

EDGX EXCHANGE, INC.
LETTER OF ACCEPTANCE, WAIVER AND CONSENT
NO. 20120323306-08

TO: EDGX Exchange, Inc.
c/o Department of Market Regulation
Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”)

RE: UBS Securities LLC, Respondent
Broker-Dealer
CRD No. 7654

Pursuant to Rule 8.3 of the Rules of EDGX Exchange, Inc. (“EDGX”), UBS Securities LLC (“UBS” or the “Firm”) submits this Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent (“AWC”) for the purpose of proposing a settlement of the alleged rule violations described below. This AWC is submitted on the condition that, if accepted, EDGX will not bring any future actions against the Firm alleging violations based on the same factual findings described herein.

I.

ACCEPTANCE AND CONSENT

A. The Firm hereby accepts and consents, without admitting or denying the findings, and solely for the purposes of this proceeding and any other proceeding brought by or on behalf of EDGX, or to which EDGX is a party, prior to a hearing and without an adjudication of any issue of law or fact, to the entry of the following findings by EDGX:

BACKGROUND

UBS has been a member of FINRA since 1978 and a member of EDGX since 2010, and both registrations remain in effect. UBS is headquartered in New York, New York and is a wholly owned subsidiary of UBS AG, a publicly owned Swiss banking company. The Firm employs approximately 1,800 registered persons operating out of 14 branch office locations, and provides investment banking, research, and sales and trading services mainly to corporate and institutional clients. At the time of these findings, UBS’ equities trading business was conducted through approximately ten trading desks, providing, among other things, retail market making and direct execution services to investors.

RELEVANT PRIOR DISCIPLINARY HISTORY

UBS does not have any relevant disciplinary history.

STAR No. 20120323306 (incl. 20140404286; 20120341834; 20120353922; 20120325902; 20120333433; 20120346233; 20120351876; 20130372124; 20130372364; 20130376497; 20130378883; 20130369376; 20140410836; 20120320739; 20140404671; 20130358683; 20130362922; 20110297873; 20140418739; 20140421110; 20150464512; 20150469918, 20130383413) (LMP)

SUMMARY

1. FINRA's Department of Market Regulation (the "Staff"), on behalf of EDGX as well as FINRA and various other securities exchanges, conducted a review of the Firm's compliance with Rule 15c3-5 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Rule 15c3-5" or the "Market Access Rule"), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1 during the period between July 14, 2011 and continuing through at least July 2015 (the "Review Period").
2. This matter is part of an investigation that initially focused on the Firm's failure across multiple desks within the Review Period to reasonably prevent the entry of certain erroneous equity or options orders sent to EDGX, BATS Exchange, Inc. ("BZX"), BATS Y-Exchange, Inc. ("BYX"), the New York Stock Exchange LLC ("NYSE"), NYSE Arca, Inc. ("NYSE Arca Equities"), NYSE MKT LLC ("NYSE MKT"), The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC ("Nasdaq"), and NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC ("PHLX") (collectively the "Exchanges").
3. As a result of the investigation, the Staff determined that the Firm failed to have financial risk management controls reasonably designed to prevent the transmission of numerous erroneous equity or options orders, and orders that exceeded appropriate pre-set credit thresholds in the aggregate for its customers. Additionally, the Firm failed to have adequate supervisory procedures designed to manage the financial, regulatory, and other risks of market access.
4. Specifically, during the Review Period, UBS violated Rule 15c3-5(c), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1 when it failed to establish, maintain and enforce a system of risk management controls and supervisory procedures reasonably designed to prevent the entry of erroneous equity or options orders, by rejecting orders that exceeded appropriate price or size parameters, on an order-by-order basis or over a short period of time, or that indicated duplicative orders.
5. Additionally, from November 30, 2011 through March 2015, UBS violated Rule 15c3-5(c)(1)(i), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1 when it failed to establish, maintain and enforce a system of risk management controls and supervisory procedures reasonably designed to prevent the entry of orders that exceeded appropriate pre-set credit thresholds in the aggregate for its customers.
6. Furthermore, from February 2014 to July 2015, UBS violated Rule 15c3-5(d), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1 when it applied controls to two sponsored access client flows that were not developed independently of such clients.
7. Finally, during the Review Period, UBS violated Rule 15c3-5(b), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1 when it failed to establish, maintain and preserve an adequate written description of its risk management controls and supervisory procedures in connection with equity and option erroneous order controls, and pre-set credit thresholds for equities and options clientele.

FACTS AND VIOLATIVE CONDUCT

8. UBS conducts a large majority of its equities and options trading business through approximately ten equity trading desks, three of which were the subject of the initial review of erroneous order entry—Retail Market Making, Direct Execution Services (which includes the Firm’s sponsored access business), and Options Market Making.

UBS’ Messaging Activity

9. From November 2011 through April 2015, the Firm failed to have reasonable risk management controls to detect instances when the Firm’s algorithms experienced unintended cancel-replace and buy-sell looping on multiple occasions that caused high levels of message traffic in equities or options on Exchanges.
10. In some instances, such looping activity caused the submission of numerous erroneous orders in equities and options, some of which resulted in executions.
11. These instances occurred in connection with: (1) the Firm’s Retail Market Making desk’s principal liquidation algorithms; (2) the Firm’s Inter-Listed Algorithm¹; (3) two separate sponsored access platforms; and (4) its Electronic Volatility Desk, a U.S. options market maker.
12. Between December 2012 and July 2013, the Firm implemented algorithmic trading code enhancements and additional controls to detect the activity described above in paragraphs 9 to 11. Additionally, the Firm discontinued the use of one of the sponsored access platforms in question in the Fall of 2013, as well as its U.S. options market making business and the second sponsored access platform in April 2015.
13. The acts, practices and conduct described above in paragraphs 9 to 11 constitute a violation of Rule 15c3-5(b) and (c), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1.

Pre-Set Credit Thresholds

14. Rule 15c3-5(c)(1)(i) requires, among other things, that a broker-dealer with market access establish and maintain risk management controls and supervisory procedures reasonably designed to “[p]revent the entry of orders that exceed appropriate pre-set credit or capital thresholds in the aggregate for each customer and the broker or dealer” 17 C.F.R. § 240.15c3-5(c)(1)(i). On June 27, 2011, the SEC extended the compliance date for compliance with this provision of the rule to November 30, 2011. Exchange Act Release No. 34-64748, 76 Fed. Reg. 38293 (June 30, 2011).

¹ This algorithm simultaneously manages and routes orders in dually-listed securities to market centers in the U.S. and Canada.

15. During the course of the Staff's investigation of the Firm's erroneous order controls, several deficiencies with respect to the Firm's application of client credit limits under Rule 15c3-5(c)(1)(i) were identified.
16. Prior to June 2014, the Firm assigned and applied its clients' credit limits on a desk – and third-party platform – specific basis and not in the aggregate. The Firm placed its clients in tiers based on, among other things, their client type and financial value (assessed by specific metrics, such as assets under management or net capital). The Firm then assigned each tier a default credit limit. The credit limit represented the maximum limit on an individual trading desk (when trading through UBS systems) the Firm had determined to be appropriate for any client that falls within each tier.
17. Consequently, because the Firm did not evaluate each client's trading desk and platform limits in the aggregate, a client's aggregate credit limit was arbitrarily the sum of each of its specific limits. For example, the aggregate limit that applied to a client in a designated tier with access to more than one UBS desk was higher than the limit of a similarly-situated client with access to only one desk.
18. Additionally, the Firm assigned default trading limits to a subset of clients: (1) whose financial data or client type had not been obtained and/or vetted for the purpose of placing them into a given tier; and (2) in situations where a client's trading name was not mapped in the relevant Firm systems to its corresponding client.
19. Beginning in June 2014, the Firm commenced enhancing its controls and procedures related to the deficiencies described in Paragraphs 16 to 18. This process was completed in March 2015.
20. The acts, practices and conduct described above in paragraphs 15 to 18 constitute a violation of Rule 15c3-5(c)(1)(i), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1.

UBS' Direct and Exclusive Control of Third-Party Sponsored Access Platforms

21. Rule 15c3-5(d) requires, *inter alia*, that a broker-dealer maintain direct and exclusive control over its financial and regulatory risk management controls and supervisory systems. Rule 15c3-5 allows broker-dealers to use risk management tools or technology developed by a third party, "so long as it has direct and exclusive control over those tools or technology and performs appropriate due diligence." Exchange Act Release No. 34-63241, 75 Fed. Reg. 69792, 69810 (Nov. 15, 2010). However, these risk management controls and technology must be developed *independently* of market access customers or their affiliates so as to "reduce the risk that effectiveness of these critical controls could be undermined by allowing market access customers to develop the tools to, in effect, police themselves." *Id.*

22. The Firm provides sponsored access to clients through sponsored access platforms operated by independent third-party vendors. From February 2014 through July 2015, the controls that were applied to the order flow of two sponsored access clients were not developed independently of those clients. Instead, the controls applied to each of those sponsored access clients, respectively, were designed by individuals or organizations who were under the common control of and/or had material business relationships with those clients.
23. In each of those instances, the Firm engaged an independent vendor to (1) conduct due diligence relating to those controls, including conducting a review of, and testing, the controls; and (2) maintain and control access to the specific controls applicable to each sponsored access client's order flow. Notwithstanding that due diligence was conducted and the Firm maintained direct and exclusive control, the lack of independence between the subject clients and the design and development of the controls applied to their order flow constitutes a violation of Rule 15c3-5(d), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1.

UBS' Supervisory Procedures

24. Rule 15c3-5 requires, among other things, that a broker-dealer with market access document its system of risk management controls and supervisory procedures that are designed to manage the financial, regulatory, and other risks of market access. The broker-dealer must preserve a copy of its supervisory procedures and "*a written description of its risk management controls*" as part of its books and records for the time period required by SEC Rule 17a-4(e)(7). 17 C.F.R. § 240.15c3-5(b) (emphasis added).² The required written description is intended, among other things, to assist SEC and SRO staff to assess the broker-dealer's compliance with the rule. Exchange Act Release No. 34-63241, 75 Fed. Reg. 69792, 69812 (Nov. 15, 2010).
25. EDGX Rule 5.1 requires every Member to establish and maintain a system designed to supervise the activities of its associated persons. EDGX Rule 5.1 also requires, *inter alia*, each Member to establish, maintain and enforce written supervisory procedures ("WSPs") to supervise its associated persons' activities, which are reasonably designed to achieve compliance with applicable federal securities laws, rules, regulations and statements of policy promulgated thereunder, and EDGX Rules.
26. During the Review Period, the Firm failed to have a complete and/or accurate description of: (1) the types of erroneous equity or option order controls that were in place during the Review Period; (2) the value or values associated with each such control; and/or (3) the order flow to which each such control applied.

² Rule 17a-4(e)(7) requires a broker-dealer to maintain and preserve such description "until three years after the termination of the use of" the document. 17 C.F.R. § 240.17a-4(e)(7).

Additionally, the Firm's documentation failed to sufficiently describe how the Firm assigned credit limits to its clients.

27. The conduct described above in paragraph 26 constitutes a violation of Rule 15c3-5(b), and EDGX Rules 3.1 and 5.1.

OTHER FACTORS

In determining to resolve this matter in the manner set forth herein, Market Regulation took into consideration that, UBS, on its own accord, engaged an outside consultant to review and make recommendations to enhance controls and procedures with respect to certain aspects of the Firm's market access controls and related supervisory procedures for compliance with Rule 15c3-5.

B. The Firm also consents to the imposition of the following sanctions:

1. A censure;
2. An aggregate fine of \$1,250,000, of which \$25,000 shall be paid to EDGX³; and
3. An undertaking requiring the Firm to address the Market Access Rule deficiencies described above to ensure that the Firm has implemented procedures that are reasonably designed to achieve compliance with the rules and regulations cited herein.
 - a. The Firm shall submit to the COMPLIANCE ASSISTANT, LEGAL SECTION, MARKET REGULATION DEPARTMENT, 9509 KEY WEST AVENUE, ROCKVILLE, MD 20850, a written report, certified by a senior management Firm executive, within 90 days after the date of the issuance of a Notice of Acceptance of this AWC, providing the following information:
 - i. A reference to this matter;
 - ii. A representation that the Firm has addressed the deficiencies described above; and
 - iii. The dates that this was completed.
 - b. Between 90 and 120 days after the submission of the written report, the Firm shall meet with relevant FINRA Staff to provide an update on the effectiveness of the enhancements and changes as described above as well as any additional modifications made to the Firm's written supervisory procedures and risk management controls relating to the deficiencies described above.
 - c. The Department of Market Regulation may, upon a showing of good cause and in its sole discretion, extend the time for compliance with these provisions.

³ The balance of the sanction will be paid to the self-regulatory organizations listed in Paragraph B.4.

4. Acceptance of this AWC is conditioned upon acceptance of similar settlement agreements in related matters between UBS and each of the following self-regulatory organizations: BATS Exchange, Inc.; BATS Y-Exchange, Inc.; NYSE Regulation, Inc. on behalf of the New York Stock Exchange LLC, NYSE MKT LLC (NYSE MKT Equities and NYSE MKT Options markets), and NYSE Arca, Inc.; The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC; NASDAQ OMX PHLX LLC; and FINRA. The aggregate settlement amount across all markets is \$1,250,000.

The Firm agrees to pay the monetary sanction(s) upon notice that this AWC has been accepted and that such payment(s) are due and payable. It has submitted an Election of Payment form showing the method by which it proposes to pay the fine imposed.

The Firm specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim that it is unable to pay, now or at any time hereafter, the monetary sanction(s) imposed in this matter.

The sanctions imposed herein shall be effective on a date set by EDGX.

II.

WAIVER OF PROCEDURAL RIGHTS

The Firm specifically and voluntarily waives the following rights granted under EDGX Rules:

- A. To have a Statement of Charges issued specifying the allegations against the firm;
- B. To be notified of the Statement of Charges and have the opportunity to answer the allegations in writing;
- C. To defend against the allegations in a disciplinary hearing before a Hearing Panel, to have a written record of the hearing made and to have a written decision issued; and
- D. To appeal any such decision to the Appeals Committee of the EDGX's Board of Directors and then to the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and a U.S. Court of Appeals.

Further, the Firm specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim bias or prejudgment of the Chief Regulatory Officer ("CRO"), in connection with her participation in discussions regarding the terms and conditions of this AWC, or other consideration of this AWC, including acceptance or rejection of this AWC.

The Firm further specifically and voluntarily waives any right to claim that a person violated the ex parte prohibitions of EDGX Rule 8.16, in connection with such person's or body's participation in discussions regarding the terms and conditions of this AWC, or other consideration of this AWC, including its acceptance or rejection.

III.
OTHER MATTERS

The Firm understands that:

- A. Submission of this AWC is voluntary and will not resolve this matter unless and until it has been reviewed and accepted by the CRO, pursuant to EDGX Rule 8.3;
- B. If this AWC is not accepted, its submission will not be used as evidence to prove any of the allegations against the firm; and
- C. If accepted:
 - 1. This AWC will become part of the firm's permanent disciplinary record and may be considered in any future actions brought by EDGX or any other regulator against the firm;
 - 2. This AWC will be published on a website maintained by EDGX in accordance with EDGX Rule 8.11, *Interpretations and Policies .01*. In addition, this AWC will be made available through FINRA's public disclosure program in response to public inquiries about the firm's disciplinary record; and
 - 3. The Firm may not take any action or make or permit to be made any public statement, including in regulatory filings or otherwise, denying, directly or indirectly, any finding in this AWC or create the impression that the AWC is without factual basis. The Firm may not take any position in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of EDGX, or to which EDGX is a party, that is inconsistent with any part of this AWC. Nothing in this provision affects the firm's: (i) testimonial obligations; or (ii) right to take legal or factual positions in litigation or other legal proceedings in which EDGX is not a party.
- D. The Firm may attach a Corrective Action Statement to this AWC that is a statement of demonstrable corrective steps taken to prevent future misconduct. The Firm understands that it may not deny the charges or make any statement that is inconsistent with the AWC in this Statement. This Statement does not constitute factual or legal findings by EDGX, nor does it reflect the views of EDGX or its staff.

The undersigned, on behalf of the Firm, certifies that a person duly authorized to act on its behalf has read and understands all of the provisions of this AWC and has been given a full opportunity to ask questions about it; that it has agreed to the AWC's provisions voluntarily; and that no offer, threat, inducement, or promise of any kind, other than the terms set forth herein and the prospect of avoiding the issuance of a Complaint, has been made to induce the firm to submit it.

10-7-2015
Date

UBS Securities LLC
Respondent

By: Suzanne R. Egan
Name: Suzanne R. Egan
Title: Executive Director

Reviewed by:

Elizabeth Mitchell
Elizabeth Mitchell
Counsel for Respondent
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20006
202-663-6426

10-13-2015
Date

Tamara Schadenmann
Tamara Schadenmann 11/30/15
Chief Regulatory Officer
EDGX Exchange, Inc.

ELECTION OF PAYMENT FORM

The firm intends to pay the fine proposed in the attached Letter of Acceptance, Waiver and Consent by the following method (check one):

- A firm check or bank check for the full amount; or
- Wire transfer.

Respectfully submitted,

10-7-2015

Date

Respondent
UBS Securities LLC

By: Suzanne R. Eblin

Name: Suzanne R. Eblin

Title: Executive Director