Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0045
Estimated average burden hours per response......38

Page 1 of	f * 20		EXCHANGE (TON, D.C. 2 orm 19b-4			File No.*	SR - 2018 - * 021 Amendments *)	
Filing by Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.								
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934								
Initial * ☑	Amendment *	Withdrawal	Section 19(o)(2) *	Section	on 19(b)(3)(A) *	Section 19(b)(3)(B) *	
Pilot	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action *	Date Expires *	 19b-4(f)(1) 19b-4(f)(4) 19b-4(f)(2) 19b-4(f)(5) 19b-4(f)(3) 19b-4(f)(6) 					
	of proposed change pursuant 806(e)(1) *	to the Payment, Clearing Section 806(e)(2) *	ng, and Settle	ment Act of 20	010	Security-Based Swa to the Securities Exc Section 3C(b)(2		
Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document								
Description Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *). The Exchange proposes a rule change to add a new Post Only complex order type.								
Contact Information Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.								
First Na	ame * Laura		Last Name *	Dickman				
Title *								
E-mail	E-mail * dickman@cboe.com							
Telepho	one * (312) 786-7572	Fax						
Signature								
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,								
has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized. (Title *)								
Date 10/01/2018 Vice President, Associate General Counsel								
L	Laura G. Dickman		22.23.	, ======				
- ,	(Name *)		_					
NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.								

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFS website. The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a Form 19b-4 Information * clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal Remove is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act. The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change * in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Add Remove View Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication **Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule** in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies * guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO] -xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3) Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such Transcripts, Other Communications documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G. Add Remove View Exhibit Sent As Paper Document П Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is Add Remove View referred to by the proposed rule change. Exhibit Sent As Paper Document The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and **Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies** deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit Add Remove View the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working. **Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text** The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part Add Remove View of the proposed rule change. If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy Partial Amendment proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial

amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

Item 1. <u>Text of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

- (a) Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "C2") proposes to amend C2's rulebook to allow the Post Only order instruction on complex orders that route to its electronic book. The text of the proposed rule change is provided in Exhibit 5.
 - (b) Not applicable.
 - (c) Not applicable.

Item 2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

- (a) The Exchange's President (or designee) pursuant to delegated authority approved the proposed rule change on September 28, 2018.
- (b) Please refer questions and comments on the proposed rule change to Patrick Sexton, (312) 786-7467, or Gina Chereck, (312) 786-7912, Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc., 400 South LaSalle, Chicago, Illinois 60605.

Item 3. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

(a) Purpose

C2 recently adopted the Post Only order instruction on simple orders that route to its electronic book ("Simple Book"), ¹ and C2 now proposes to adopt the Post Only order instruction on complex orders that route to its electronic book ("COB").

Background

Pursuant to C2 Rule 1.1, "[a] "Post Only" order is an order the System ranks and executes pursuant to Rule 6.12, subjects to the Price Adjust process pursuant to Rule 6.12, or cancels or rejects (including if it is not subject to the Price Adjust process and locks or crosses a Protected Quotation of another exchange), as applicable (in accordance

 <u>See</u> Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83214 (May 11, 2018), 83 FR 22796 (May 16, 2018) (SR-C2-2018-005).

with User instructions), except the order may not remove liquidity from the [Simple] Book or route away to another Exchange." In other words, if a Post Only order is entered into C2's automated trading system ("System"), it will not execute against an order resting in the Simple Book or route to another exchange. The purpose of the Post Only order is to add liquidity to the Simple Book.

Because C2 has a maker-taker fee structure, pursuant to which an execution taking liquidity from the Simple Book is subject to a taker fee, the Post Only order instruction provides Trading Permit Holders ("TPHs" or "Users") with the flexibility to avoid incurring a taker fee if the TPH's intent is to submit an order to add liquidity to the Simple Book. Additionally, under C2's maker-taker fee structure, if a TPH submits an order that adds liquidity to the Simple Book (for both penny and non-penny classes of options), it receives a rebate in connection with the execution of that order. For example, a Public Customer order that adds liquidity to the Simple Book in a non-penny class receives a rebate of \$0.80, whereas a Public Customer order that removes liquidity from the Simple Book in a non-penny class incurs a fee of \$0.85. Similar rebates and fees are also applied to Professional Customers, Firms, and Broker/Dealers orders, among others.

Complex Orders

C2 does not currently offer Post Only complex orders. Like in the Simple Book, execution of a complex order taking liquidity from the COB is subject to a taker fee and execution of an order adding liquidity is subject to a maker rebate. For example, a Public Customer order that adds liquidity to the COB in a non-penny class receives a rebate of \$0.75, whereas a Public Customer order that removes liquidity from the COB in a non-penny class incurs a fee of \$0.83. Unlike in the Simple Book, however, a TPH that

intends to submit a complex order to add liquidity to the COB is not given the same flexibility to avoid incurring a taker fee. Accordingly, C2 is proposing to add Post Only to the permissible types of complex orders submitted to the Exchange in C2 Rule 6.13(b).

Proposed C2 Rule 6.13(b)(2) states that upon receipt of a Post Only complex order with any Time-in-Force, the System does not initiate a complex order auction ("COA"), and if a User marks the Post Only complex order to initiate a COA, the System cancels the order. Not permitting a Post Only complex order to COA is consistent with the purposes of a Post Only order, which as discussed above is to add liquidity to the COB. Proposed C2 Rule 6.13(g)(4) states that Post Only complex orders may not Leg into the Simple Book and proposed C2 Rule 6.13(h)(3) states that the System cancels or rejects a Post Only complex order if it locks or crosses a resting complex order in the COB or the then-current opposite side synthetic best bid or offer ("SBBO"). For example, assume there are no orders for a specific strategy resting on the COB, the synthetic national best bid or offer ("SNBBO") is \$3.00 by \$3.15, and the SBBO is \$2.95 by \$3.15. Assume next that Complex Order 1 enters the COB to sell 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.14 and such order is posted to the COB. If Complex Order 2 then enters the COB to buy 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.14, but Complex Order 2 also contains the Post Only instruction, Complex Order 2 is rejected since it locks the resting contra order. Similarly, assume there are no orders for a specific strategy resting on the COB, the SNBBO is \$3.00 by \$3.15, and the SBBO is \$2.95 by \$3.20. If a two-leg Complex Order with the Post Only instruction enters the COB to buy 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.20, that Complex Order is rejected since it cannot leg in to the Simple Book and it locks the contra side SBBO. This proposed functionality is consistent with the purpose

of the Post Only instruction and ensures a Post Only complex order will not remove liquidity from the Book. This is also consistent with the functionality and purpose of the Post Only order instruction on simple orders.

By adding the Post Only order instruction for complex orders, TPHs will be given the ability to exercise more control over the circumstances in which their complex orders are executed and be encouraged to add liquidity in the complex order market. Any additional liquidity will subsequently benefit all participants who trade complex orders on the Exchange.

(b) <u>Statutory Basis</u>

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.² Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)³ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with

² 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

³ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

the Section $6(b)(5)^4$ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Specifically, the Post Only order instruction on complex orders is designed to encourage market participants to add liquidity in the complex order market, which will benefit investors. By giving market participants the flexibility to manage their execution costs and the circumstances in which their complex orders are executed, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change would remove impediments to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and protect investors. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change will contribute to the protection of investors and the public interest by assuring compliance with rules related to locked and crossed markets.

Additionally, the Exchange notes that Post Only functionality is not new or unique functionality and is already available in a similar capacity. While the Post Only complex order type is not currently available in the market, C2 and other exchanges have implemented the Post Only simple order type, which functions in the same manner as the proposed Post Only complex order type. The purpose of a Post Only complex order is the same as the purpose of a Post Only simple order, given C2's maker-taker fee structure with respect to executions of complex orders.

Item 4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

C2 does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket or intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change

_

Id.

will not burden intramarket competition because the Post Only order instruction on complex orders will be available to all market participants. Additionally, use of the Post Only order instruction on complex orders is voluntary. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change will not impose any burden on intermarket competition because this relates to an instruction on orders that are submitted to the Exchange and may only execute on the Exchange. Additionally, nothing prevents other options exchanges that offer complex orders from adopting a Post Only complex order type. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change will promote competition, as the Exchange believes it will encourage the provision of additional liquidity in the complex order market, which benefits all market participants.

Item 5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

Item 6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

C2 does not consent to an extension of the time period for Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") action on the proposed rule change specified in Section 19(b)(2) of the Act.⁵

Not applicable.

-

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(2).

Item 8. <u>Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission</u>

Not applicable.

Item 9. <u>Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act</u>

Not applicable.

Item 10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment,
Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

Item 11. <u>Exhibits</u>

Exhibit 1. Completed Notice of Proposed Rule Change for publication in the Federal Register.

Exhibit 5. Proposed rule text.

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-C2-2018-021]

[Insert date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing of a Proposed Rule Change Relating to Amend C2's Rulebook to Allow the Post Only Order Instruction on Complex Orders that Route to its Electronic Book

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"), ¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder, ² notice is hereby given that on [insert date], Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "C2") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change</u>

Choe C2 Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "C2") proposes to amend C2's rulebook to allow the Post Only order instruction on complex orders that route to its electronic book.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange's website (http://www.c2exchange.com/Legal/), at the Exchange's Office of the Secretary, and at the Commission's Public Reference Room.

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

II. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u> <u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory</u>
<u>Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change</u>

1. <u>Purpose</u>

C2 recently adopted the Post Only order instruction on simple orders that route to its electronic book ("Simple Book"),³ and C2 now proposes to adopt the Post Only order instruction on complex orders that route to its electronic book ("COB").

Background

Pursuant to C2 Rule 1.1, "[a] "Post Only" order is an order the System ranks and executes pursuant to Rule 6.12, subjects to the Price Adjust process pursuant to Rule 6.12, or cancels or rejects (including if it is not subject to the Price Adjust process and locks or crosses a Protected Quotation of another exchange), as applicable (in accordance with User instructions), except the order may not remove liquidity from the [Simple] Book or route away to another Exchange." In other words, if a Post Only order is entered into C2's automated trading system ("System"), it will not execute against an order resting in the Simple Book or route to another exchange. The purpose of the Post Only order is to add liquidity to the Simple Book.

-

See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 83214 (May 11, 2018), 83 FR 22796 (May 16, 2018) (SR-C2-2018-005).

Because C2 has a maker-taker fee structure, pursuant to which an execution taking liquidity from the Simple Book is subject to a taker fee, the Post Only order instruction provides Trading Permit Holders ("TPHs" or "Users") with the flexibility to avoid incurring a taker fee if the TPH's intent is to submit an order to add liquidity to the Simple Book. Additionally, under C2's maker-taker fee structure, if a TPH submits an order that adds liquidity to the Simple Book (for both penny and non-penny classes of options), it receives a rebate in connection with the execution of that order. For example, a Public Customer order that adds liquidity to the Simple Book in a non-penny class receives a rebate of \$0.80, whereas a Public Customer order that removes liquidity from the Simple Book in a non-penny class incurs a fee of \$0.85. Similar rebates and fees are also applied to Professional Customers, Firms, and Broker/Dealers orders, among others.

Complex Orders

C2 does not currently offer Post Only complex orders. Like in the Simple Book, execution of a complex order taking liquidity from the COB is subject to a taker fee and execution of an order adding liquidity is subject to a maker rebate. For example, a Public Customer order that adds liquidity to the COB in a non-penny class receives a rebate of \$0.75, whereas a Public Customer order that removes liquidity from the COB in a non-penny class incurs a fee of \$0.83. Unlike in the Simple Book, however, a TPH that intends to submit a complex order to add liquidity to the COB is not given the same flexibility to avoid incurring a taker fee. Accordingly, C2 is proposing to add Post Only to the permissible types of complex orders submitted to the Exchange in C2 Rule 6.13(b).

Proposed C2 Rule 6.13(b)(2) states that upon receipt of a Post Only complex order with any Time-in-Force, the System does not initiate a complex order auction

("COA"), and if a User marks the Post Only complex order to initiate a COA, the System cancels the order. Not permitting a Post Only complex order to COA is consistent with the purposes of a Post Only order, which as discussed above is to add liquidity to the COB. Proposed C2 Rule 6.13(g)(4) states that Post Only complex orders may not Leg into the Simple Book and proposed C2 Rule 6.13(h)(3) states that the System cancels or rejects a Post Only complex order if it locks or crosses a resting complex order in the COB or the then-current opposite side synthetic best bid or offer ("SBBO"). For example, assume there are no orders for a specific strategy resting on the COB, the synthetic national best bid or offer ("SNBBO") is \$3.00 by \$3.15, and the SBBO is \$2.95 by \$3.15. Assume next that Complex Order 1 enters the COB to sell 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.14 and such order is posted to the COB. If Complex Order 2 then enters the COB to buy 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.14, but Complex Order 2 also contains the Post Only instruction, Complex Order 2 is rejected since it locks the resting contra order. Similarly, assume there are no orders for a specific strategy resting on the COB, the SNBBO is \$3.00 by \$3.15, and the SBBO is \$2.95 by \$3.20. If a two-leg Complex Order with the Post Only instruction enters the COB to buy 10 contracts of that strategy at \$3.20, that Complex Order is rejected since it cannot leg in to the Simple Book and it locks the contra side SBBO. This proposed functionality is consistent with the purpose of the Post Only instruction and ensures a Post Only complex order will not remove liquidity from the Book. This is also consistent with the functionality and purpose of the Post Only order instruction on simple orders.

By adding the Post Only order instruction for complex orders, TPHs will be given the ability to exercise more control over the circumstances in which their complex orders are executed and be encouraged to add liquidity in the complex order market. Any additional liquidity will subsequently benefit all participants who trade complex orders on the Exchange.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act") and the rules and regulations thereunder applicable to the Exchange and, in particular, the requirements of Section 6(b) of the Act.⁴ Specifically, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁵ requirements that the rules of an exchange be designed to prevent fraudulent and manipulative acts and practices, to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to foster cooperation and coordination with persons engaged in regulating, clearing, settling, processing information with respect to, and facilitating transactions in securities, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system, and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. Additionally, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change is consistent with the Section 6(b)(5)⁶ requirement that the rules of an exchange not be designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers, or dealers.

Specifically, the Post Only order instruction on complex orders is designed to encourage market participants to add liquidity in the complex order market, which will benefit investors. By giving market participants the flexibility to manage their execution costs and the circumstances in which their complex orders are executed, the Exchange

^{4 15} U.S.C. 78f(b).

⁵ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

⁶ Id.

believes the proposed rule change would remove impediments to perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and protect investors. The Exchange also believes that the proposed rule change will contribute to the protection of investors and the public interest by assuring compliance with rules related to locked and crossed markets.

Additionally, the Exchange notes that Post Only functionality is not new or unique functionality and is already available in a similar capacity. While the Post Only complex order type is not currently available in the market, C2 and other exchanges have implemented the Post Only simple order type, which functions in the same manner as the proposed Post Only complex order type. The purpose of a Post Only complex order is the same as the purpose of a Post Only simple order, given C2's maker-taker fee structure with respect to executions of complex orders.

B. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition</u>

C2 does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on intramarket or intermarket competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. In particular, the Exchange believes the proposed rule change will not burden intramarket competition because the Post Only order instruction on complex orders will be available to all market participants. Additionally, use of the Post Only order instruction on complex orders is voluntary. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change will not impose any burden on intermarket competition because this relates to an instruction on orders that are submitted to the Exchange and may only execute on the Exchange. Additionally, nothing prevents other options exchanges that offer complex orders from adopting a Post Only complex order type. The Exchange also believes the proposed rule change will promote competition, as the Exchange believes it

will encourage the provision of additional liquidity in the complex order market, which benefits all market participants.

C. <u>Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed</u> <u>Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others</u>

The Exchange neither solicited nor received comments on the proposed rule change.

III. <u>Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action</u>

Within 45 days of the date of publication of this notice in the <u>Federal Register</u> or within such longer period up to 90 days (i) as the Commission may designate if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the Exchange consents, the Commission will:

- A. by order approve or disapprove such proposed rule change, or
- B. institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should be disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form
 (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml); or
- Send an e-mail to <u>rule-comments@sec.gov</u>. <u>Please include File Number</u>
 SR-C2-2018-021 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange
 Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2018-021. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will all Commission's website post comments on the Internet (http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, D.C. 20549 on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-C2-2018-021 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.⁷

_

⁷ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Secretary

EXHIBIT 5

(additions are underlined; deletions are [bracketed])

* * * * *

Rules of Cboe C2 Exchange, Inc.

* * * * *

Rule 6.13. Complex Orders

Trading of complex orders is subject to all other Rules applicable to trading of orders, unless otherwise provided in this Rule 6.13.

- (a) No change.
- (b) *Types of Complex Orders*. The Exchange determines which Times-in-Force of Day, GTC, GTD, IOC, or OPG are available for complex orders (including for eligibility to enter the COB and initiate a COA). The Exchange determines which Capacities (*i.e.*, non-broker-dealer customers, broker-dealers that are not Market-Makers on an options exchange, or Market-Makers on an options exchange) are eligible for entry onto the COB. Complex orders are Book Only or Post Only and may be market or limit orders. Users may designate complex orders as Attributable or Non-Attributable. The System also accepts the following instructions for complex orders:
 - (1) No change.
 - (2) COA-Eligible and Do-Not-COA Orders. Upon receipt of an IOC complex order, the System does not initiate a COA unless a User marked the order to initiate a COA, in which case the System cancels any unexecuted portion at the end of the COA. Upon receipt of a Post Only complex order with any Time-in-Force, the System does not initiate a COA, and if a User marks the Post Only complex order to initiate a COA, the System cancels the order. Upon receipt of a complex order with any other Time-in-Force (except OPG), the System initiates a COA unless a User marked the order to not initiate a COA. Buy (sell) complex orders with User instructions to (or which default to) initiate a COA that are priced higher (lower) than the SBB (SBO) and higher (lower) than the price of complex orders resting at the top of the COB are "COA-eligible orders," while buy (sell) complex orders with User instructions not to (or which default to not) initiate a COA, that are marked Post Only, or that are priced equal to or lower (higher) than the SBB (SBO) or equal to or lower (higher) than the price of complex orders resting at the top of the COB are "do-not-COA orders."
 - (3) No change.
- (c) (f) No change.

- (g) Legging Restrictions. A complex order may execute against orders and quotes in the Simple Book pursuant to subparagraphs (d)(5)(A)(i) and (e)(1) if it can execute in full or in a permissible ratio and if it has no more than a maximum number of legs (which the Exchange determines on a class-by-class basis and may be two, three or four) ("Legging"), subject to the following restrictions:
 - (1) (3) No change.
 - (4) Post Only complex orders may not Leg into the Simple Book.
- (h) Additional Complex Order Handling. Processing and execution of complex orders pursuant to this Rule 6.13 (including pursuant to paragraphs (d) and (e), and following evaluation pursuant to paragraph (i)) are subject to the following:
 - (1) (2) No change.
 - (3) The System cancels or rejects a Post Only complex order if it locks or crosses a resting complex order in the COB or the then-current opposite side SBBO.
- (i) (k) No change.

* * * * *