

Required fields are shown with yellow backgrounds and asterisks.

Page 1 of * 19	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 Form 19b-4	File No.* SR - 2013 - * 06	Amendment No. (req. for Amendments *)
----------------	--	----------------------------	---------------------------------------

Filing by EDGX Exchange, Inc.
Pursuant to Rule 19b-4 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Initial * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Amendment * <input type="checkbox"/>	Withdrawal <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(2) * <input type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(A) * <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Section 19(b)(3)(B) * <input type="checkbox"/>
			Rule		
Pilot <input type="checkbox"/>	Extension of Time Period for Commission Action * <input type="checkbox"/>	Date Expires * <input type="text"/>	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(1)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(4)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(2)	<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(5)	
			<input type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(3)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 19b-4(f)(6)	

Notice of proposed change pursuant to the Payment, Clearing, and Settlement Act of 2010 Section 806(e)(1) <input type="checkbox"/> Section 806(e)(2) <input type="checkbox"/>	Security-Based Swap Submission pursuant to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 Section 3C(b)(2) <input type="checkbox"/>
--	---

Exhibit 2 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>	Exhibit 3 Sent As Paper Document <input type="checkbox"/>
---	---

Description

Provide a brief description of the action (limit 250 characters, required when Initial is checked *).

EDGX Exchange, Inc. proposes to amend EDGX Rule 11.13 to extend the operation of a pilot pursuant to Rule 11.13 until September 30, 2013.

Contact Information

Provide the name, telephone number, and e-mail address of the person on the staff of the self-regulatory organization prepared to respond to questions and comments on the action.

First Name * Jeffrey Last Name * Rosenstock

Title * General Counsel

E-mail * jrosenstock@directedge.com

Telephone * (201) 942-8295 Fax

Signature

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934,

has duly caused this filing to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

(Title *)

Date 01/31/2013 General Counsel

By Jeffrey Rosenstock

(Name *)

jrosenstock@directedge.com,

NOTE: Clicking the button at right will digitally sign and lock this form. A digital signature is as legally binding as a physical signature, and once signed, this form cannot be changed.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

For complete Form 19b-4 instructions please refer to the EFFF website.

Form 19b-4 Information *

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization must provide all required information, presented in a clear and comprehensible manner, to enable the public to provide meaningful comment on the proposal and for the Commission to determine whether the proposal is consistent with the Act and applicable rules and regulations under the Act.

Exhibit 1 - Notice of Proposed Rule Change *

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 1A- Notice of Proposed Rule Change, Security-Based Swap Submission, or Advance Notice by Clearing Agencies

Add Remove View

The Notice section of this Form 19b-4 must comply with the guidelines for publication in the Federal Register as well as any requirements for electronic filing as published by the Commission (if applicable). The Office of the Federal Register (OFR) offers guidance on Federal Register publication requirements in the Federal Register Document Drafting Handbook, October 1998 Revision. For example, all references to the federal securities laws must include the corresponding cite to the United States Code in a footnote. All references to SEC rules must include the corresponding cite to the Code of Federal Regulations in a footnote. All references to Securities Exchange Act Releases must include the release number, release date, Federal Register cite, Federal Register date, and corresponding file number (e.g., SR-[SRO]-xx-xx). A material failure to comply with these guidelines will result in the proposed rule change, security-based swap submission, or advance notice being deemed not properly filed. See also Rule 0-3 under the Act (17 CFR 240.0-3)

Exhibit 2 - Notices, Written Comments, Transcripts, Other Communications

Add Remove View

Copies of notices, written comments, transcripts, other communications. If such documents cannot be filed electronically in accordance with Instruction F, they shall be filed in accordance with Instruction G.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 3 - Form, Report, or Questionnaire

Add Remove View

Copies of any form, report, or questionnaire that the self-regulatory organization proposes to use to help implement or operate the proposed rule change, or that is referred to by the proposed rule change.

Exhibit Sent As Paper Document

Exhibit 4 - Marked Copies

Add Remove View

The full text shall be marked, in any convenient manner, to indicate additions to and deletions from the immediately preceding filing. The purpose of Exhibit 4 is to permit the staff to identify immediately the changes made from the text of the rule with which it has been working.

Exhibit 5 - Proposed Rule Text

Add Remove View

The self-regulatory organization may choose to attach as Exhibit 5 proposed changes to rule text in place of providing it in Item I and which may otherwise be more easily readable if provided separately from Form 19b-4. Exhibit 5 shall be considered part of the proposed rule change.

Partial Amendment

Add Remove View

If the self-regulatory organization is amending only part of the text of a lengthy proposed rule change, it may, with the Commission's permission, file only those portions of the text of the proposed rule change in which changes are being made if the filing (i.e. partial amendment) is clearly understandable on its face. Such partial amendment shall be clearly identified and marked to show deletions and additions.

1. Text of the Proposed Rule Change

- (a) EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX” or the “Exchange”), proposes to amend EDGX Rule 11.13 to extend the operation of a pilot pursuant to Rule 11.13 (the “Pilot”) until September 30, 2013. The Exchange also proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13 in connection with the upcoming operation of the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act (the “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan” or the “Plan”).¹
- (b) The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will have any direct effect, or any significant indirect effect, on any other Exchange rule in effect at the time of this filing.
- (c) Not applicable.

2. Procedures of the Self-Regulatory Organization

Senior management has approved the proposed rule change pursuant to authority delegated to it by the Board of Directors of the Exchange on April 27, 2010. Exchange staff will advise the Exchange Board of Directors of any action taken pursuant to delegated authority. No further action by the Board of Directors or the membership of the Exchange is required. Therefore, the Exchange’s internal procedures with respect to the proposed rule change are complete.

The person on the Exchange staff prepared to respond to questions and comments on the proposed rule change is:

Thomas N. McManus
Chief Regulatory Officer
EDGX Exchange, Inc.
201-418-3471

3. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(a) Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to extend the effectiveness of the Exchange’s current rule applicable to Clearly Erroneous Executions and to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13 in connection with upcoming operation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

¹ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012).

Background

Portions of Rule 11.13, explained in further detail below, are currently operating as the Pilot and are set to expire on February 4, 2013.² The Exchange proposes to extend the Pilot to September 30, 2013.

On September 10, 2010, the Commission approved, on a pilot basis, changes to EDGX Rule 11.13 to provide for uniform treatment: (1) of clearly erroneous execution reviews in multi-stock events involving twenty or more securities; and (2) in the event transactions occur that result in the issuance of an individual stock trading pause by the primary market and subsequent transactions that occur before the trading pause is in effect on the Exchange.³ The Exchange also adopted additional changes to Rule 11.13 that reduced the ability of the Exchange to deviate from the objective standards set forth in Rule 11.13.⁴ The Exchange believes the benefits to market participants from the more objective clearly erroneous executions rule should continue on a pilot basis through September 30, 2013, which is the date that the Exchange anticipates that the phased implementation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will be complete. As explained in further detail below, although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is intended to prevent executions that would need to be nullified as clearly erroneous, the Exchange believes that certain protections should be maintained while the industry gains initial experience operating with the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan, including the provisions of Rule 11.13 that currently operate as a pilot.

Proposed Limit Up-Limit Down Provision to Rule 11.13

The Exchange proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13, to provide that the existing provisions of Rule 11.13 will continue to apply to all Exchange transactions, including transactions in securities subject to the Plan, other than as set forth in proposed paragraph (i). Accordingly, other than as proposed below, the Exchange proposes to maintain and continue to apply the Clearly Erroneous Execution standards in the same way that it does today. Notably, this means that the Exchange might nullify transactions that occur within the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan to the extent such transactions qualify as clearly erroneous under existing criteria. As an example, assume that a Tier 1 security pursuant to the Plan has a reference price pursuant to both the Plan and Rule 11.13 of \$100.00. The lower pricing band

² See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67499 (July 25, 2012), 77 FR 45399 (July 31, 2012) (SR-EDGX-2012-27).

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62886 (September 10, 2010), 75 FR 56613 (September 16, 2010) (SR-EDGX-2010-03).

⁴ Id.

under the Plan would be \$95.00 and the upper pricing band under the Plan would be \$105.00. An execution could occur on the Exchange in this security at \$96.00, as this is within the Plan's pricing bands. However, if subjected to review as potentially clearly erroneous, the Exchange would nullify an execution at \$96.00 as clearly erroneous because it exceeds the 3% threshold that is in place pursuant to Rule 11.13(c)(1) for securities priced above \$50.00 (i.e., with a reference price of \$100.00, any transactions at or below \$97.00 or above \$103.00 could be nullified as clearly erroneous). Accordingly, this proposal maintains the status quo with respect to reviews of Clearly Erroneous Executions and the application of objective numerical guidelines by the Exchange. The proposal does not increase the discretion afforded to the Exchange in connection with reviews of Clearly Erroneous Executions.

The Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is designed to prevent executions from occurring outside of dynamic price bands disseminated to the public by the single plan processor as defined in the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.⁵ The possibility remains that the Exchange could experience a technology or systems problem with respect to the implementation of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan. To address such possibilities, the Exchange proposes to adopt language to make clear that if an Exchange technology or systems issue results in any transaction occurring outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan, an Officer of the Exchange or senior level employee designee, acting on his or her own motion or at the request of a third party, shall review and declare any such trades null and void. Absent extraordinary circumstances, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee shall be taken in a timely fashion, generally within thirty (30) minutes of the detection of the erroneous transaction. When extraordinary circumstances exist, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee must be taken by no later than the start of Regular Trading Hours⁶ on the trading day following the date on which the execution(s) under review occurred. Although the Exchange will act as promptly as possible and the proposed objective standard (i.e., whether an execution occurred outside the band) should make it feasible to quickly make a determination, there may be circumstances in which additional time may be needed for verification of facts or coordination with outside parties, including the single plan processor responsible for disseminating the price bands and other market centers. Accordingly, the Exchange believes it necessary to maintain some flexibility to make a determination outside of the thirty (30) minute guideline. In addition, the Exchange proposes that a transaction that is nullified pursuant to new paragraph (i) would be appealable in accordance with the provisions of Rule 11.13(e)(2). In addition, the Exchange proposes to make clear that in the event that a single plan processor experiences a technology or

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012).

⁶ Regular Trading Hours commence at 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time. See Exchange Rule 1.5(y).

systems problem that prevents the dissemination of price bands, the Exchange would make the determination of whether to nullify transactions based on Rule 11.13(a)-(h).

The Exchange believes that cancelling trades that occur outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Plan, as such transactions are not intended to occur in the first place. If transactions do occur outside of the price bands and no exception applies -- which necessarily would be caused by a technology or systems issue -- then the Exchange believes the appropriate result is to nullify such transactions.

(b) Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Act”),⁷ which requires the rules of an exchange to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule meets these requirements in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning review of transactions as clearly erroneous. More specifically, the Exchange believes that the extension of the Pilot would help assure that the determination of whether a clearly erroneous trade has occurred will be based on clear and objective criteria, and that the resolution of the incident will occur promptly through a transparent process. The proposed rule change would also help assure consistent results in handling erroneous trades across the U.S. markets, thus furthering fair and orderly markets, the protection of investors and the public interest. Although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will be operational during the same time period as the proposed extended Pilot, the Exchange believes that maintaining the Pilot for at least through the phased implementation of the Plan is operational will help to protect against unanticipated consequences. To that end, the extension will allow the Exchange to determine whether Rule 11.13 is necessary once the Plan is operational and, if so, whether improvements can be made. Further, the Exchange believes it consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest to adopt objective criteria to nullify transactions that occur outside of the Plan’s price bands when such transactions should not have been executed but were due to a systems or technology issue.

4. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”)

⁷ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

and other national securities exchanges are also filing similar proposals. Thus the Exchange believes that the proposal will help to ensure consistent rules across market centers.

5. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

6. Extension of Time Period for Commission Action

Not applicable.

7. Basis for Summary Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) or for Accelerated Effectiveness Pursuant to Section 19(b)(2)

The Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act⁸ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.⁹ The Exchange asserts that the proposed rule change: (1) will not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, (2) will not impose any significant burden on competition, and (3) will not become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate. In addition, the Exchange provided the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.¹⁰ In addition, because all transactions on the Exchange are currently subject to Rule 11.13 and the Exchange is proposing to have transactions in securities subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan remain governed by Rule 11.13, the proposal to extend the Pilot merely acts to maintain the status quo. Furthermore, because executions outside of the bands when the Exchange is experiencing a technology or systems issue would be executions that, by definition, should have not occurred, the Exchange believes that the proposed addition of language permitting the Exchange to nullify transactions in such an event is non-controversial. Based on the foregoing, the Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹¹ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹²

⁸ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

⁹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁰ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative waiting period contained in Exchange Act Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii).¹³ The Exchange requests this waiver so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange believes that FINRA and other national securities exchanges are also extending the pilot program and thus, to ensure consistent rules across market centers, that the extension should not be delayed. Accordingly, waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Furthermore, although not yet operational, the Exchange believes that waiver of the operative delay will provide clarity to market participants that are preparing for the operation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

8. Proposed Rule Change Based on Rules of Another Self-Regulatory Organization or of the Commission

Not applicable.

9. Security-Based Swap Submissions Filed Pursuant to Section 3C of the Act

Not applicable.

10. Advance Notices Filed Pursuant to Section 806(e) of the Payment, Clearing and Settlement Supervision Act

Not applicable.

11. Exhibits

Exhibit 1 – Form of Notice of Proposed Rule Change for Federal Register

Exhibit 5 – Text of Proposed EDGX Rule 11.13.

¹³ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

EXHIBIT 1

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
(Release No. 34- ; File No. SR-EDGX-2013-06)

[Date]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; EDGX Exchange, Inc.; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of Proposed Rule Change to Amend EDGX Rule 11.13 to extend the operation of a pilot pursuant to Rule 11.13 until September 30, 2013.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on January 31, 2013, EDGX Exchange, Inc. (the "Exchange" or "EDGX") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II and III below, which items have been prepared by the self-regulatory organization. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange proposes to amend EDGX Rule 11.13 to extend the operation of a pilot pursuant to Rule 11.13 (the "Pilot") until September 30, 2013. The Exchange also proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13 in connection with the upcoming operation of the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act (the "Limit Up-Limit Down Plan" or the "Plan").³ All of the changes described herein are applicable to EDGX Members. The text of the proposed

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012).

rule change is available on the Exchange's Internet website at www.directedge.com, at the Exchange's principal office, and at the Public Reference Room of the Commission.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the self-regulatory organization included statements concerning the purpose of, and basis for, the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The self-regulatory organization has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement of the Purpose of, and the Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The purpose of this filing is to extend the effectiveness of the Exchange's current rule applicable to Clearly Erroneous Executions and to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13 in connection with upcoming operation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

Background

Portions of Rule 11.13, explained in further detail below, are currently operating as the Pilot and are set to expire on February 4, 2013.⁴ The Exchange proposes to extend the Pilot to September 30, 2013.

On September 10, 2010, the Commission approved, on a pilot basis, changes to EDGX Rule 11.13 to provide for uniform treatment: (1) of clearly erroneous execution reviews in multi-stock events involving twenty or more securities; and (2) in the event

⁴ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67499 (July 25, 2012), 77 FR 45399 (July 31, 2012) (SR-EDGX-2012-27).

transactions occur that result in the issuance of an individual stock trading pause by the primary market and subsequent transactions that occur before the trading pause is in effect on the Exchange.⁵ The Exchange also adopted additional changes to Rule 11.13 that reduced the ability of the Exchange to deviate from the objective standards set forth in Rule 11.13.⁶ The Exchange believes the benefits to market participants from the more objective clearly erroneous executions rule should continue on a pilot basis through September 30, 2013, which is the date that the Exchange anticipates that the phased implementation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will be complete. As explained in further detail below, although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is intended to prevent executions that would need to be nullified as clearly erroneous, the Exchange believes that certain protections should be maintained while the industry gains initial experience operating with the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan, including the provisions of Rule 11.13 that currently operate as a pilot.

Proposed Limit Up-Limit Down Provision to Rule 11.13

The Exchange proposes to adopt new paragraph (i) to Rule 11.13, to provide that the existing provisions of Rule 11.13 will continue to apply to all Exchange transactions, including transactions in securities subject to the Plan, other than as set forth in proposed paragraph (i). Accordingly, other than as proposed below, the Exchange proposes to maintain and continue to apply the Clearly Erroneous Execution standards in the same way that it does today. Notably, this means that the Exchange might nullify transactions that occur within the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan

⁵ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 62886 (September 10, 2010), 75 FR 56613 (September 16, 2010) (SR-EDGX-2010-03).

⁶ Id.

to the extent such transactions qualify as clearly erroneous under existing criteria. As an example, assume that a Tier 1 security pursuant to the Plan has a reference price pursuant to both the Plan and Rule 11.13 of \$100.00. The lower pricing band under the Plan would be \$95.00 and the upper pricing band under the Plan would be \$105.00. An execution could occur on the Exchange in this security at \$96.00, as this is within the Plan's pricing bands. However, if subjected to review as potentially clearly erroneous, the Exchange would nullify an execution at \$96.00 as clearly erroneous because it exceeds the 3% threshold that is in place pursuant to Rule 11.13(c)(1) for securities priced above \$50.00 (i.e., with a reference price of \$100.00, any transactions at or below \$97.00 or above \$103.00 could be nullified as clearly erroneous). Accordingly, this proposal maintains the status quo with respect to reviews of Clearly Erroneous Executions and the application of objective numerical guidelines by the Exchange. The proposal does not increase the discretion afforded to the Exchange in connection with reviews of Clearly Erroneous Executions.

The Limit Up-Limit Down Plan is designed to prevent executions from occurring outside of dynamic price bands disseminated to the public by the single plan processor as defined in the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.⁷ The possibility remains that the Exchange could experience a technology or systems problem with respect to the implementation of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan. To address such possibilities, the Exchange proposes to adopt language to make clear that if an Exchange technology or systems issue results in any transaction occurring outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan, an Officer of the Exchange or senior level employee designee,

⁷ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 67091 (May 31, 2012), 77 FR 33498 (June 6, 2012).

acting on his or her own motion or at the request of a third party, shall review and declare any such trades null and void. Absent extraordinary circumstances, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee shall be taken in a timely fashion, generally within thirty (30) minutes of the detection of the erroneous transaction. When extraordinary circumstances exist, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee must be taken by no later than the start of Regular Trading Hours⁸ on the trading day following the date on which the execution(s) under review occurred. Although the Exchange will act as promptly as possible and the proposed objective standard (i.e., whether an execution occurred outside the band) should make it feasible to quickly make a determination, there may be circumstances in which additional time may be needed for verification of facts or coordination with outside parties, including the single plan processor responsible for disseminating the price bands and other market centers. Accordingly, the Exchange believes it necessary to maintain some flexibility to make a determination outside of the thirty (30) minute guideline. In addition, the Exchange proposes that a transaction that is nullified pursuant to new paragraph (i) would be appealable in accordance with the provisions of Rule 11.13(e)(2). In addition, the Exchange proposes to make clear that in the event that a single plan processor experiences a technology or systems problem that prevents the dissemination of price bands, the Exchange would make the determination of whether to nullify transactions based on Rule 11.13(a)-(h).

The Exchange believes that cancelling trades that occur outside of the price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan is consistent with the purpose and intent of the Plan, as

⁸ Regular Trading Hours commence at 9:30 a.m. Eastern Time. See Exchange Rule 1.5(y).

such transactions are not intended to occur in the first place. If transactions do occur outside of the price bands and no exception applies -- which necessarily would be caused by a technology or systems issue -- then the Exchange believes the appropriate result is to nullify such transactions.

2. Statutory Basis

The statutory basis for the proposed rule change is Section 6(b)(5) of the Act,⁹ which requires the rules of an exchange to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and open market and a national market system and, in general, to protect investors and the public interest. The Exchange believes that the proposed rule meets these requirements in that it promotes transparency and uniformity across markets concerning review of transactions as clearly erroneous. More specifically, the Exchange believes that the extension of the Pilot would help assure that the determination of whether a clearly erroneous trade has occurred will be based on clear and objective criteria, and that the resolution of the incident will occur promptly through a transparent process. The proposed rule change would also help assure consistent results in handling erroneous trades across the U.S. markets, thus furthering fair and orderly markets, the protection of investors and the public interest. Although the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan will be operational during the same time period as the proposed extended Pilot, the Exchange believes that maintaining the Pilot for at least through the phased implementation of the Plan is operational will help to protect against unanticipated consequences. To that end, the extension will allow the Exchange to

⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(5).

determine whether Rule 11.13 is necessary once the Plan is operational and, if so, whether improvements can be made. Further, the Exchange believes it consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest to adopt objective criteria to nullify transactions that occur outside of the Plan's price bands when such transactions should not have been executed but were due to a systems or technology issue.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. The Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA") and other national securities exchanges are also filing similar proposals. Thus the Exchange believes that the proposal will help to ensure consistent rules across market centers.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received from Members, Participants, or Others

The Exchange has neither solicited nor received written comments on the proposed rule change.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹⁰ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹¹ The Exchange asserts that the proposed rule change: (1) will not significantly affect the protection of investors or the public interest, (2) will not impose any significant burden on competition, and (3) and will not become operative for 30 days from the date on which it was filed, or such shorter time as the Commission may designate. In addition, the Exchange provided

¹⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹¹ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

the Commission with written notice of its intent to file the proposed rule change, along with a brief description and text of the proposed rule change, at least five business days prior to the date of filing, or such shorter time as designated by the Commission.¹² In addition, because all transactions on the Exchange are currently subject to Rule 11.13 and the Exchange is proposing to have transactions in securities subject to the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan remain governed by Rule 11.13, the proposal to extend the Pilot merely acts to maintain the status quo. Furthermore, because executions outside of the bands when the Exchange is experiencing a technology or systems issue would be executions that, by definition, should have not occurred, the Exchange believes that the proposed addition of language permitting the Exchange to nullify transactions in such an event is non-controversial. Based on the foregoing, the Exchange has designated this rule filing as non-controversial under Section 19(b)(3)(A) of the Act¹³ and paragraph (f)(6) of Rule 19b-4 thereunder.¹⁴

The Exchange requests that the Commission waive the 30-day operative waiting period contained in Exchange Act Rule 19b-4(f)(6)(iii).¹⁵ The Exchange requests this waiver so that the proposal may become operative immediately upon filing. The Exchange believes that FINRA and other national securities exchanges are also extending the pilot program and thus, to ensure consistent rules across market centers, that the extension should not be delayed. Accordingly, waiver of the operative delay is consistent with the protection of investors and the public interest. Furthermore, although not yet

¹² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

¹³ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A).

¹⁴ 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

¹⁵ 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(6)(iii).

operational, the Exchange believes that waiver of the operative delay will provide clarity to market participants that are preparing for the operation of the Limit Up-Limit Down Plan.

At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic comments:

- Use the Commission's Internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an e-mail to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-EDGX-2013-06 on the subject line.

Paper comments:

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Elizabeth M. Murphy, Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-EDGX-2013-06. This file number should be included on the subject line if e-mail is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's Internet website

(<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change; the Commission does not edit personal identifying information from submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-EDGX-2013-06 and should be submitted on or before [insert date 21 days from publication in the Federal Register].

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.¹⁶

Kevin M. O'Neill
Deputy Secretary

¹⁶ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

Exhibit 5

Additions are underlined

Deletions are [bracketed]

EDGX Exchange, Inc.

CHAPTER XI. TRADING RULES

* * * * *

Rule 11.13 Clearly Erroneous Executions

The provisions of paragraphs (c), (e)(2), (f), and (g) of this Rule, as amended on September 10, 2010, and the provisions of paragraph (i), shall be in effect during a pilot period set to end on [February 4] September 30, 2013. If the pilot is not either extended or approved permanent by [February 4] September 30, 2013, the prior versions of paragraphs (c), (e)(2), (f), and (g) shall be in effect, and the provisions of paragraph (i) shall be null and void.

(a) – (h) No changes.

(i) Securities Subject to Limit Up-Limit Down Plan. For purposes of this paragraph, the phrase “Limit Up-Limit Down Plan” or “Plan” shall mean the Plan to Address Extraordinary Market Volatility Pursuant to Rule 608 of Regulation NMS under the Act. The provisions of paragraphs (a) through (h) above shall govern all Exchange transactions, including transactions in securities subject to the Plan, other than as set forth in this paragraph (i). If as a result of an Exchange technology or systems issue any transaction occurs outside of the applicable price bands disseminated pursuant to the Plan, an Officer of the Exchange or senior level employee designee, acting on his or her own motion or at the request of a third party, shall review and declare any such trades null and void. Absent extraordinary circumstances, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee shall be taken in a timely fashion, generally within thirty (30) minutes of the detection of the erroneous transaction. When extraordinary circumstances exist, any such action of the Officer of the Exchange or other senior level employee designee must be taken by no later than the start of Regular Trading Hours on the trading day following the date on which the execution(s) under review occurred. Each Member involved in the transaction shall be notified as soon as practicable by the Exchange, and the party aggrieved by the action may appeal such action in accordance with the provisions of paragraph (e)(2) above. In the event that a single plan processor experiences a technology or systems issue that prevents the dissemination of price bands, the Exchange will make the determination of whether to nullify transactions based on paragraphs (a) through (h) above.