foregoing proposed rule change does not:

- A. significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest;
- B. impose any significant burden on competition; and
- C. become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such

shorter time as the Commission may designate,

it has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)<sup>15</sup> of the Act and Rule 19b-4(f)(6)<sup>16</sup> thereunder.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of this proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

#### **IV. Solicitation of Comments**

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

##### Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to [rule-comments@sec.gov](mailto:rule-comments@sec.gov). Please include File Number SR-C2-2012-036 on the subject line.

##### Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2012-036. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet Web site

---

<sup>15</sup> 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

<sup>16</sup> 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6).

(<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for Web site viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of such filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2012-036 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.<sup>17</sup>

Secretary

---

<sup>17</sup> 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).